Trials@uspto.gov
571-272-7822

Paper 11
Entered: July 17, 2024

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC.,
Petitioner,
v.
YANGTZE MEMORY TECHNOLOGIES COMPANY, LTD.,
Patent Owner.

IPR2024-00788 (Patent 10,658,378 B2)
IPR2024-00789 (Patent 10,861,872 B2)
IPR2024-00790 (Patent 10,868,031 B2)
IPR2024-00791 (Patent 10,937,806 B2)
IPR2024-00792 (Patent 11,468,957 B2)
IPR2024-00793 (Patent 11,600,342 B2)
IPR2024-00794 (Patent 10,950,623 B2)
IPR2024-00795 (Patent 11,501,822 B2)[1]

Before JO-ANNE M. KOKOSKI, KIMBERLY McGRAW, and MICHAEL T. CYGAN, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

PER CURIAM.

ORDER
Granting Petitioner's Motions for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of Jared Bobrow
37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c)

[1] This Order addresses substantively identical motions filed in each of the above-captioned proceedings. We exercise our discretion to issue one Order to cover all proceedings. The combined caption is for administrative convenience only and does not indicate that any proceedings have been joined. The parties are not authorized to use this caption without express permission of the Board.

IPR2024-00788 (Patent 10,658,378)	IPR2024-00792 (Patent 11,468,957)
IPR2024-00789 (Patent 10,861,872)	IPR2024-00793 (Patent 11,600,342)
IPR2024-00790 (Patent 10,868,031)	IPR2024-00794 (Patent 10,950,623)
IPR2024-00791 (Patent 10,937,806)	IPR2024-00795 (Patent 11,501,822)

Petitioner filed Motions for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of Jared Bobrow (Paper 10[2]) in each of the above-captioned proceedings. Petitioner submitted Declarations of Jared Bobrow (Ex. 1036) in support of each Motion. No opposition to any of the Motions has been filed. For the reasons provided below, Petitioner's Motions are *granted*.

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), the Board may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner. In authorizing a motion for *pro hac vice* admission, the Board requires the moving party to provide a statement of facts showing there is good cause for the Board to recognize counsel *pro hac vice* and an affidavit or declaration of the individual seeking to appear in the proceeding. *See Unified Patents, Inc. v. Parallel Iron, LLC*, IPR2013-00639, Paper 7 (PTAB Oct. 15, 2013) (representative "Order – Authorizing Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission").

Based on the facts set forth in the Motions and the accompanying Declarations, we conclude that Mr. Bobrow has sufficient legal qualifications to represent Petitioner in these proceedings, has demonstrated sufficient litigation experience and familiarity with the subject matter of these proceedings, and meets all other requirements for admission *pro hac*

[2] This Order cites to papers and exhibits filed in IPR2024-00788. Corresponding items were filed in IPR2024-00789, -00790, -00791, -00792, -00793, -00794, and -00795.

vice. *See* Ex. 1036 ¶¶ 1–9.[3] Accordingly, Petitioner has established good cause for *pro hac vice* admission of Mr. Bobrow. Mr. Bobrow will be permitted to serve as back-up counsel only. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c).

We note that Petitioner filed a Power of Attorney including Mr. Bobrow in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(b). Paper 2. Petitioner has submitted a mandatory notice identifying Mr. Bobrow as a back-up attorney in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3). Paper 1, 4.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that Petitioner's Motions for *pro hac vice* admission of Mr. Bobrow are *granted*;

FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner continue to have a registered practitioner represent it as lead counsel in these proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Bobrow is authorized to act as back-up counsel only in these proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Bobrow be familiar with the Patent Trial and Appeal Board Consolidated Trial Practice Guide (84 Fed. Reg. 64,280 (Nov. 21, 2019))[4] and comply with the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials as set forth in Part 42 of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations; and

---

[3] In the listing of prior *pro hac vice* admissions before the Office, Mr. Bobrow lists "IPR2021-01551." This proceeding should have been identified as "IPR2021-0155*0*."

[4] Available at https://www.uspto.gov/TrialPracticeGuideConsolidated.

IPR2024-00788 (Patent 10,658,378)
IPR2024-00789 (Patent 10,861,872)
IPR2024-00790 (Patent 10,868,031)
IPR2024-00791 (Patent 10,937,806)
IPR2024-00792 (Patent 11,468,957)
IPR2024-00793 (Patent 11,600,342)
IPR2024-00794 (Patent 10,950,623)
IPR2024-00795 (Patent 11,501,822)

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Bobrow is subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.*

PETITIONER:

Jeremy Jason Lang
K. Patrick Herman
Travis Jensen
Parth Sagdeo
Jared Bobrow
ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
jlang@orrick.com
pherman@orrick.com
tjensen@orrick.com
psagdeo@orrick.com
jbobrow@orrick.com

PATENT OWNER:

James L. Davis, Jr.
Christopher M. Bonny
Allen S. Cross
ROPES & GRAY LLP
James.L.Davis@ropesgray.com
Christopher.Bonny@ropesgray.com
Allen.Cross@ropesgray.com
YMTC-Micron-IPRs-Ropes-Service@ropesgray.com