

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

PAUL PONIATOWSKI,
Patent Owner.

IPR2024-00731
Patent 8,270,578 B2

Before JENNIFER S. BISK, FRANCES L. IPPOLITO, and
BACH V. HOANG, Administrative Patent Judges.

BISK, Administrative Patent Judge.

ORDER
Conduct of the Proceeding
37 C.F.R. § 42.5

On June 4, 2025, Petitioner filed a request for oral argument pursuant to the Scheduling order (Paper 6) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.70. Paper 13. Specifically, Petitioner requests that “[i]f the Board believes an oral hearing would be beneficial to assessing the record and preparing its final written decision, Petitioner is amenable to an in-person or virtual hearing.” *Id.* at 1. Petitioner explains that it has reached out to Patent Owner to determine his position on oral argument, but has not received a response. *Id.*

Patent Owner in this case is *pro se* and has not indicated a preference for an oral hearing. Moreover, the panel does not believe a hearing in this case would be beneficial to preparing its final written decision.

Accordingly, it is:

ORDERED that Petitioner’s request for oral hearing is denied.

IPR2024-00731
Patent 8,270,578 B2

PETITIONER:

Paul Hart
Adam Seitz
Clifford Brazen
ERISE IP, P.A.
paul.hart@eriseip.com
adam.seitz@eriseip.com
cliff.brazen@eriseip.com

PATENT OWNER:

Paul Poniatowski
paulponiatowski@hotmail.com