

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

TOYOTA MOTOR CORPORATION

Petitioner

v.

INFOGATION CORPORATION

Patent Owner

Case No. IPR2024-00754
U.S. Patent No. 10,107,628

**JOINT REQUEST TO KEEP SEPARATE PURSUANT TO
35 U.S.C. § 371(b) AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c)**

TABLE OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit	Description
1001	U.S. Patent No. 10,107,628 to Pu
1002	Prosecution File History of U.S. Patent No. 10,107,628
1003	Declaration of William Michalson, Ph.D.
1004	Japan Patent Application Publication No. JP2001-082973 (JP973)
1005	Certified Translation of JP973
1006	Japan Patent Application Publication No. JP2007-17351 (JP351)
1007	Certified Translation of JP351
1008	Japan Patent Application Publication No. JP2003-130680 (JP680)
1009	Certified Translation of JP680
1010	Japan Patent Application Publication No. JPH09229706A (JP706)
1011	Certified Translation of JP706
1012	U.S. Patent No. 5,459,824 to Kashiwazaki
1013	U.S. Patent No. 5,805,165 to Thorne
1014	U.S. Patent No. 6,040,824 to Maekawa
1015	Curriculum Vitae of William Michalson, Ph.D
1016	R.L. French, “Automobile navigation: Where is it going?,” Aerospace and Electronic Systems Magazine, pp. 6-12, May 1987 (French)
1017	D.E. Boyce, “Route Guidance Systems for Improving Urban Travel and Location Choices,” Transportation Research Part A: General, Vol. 22A, No. 4, pp.275-281, Pergamon Press, 1988 (Boyce)
1018	UK Patent Application GB 2,079,453 (Wootton)
1019	Ishikawa K.; Ogawa, M.; Azuma, S.; Ito, T.; “Map navigation software of the electro-multivision of the ‘91 Toyota Soarer,” IEEE Vehicle Navigation and Information Systems Conference, pp. 463-473, 20-23 Oct. 1991 (Ishikawa).

1020	Saito, T.; Shima, J.; Kanemitsu, H.; Tanaka, Y.; “Automobile navigation system using beacon information,” IEEE 1989 Vehicle Navigation and Information Systems Conference, pp. 139-145, Toronto, 11-13 Sep 1989 (Saito)
1021	Wootton, J.; Ness, M.; “The experience of developing and providing driver route information systems,” 1989 Vehicle Navigation and Information Systems Conference, pp. 71-75, Toronto, Ont., Canada, 11-13 Sep 1989 (Wootton 1989 VNIS)
1022	Guzolek, J.; Koch, E.; “Real-time route planning in road networks,” 1989 Vehicle Navigation and Information Systems Conference, pp. 165-169, Toronto, Ont., Canada, 11-13 Sep 1989 (Guzolek)
1023	B. Coppock et. al., History of GIS, Geographical Information Systems: Principles and Applications, Vol. 1, Longman Group, pp. 21-34, 1991 (Coppock)
1024	Peuquet, A Conceptual Framework And Comparison Of Spatial Data Models, Cartographica, Vol. 21, No. 4, pp. 66–113, 1984 (Peuquet).
1025	White, Car Navigation Systems, Geographical Information Systems: Principles and Applications, D.J. Maguire, et. al., eds., Vol. 2, pp. 115-125, Longman Group, 1991
1026	Rosen, D.A.; Mammano, F.J.; Favout, R.; “An electronic route-guidance system for highway vehicles,” IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 143-152, Feb 1970 (Rosen)
1027	Toyota’s Settlement Agreement

Patent Owner Infogation Corporation (“Infogation”) and Petitioner Toyota Motor Corporation (“Toyota”) have reached a settlement. The settlement agreement resolves the disputes in the above-captioned *inter partes* review relating to U.S. Patent No. 10,107,628 (“Patent-in-Suit”). The parties jointly request that the Board treat the settlement agreement as business confidential information and keep it separate from the files of this proceeding and the files of the Patent-in-Suit. The parties were authorized to file this Joint Request by the Board (via email) on October 2, 2024.

I. STATEMENT OF PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED

The parties jointly request that the Board treat the settlement agreement as business confidential information and keep it separate from the files of this proceeding and the files of the Patent-in-Suit. The parties request that the settlement agreement “be made available only to Federal Government agencies on written request, or to any person on a showing of good cause” in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74.

II. REASONS WHY RELIEF IS APPROPRIATE

The terms of the settlement agreement require the parties to treat the settlement agreement as confidential information and limit their ability to share the settlement agreement or disclose its content with third parties. The parties have filed

a copy of the settlement agreement with the Board, as required by 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74. The confidential settlement agreement was filed in the PTAB P-TACTS system to provide availability only to the Board.

Respectfully submitted,

/William H. Mandir/

William H. Mandir, Reg. No. 32,156

Fadi N. Kiblawi, Reg. No. 61,973

John F. Rabena, Reg. No. 38,584

Yoshinari Kishimoto, Reg. No. 47,327

Sughrue Mion, PLLC

Telephone: (202) 293-7060

Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE
23373
CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: OCTOBER 3, 2024

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that the above document was served on October 3, 2024, by delivering a copy via electronic mail upon the following correspondence addresses of record as follows:

M. Scott Fuller Randall

Rene A. Vazquez

sfuller@ghiplaw.com

rvazquez@sinergialaw.com

litigation@ghiplaw.com

/Luke Cooper/
Luke Cooper

Date: October 3, 2024