UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. and FORTINET, INC.,
Petitioners,

v.

INFOEXPRESS INC.,
Patent Owner.

IPR2024-00679
U.S. Patent No. 7,523,484

PATENT OWNER'S UNOPPOSED MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.14 AND 42.54

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.14 and 42.54, the Board's Order (Paper No. 15), and the Board's remarks at the teleconference that took place on July 8, 2025, at 10:00 AM EDT, Patent Owner respectfully submits this Unopposed Motion to File Under Seal, requesting that the following information remain under seal:

- Portions of Patent Owner's Response (Original at Paper No. 21; Redacted at Paper No. 22);
- Exhibits EX2412, EX2414–EX2424, EX2427–EX2444, EX2451–EX2485, EX2487–EX2501, EX2503–EX2520, EX2523–EX2524, EX2527–EX2545, EX2547–EX2548, EX2551–EX2564, and EX2568, filed with Patent Owner's Response; and
- Portions of Patent Owner's Sur-Reply (Original at Paper No. 40; Redacted at Paper No. 39).

Patent Owner has conferred with the Petitioner, and the Petitioner does not oppose this motion.

Patent Owner has previously requested that the Board enter the default protective order (*see* Consolidated Trial Practice Guide at 107-122 (App. B)) in the above captioned case to govern treatment of the documents and information identified herein.

I. Good Cause

For good cause, the Board may "issue an order to protect a party or person from disclosing confidential information." 37 C.F.R. § 42.54. The rules "identify confidential information in a manner consistent with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c)(1)(G), which provides for protective orders for trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information." Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48756, 48760 (Aug. 14, 2012).

Each set of information below meets this standard, and for the reasons explained there is good cause for why those documents should remain under seal.

Patent Owner has filed both an under-seal Response and a public, redacted version thereof. The redacted portions on pages ii, 1, 40-63, and 65-81 contain confidential information regarding Patent Owner's development of the claimed invention and its dealings with Petitioner Cisco, circa 2004–07. The supporting exhibits are the evidence cited in the redacted portions of the Patent Owner's Response.

Patent Owner has filed both an under-seal sur-reply and a public, redacted version thereof. The redacted portions on pages i, and 14-39 contain confidential information regarding Patent Owner's development of the claimed invention and its dealings with Petitioner Cisco, circa 2004–07.

This information fits within the kinds of information that the Trial Practice Guide considers to be "confidential information," such as "confidential research, development, or commercial information." 77 Fed. Reg. 48756, 48760.

There is good cause for keeping the redacted information contained in Patent Owner's Response under seal. Publicly revealing the sensitive, competitive information could put Patent Owner at a disadvantage in the marketplace.

There is good cause for keeping these documents under seal.

II. Certification of Conference

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.54(a), Patent Owner certifies that it has in good faith conferred with Petitioners' counsel. Petitioners' counsel does not oppose this motion to seal.

Both Petitioners and Patent Owner agree to abide by the parties' stipulated Protective Order pending a decision by the Board on the motion for entry thereof.

III. Request for Conference Call with the Board

Should the Board not be inclined to grant the present Unopposed Motion to File Under Seal, Patent Owner hereby requests a conference call with the Board to discuss any concerns prior to the Board issuing a decision on the Motion.

IV. Conclusion

Patent Owner respectfully requests that the Board grant this Unopposed Motion to File Under Seal, and keep the following documents under seal: the

under-seal, unredacted version of Patent Owner's Response and Sur-Reply and all supporting exhibits listed herein.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: July 11, 2025 Mailing address for all correspondence: Saul Ewing LLP Centre Square West 1500 Market Street, 38th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102-2186	/Brian R. Landry/ Brian R. Michalek (Reg. No. 65,816) Saul Ewing LLP 161 North Clark Street, Suite 4200 Chicago, IL 60601 Tel: (312) 876-7151 brian.michalek@saul.com Joseph M. Kuo (Reg. No. 38,943) Saul Ewing LLP 161 North Clark Street, Suite 4200 Chicago, IL 60601 Tel: (312) 876-7151 joseph.kuo@saul.com Brian Landry (Reg. No. 62,074) Saul Ewing LLP 131 Dartmouth Street, Suite 501 Boston, MA 02116 Tel: (617) 912-0969 Brian.Landry@saul.com Counsel for Patent Owner

Certification of Service Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.6(e)(4)

The undersigned hereby certifies that the foregoing was served in its entirety by filing through the Patent Trial and Appeal Board P-TACTS, as well as providing a courtesy copy via e-mail to the attorneys of record.

Date: July 11, 2025

/Brian R. Landry/
Reg. No. 62,074