

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APTIV SERVICES US, LLC,
Petitioner,

v.

MICROCHIP TECHNOLOGY, INC.,
Patent Owner.

IPR2024-00646
Patent 9,471,074 B2

Before JON M. JURGOVAN, CHRISTOPHER L. OGDEN, and
JASON M. REPKO, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

OGDEN, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

DECISION
Revising Order Setting Oral Argument
37 C.F.R. § 42.70(a)

On June 3, 2025, the Board entered an order setting oral argument at 1:00 p.m. Eastern Time on June 27, 2025, by video, and that each party would be given 60 minutes of total time to present arguments. Paper 34. After entry of this order, the parties notified the Board that it had overlooked the fact that both parties had made the same request for an in-person hearing in the San Jose, California, USPTO Regional Office, and that both parties had requested 45 minutes per side total time for arguments. *See* Papers 28, 30.

Accordingly, we modify the hearing order (Paper 34) as follows:

A. TIME AND FORMAT¹

Oral arguments will commence at **10:00 a.m. Pacific Time on June 27, 2025, at the San Jose, California, USPTO Regional Office.**² One judge will be present live with the parties, one judge will appear remotely from the hearing room in the Dallas, Texas, USPTO Regional Office, and one judge will appear remotely from a hearing room at USPTO headquarters in Alexandria, Virginia.

Petitioner will have a total of 45 minutes to present argument in this case and Patent Owner will have a total of 45 minutes to respond.

¹ If a party is no longer able to appear in person for the hearing, the party must contact PTABHearings@uspto.gov as soon as possible.

² The U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (“USPTO”) is concerned with the health and safety of its stakeholders and will continue to follow all applicable health guidance. Prior to arriving at any USPTO office location, please consult the following to verify entry requirements: <https://www.uspto.gov/coronavirus>.

B. DEMONSTRATIVES

If either party wishes to use a laptop to display demonstratives and exhibits during the hearing, please note that the judges appearing remotely may or may not be able to see what is presented on the screen. Please contact PTABHearings@uspto.gov as soon as possible for details on this and other technical matters relating to the use of the Board's technology resources during the hearing.

C. PRESENTING COUNSEL

The Board generally expects lead counsel for each party to be present at the hearing. *See* CTPG 11. Any counsel of record may present the party's argument, in whole or in part, if that counsel is present in person or by video. If counsel or other party representatives wish to attend or observe remotely by video, the party must contact the Board at PTABHearings@uspto.gov at least five business days prior to the hearing date to receive video set-up information.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that oral argument for this proceeding will commence at 10:00 a.m. Pacific Time on June 27, 2025, at the San Jose, California, USPTO Regional Office; and

FURTHER ORDERED that the order of June 3, 2025, setting oral argument (Paper 34) remains in effect except as modified herein.

IPR2024-00646
Patent 9,471,074 B2

FOR PETITIONER:

Alex S. Yap
Mehran Arjomand
Bryan Blumenkopf
Stephen Liu
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
ayap@mofo.com
marjomand@mofo.com
bblumen@mofo.com
sliu@mofo.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

Travis Jensen
Jeremy Jason Lang
ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
t61ptabdocket@orrick.com
ptabdocketjjl2@orrick.com