

From: [Director_PTABDecision_Review](#)
To: "Pluta, Robert G."; jim.heintz@dlapiper.com; Bonner, Amanda Streff; gianni.minutoli@dlapiper.com
Cc: [Siddiqui, Saqib](#)
Subject: RE: Response, March 11, 2025 - RE: IPR2024-00717: Document(s) Filed - Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd. v. Maxell, Ltd.
Date: Tuesday, March 11, 2025 2:47:00 PM

Dear Counsel,

As with Patent Owner's Director Review request, Patent Owner's March 10, 2025 request for an extension of time in its Director Review request is untimely and dismissed. See 37 C.F.R. § 42.75(c)(1); 37 C.F.R. § 42.71(d).

Thanks,

From: Pluta, Robert G. <RPluta@mayerbrown.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 11, 2025 11:11 AM
To: Director_PTABDecision_Review <Director_PTABDecision_Review@uspto.gov>; jim.heintz@dlapiper.com; Bonner, Amanda Streff <ASBonner@mayerbrown.com>; gianni.minutoli@dlapiper.com
Cc: Siddiqui, Saqib <SSiddiqui@mayerbrown.com>
Subject: RE: Response, March 11, 2025 - RE: IPR2024-00717: Document(s) Filed - Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd. v. Maxell, Ltd.

CAUTION: This email has originated from a source outside of USPTO. **PLEASE CONSIDER THE SOURCE** before responding, clicking on links, or opening attachments.

Dear Director,

Patent Owner seeks guidance in light of the below email received this morning. Within the request filed, Patent Owner requested an extension for good cause of the 37 CFR 42.71(d) time period. See pp. 1-4.

Should Patent Owner file a standalone request for extension of time showing good cause pursuant to 37 CFR 42.75(c)(1)? The rules are unclear as to whether the request for extension is properly included within the request for director review.

Best regards,

Rob Pluta
Partner
Mayer Brown LLP
T +1 312 701 8641 | M +1 312 451 8200
mayerbrown.com

From: Director_PTABDecision_Review <Director_PTABDecision_Review@uspto.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, March 11, 2025 9:51 AM
To: jim.heintz@dlapiper.com; Pluta, Robert G. <RPluta@mayerbrown.com>; Bonner, Amanda Streff <ASBonner@mayerbrown.com>; gianni.minutoli@dlapiper.com
Subject: Response, March 11, 2025 - RE: IPR2024-00717: Document(s) Filed - Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd. v. Maxell, Ltd.

CAUTION: External Email - Only click on contents you know are safe.

Dear Counsel,

A Decision Granting Institution in the above-referenced proceeding was issued on November 7, 2024. Any request for Director Review was due within 14 days of the Decision Granting Institution, or November 21, 2024. See 37 C.F.R. § 42.75(c)(1) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.71(d). Patent Owner's Director Review request filed on March 10, 2025 is untimely and will not be considered.

Thank you.

This email and any files transmitted with it are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this email in error please notify the system manager. If you are not the named addressee you should not disseminate, distribute or copy this e-mail.

Mayer Brown is a global legal services provider comprising an association of legal practices that are separate entities, including Mayer Brown LLP (Illinois, USA), Mayer Brown International LLP (England), Mayer Brown Hong Kong LLP (a Hong Kong limited liability partnership) and Tauil & Chequer Advogados (a Brazilian partnership). Mayer Brown Hong Kong LLP operates in temporary association with Hong Kong law firm Johnson Stokes & Master ("JSM"), and Mayer Brown presently shares certain information systems with JSM, and their staff collaborate in the operation of those systems. Because information may be shared, Mayer Brown and JSM will treat themselves as one firm for purposes of the professional rules of conduct relating to confidentiality and conflicts of interest.

Information about how we handle personal information and our use of relationship insight tools in conjunction with email is available in our [Privacy Notice](#).