Trials@uspto.gov
571-272-7822

Paper 22
Entered: July 23, 2025

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

YANGTZE MEMORY TECHNOLOGIES COMPANY, LTD.,
Patent Owner.

IPR2024-00788 and IPR2024-00909 (Patent 10,658,378 B2)
IPR2024-00789 (Patent 10,861,872 B2)
IPR2024-00790 (Patent 10,868,031 B2)
IPR2024-00791 and IPR2024-00911 (Patent 10,937,806 B2)
IPR2024-00792 (Patent 11,468,957 B2)
IPR2024-00794 (Patent 10,950,623 B2)
IPR2024-00795 (Patent 11,501,822 B2)[1]

Before KIMBERLY MCGRAW and MICHAEL T. CYGAN, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

PER CURIAM.

ORDER
Granting Patent Owner's Motions for
Pro Hac Vice Admission of Andrew Radsch
37 C.F.R. § 42.10

---

[1] The combined caption is for administrative convenience only and does not indicate that IPR2024-00788, IPR2024-00789, IPR2024-00790, IPR2024-00791, IPR2024-00792, IPR2024-00794, IPR2024-00795, IPR2024-00909, and IPR2024-00911 have been joined. Parties are not authorized to use this caption without express Board permission.

On July 9, 2025, Patent Owner filed motions requesting *pro hac vice* admission of Andrew Radsch in each of the above-identified proceedings (collectively "Motions"). Paper 25.[2] Patent Owner also submitted declarations from Andrew Radsch in support of the Motions (collectively "Declarations"). Ex. 2008.[3] Patent Owner attests that Petitioner does not oppose the Motions. Mot. 2.

For the reasons provided below, Patent Owner's Motions are *granted*.

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c)(1), the Board may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner. In authorizing a motion for *pro hac vice* admission, the Board requires the moving party to provide a statement of facts showing there is good cause for the Board to recognize counsel *pro hac vice* and an affidavit or declaration of the individual seeking to appear in the proceeding. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42(c)(1); *Unified Patents, Inc. v. Parallel Iron, LLC*, IPR2013-00639

[2] For purposes of expediency, we cite to Papers filed in IPR2024-00788. Patent Owner filed similar Motions in IPR2024-00789 (Paper 28), IPR2024-00790 (Paper 21), IPR2024-00791 (Paper 20), IPR2024-00792 (Paper 26), IPR2024-00794 (Paper 21), IPR2024-00795 (Paper 21), IPR2024-00909 (Paper 19), and IPR2024-00911 (Paper 19).

[3] We cite to the Declaration filed in IPR2024-00788. Patent Owner filed similar Declarations in IPR2024-00789 (Ex. 2015), IPR2024-00790 (Ex. 2017), IPR2024-00791 (Ex. 2009), IPR2024-00792 (Ex. 2012); IPR2024-00794 (Ex. 2012), IPR2024-00795 (Ex. 2032), IPR2024-00909 (Ex. 2013), and IPR2024-00911 (Ex. 2009).

(PTAB Oct. 15, 2013) (Paper 7) (representative "Order – Authorizing Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission").

Based on the facts set forth in the Motions and the accompanying Declarations, we conclude that Mr. Radsch has sufficient qualifications to represent Patent Owner in these proceedings, has demonstrated sufficient litigation experience and legal familiarity with the subject matter of these proceedings, and meets all other requirements for admission *pro hac vice*. *See* Ex. 2008. Accordingly, Patent Owner has established good cause for *pro hac vice* admission of Mr. Radsch. Mr. Radsch will be permitted to serve as back-up counsel only. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c)(1).

We note that Patent Owner has filed a Power of Attorney including Mr. Radsch in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(b). IPR2024-00788, Paper 4; IPR2024-00789, Paper 6; IPR2024-00790, Paper 5; IPR2024-00791 (Paper 4); IPR2024-00792, Paper 4; IPR2024-00794, Paper 5; IPR2024-00795, Paper 5; IPR2024-00909, Paper 6; and IPR2024-00911, Paper 5. Patent Owner has also filed Mandatory Notices identifying Mr. Radsch as back-up counsel in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3). IPR2024-00788, Paper 3; IPR2024-00789, Paper 5; IPR2024-00790, Paper 4; IPR2024-00791 (Paper 3); IPR2024-00792, Paper 3; IPR2024-00794, Paper 4; IPR2024-00795, Paper 4; IPR2024-00909, Paper 5; and IPR2024-00911, Paper 4.

In consideration of the foregoing, it is hereby

ORDERED that Patent Owner's Motions for *pro hac vice* admission of Andrew Radsch are *granted*;

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner shall continue to have a registered practitioner represent it as lead counsel for the above-identified proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Radsch is authorized to represent Patent Owner as back-up counsel only in the above-identified proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Radsch be familiar with the Patent Trial and Appeal Board Consolidated Trial Practice Guide[4] (84 Fed. Reg. 64,280 (Nov. 21, 2019)), and comply with the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Part 42 of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Radsch is subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.*

[4] Available at https://www.uspto.gov/TrialPracticeGuideConsolidated.

IPR2024-00788 and IPR2024-00909 (Patent 10,658,378 B2)
IPR2024-00789 (Patent 10,861,872 B2)
IPR2024-00790 (Patent 10,868,031 B2)
IPR2024-00791 and IPR2024-00911 (Patent 10,937,806 B2)
IPR2024-00792 (Patent 11,468,957 B2)
IPR2024-00794 (Patent 10,950,623 B2)
IPR2024-00795 (Patent 11,501,822 B2)

FOR PETITIONER:

Jeremy Jason Lang
K. Patrick Herman
Travis Jensen
Parth Sagdeo
Jared Bobrow
ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
jlang@orrick.com
pherman@orrick.com
tjensen@orrick.com
psagdeo@orrick.com
jbobrow@orrick.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

James L Davis, Jr.
Christopher M. Bonny
Allen S. Cross
ROPES & GRAY LLP
James.l.davis@ropesgray.com
Christopher.bonny@ropesgray.com
Allen.cross@ropesgray.com
YMTC-Micron_IPRs-Ropes-Service@ropesgray.com