UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

TIKTOK INC.
Petitioner,

v.

CELLSPIN SOFT, INC.,
Patent Owner

IPR2024-00759
Patent 8,862,757

PETITIONER'S OBJECTIONS TO EVIDENCE

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1), Petitioner hereby submits the following objections to evidence filed with Patent Owner's Response of July 1, 2024.

Evidence	Objections
Exhibit 2006	**Irrelevant, Misleading, Improper Testimony, Hearsay (FRE 401-403, 702, 703, 802)** Petitioner objects to the admissibility of Exhibit 2006 under FRE 702 and 703, because it contains opinions that are conclusory, do not disclose supporting facts or data, and are based on unreliable facts, data, or methods. Petitioner objects to the admissibility of Exhibit 2006 under FRE 702-703 and 802 because it includes statements that constitute inadmissible hearsay. The statements are offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and the statements are not the product of reliable principles or methods. Petitioner also objects to Exhibit 2006 as containing opinions that are irrelevant, confusing, and presenting the danger of unfair prejudice under FRE 401, 402, and 403.
Exhibit 2007	**Irrelevant, Misleading, Improper Testimony, Hearsay (FRE 401-403, 702, 703, 802)** Petitioner objects to the admissibility of Exhibit 2007 under FRE 702 and 703, because it contains opinions that are conclusory, do not disclose supporting facts or data, and are based on unreliable facts, data, or methods. Petitioner objects to the admissibility of Exhibit 2007 under FRE 702-

	703 and 802 because it includes statements that constitute inadmissible hearsay. The statements are offered for the truth of the matter asserted, and the statements are not the product of reliable principles or methods. Petitioner also objects to Exhibit 2007 as containing opinions that are irrelevant, confusing, and presenting the danger of unfair prejudice under FRE 401, 402, and 403.
Exhibit 2010	**Incomplete, Irrelevant, Misleading, Hearsay, Authenticity (FRE 401-403, 802, 901):** Petitioner objects to this document because the portions of this document cited by Patent Owner, as used by Patent Owner, provide an irrelevant, incomplete, and misleading characterization of the knowledge in the art as of the asserted date of the invention, and further because Patent Owner has not established it is prior art, and therefore confuses the issues in the case. Petitioner objects to this document as hearsay. Petitioner also objects on the basis of authenticity.
Exhibit 2011	**Incomplete, Irrelevant, Misleading, Hearsay, Authenticity (FRE 401-403, 802, 901):** Petitioner objects to this document because the portions of this document cited by Patent Owner, as used by Patent Owner, provide an irrelevant, incomplete, and misleading characterization of the knowledge in the art as of the asserted date of the invention, and further because Patent Owner has not established it is prior art, and therefore

	confuses the issues in the case. Petitioner objects to this document as hearsay. Petitioner also objects on the basis of authenticity.
Exhibit 2012	**Incomplete, Irrelevant, Misleading, Hearsay, Authenticity (FRE 401-403, 802, 901):** Petitioner objects to this document because the portions of this document cited by Patent Owner, as used by Patent Owner, provide an irrelevant, incomplete, and misleading characterization of the knowledge in the art as of the asserted date of the invention, and further because Patent Owner has not established it is prior art, and therefore confuses the issues in the case. Petitioner objects to this document as hearsay. Petitioner also objects on the basis of authenticity.

For at least these reasons, Petitioner objects to Exhibits 2006, 2007, and 2010-2012. Petitioner reserves the right to move to exclude Exhibits 2006, 2007, and 2010-2012.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated October 15, 2024

/Kim H. Leung/

Kim H. Leung, Reg. No. 64,399
Fish & Richardson P.C.
60 South Sixth Street, Suite 3200
Minneapolis, MN 55402
T: 202-783-5070
F: 877-769-7945
Attorney for Petitioner

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to 37 CFR §§ 42.6(e)(4)(i) *et seq.*, the undersigned certifies that on October 15, 2024, a complete and entire copy of this Petitioner's Objections to Evidence was provided by electronic mail to the Patent Owner by serving the correspondence e-mail address of record as follows:

M. Scott Fuller, Reg. No. 54,716
119 W. Ferguson Street
Tyler, Texas 75702
Phone: (903) 705-7420

René A. Vazquez, Reg. No. 38,647
18296 St. Georges Ct.
Leesburg, VA 20176
Phone: (703) 989-2244

sfuller@ghiplaw.com
litigation@ghiplaw.com
rvazquez@sinergialaw.com

/Crena Pacheco/

Crena Pacheco
Fish & Richardson P.C.
60 South Sixth Street, Suite 3200
Minneapolis, MN 55402
pacheco@fr.com