

From: [Trials](#)
To: [Michael McCandlish](#); [Trials](#)
Cc: [IPR12189-0093IP1](#); [PTAB Inbound](#); c-koch@ti.com; griswold@fr.com; [Joe Kincart](#)
Subject: RE: IPR2024-00802
Date: Monday, October 7, 2024 2:30:20 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Counsel,

Petitioner has requested authorization to file documents certifying the translation of Exhibit 1008 (Praxis). Petitioner states it has conferred with Patent Owner and Patent Owner does not oppose. As such, we authorize Petitioner to file those documents as exhibits. No other filings are authorized.

Best regards,
Eric W. Hawthorne
Supervisory Paralegal Specialist
Patent Trial and Appeal Board

From: Michael McCandlish <mmccandlish@mindfuliplaw.com>
Sent: Saturday, October 5, 2024 3:56 PM
To: Trials <Trials@USPTO.GOV>
Cc: IPR12189-0093IP1 <IPR12189-0093IP1@fr.com>; PTAB Inbound <PTABInbound@fr.com>; c-koch@ti.com; griswold@fr.com; Joe Kincart <jkincart@tracnik.com>
Subject: IPR2024-00802

CAUTION: This email has originated from a source outside of USPTO. **PLEASE CONSIDER THE SOURCE** before responding, clicking on links, or opening attachments.

Patent Trial and Appeal Board
In re: IPR2024-00802

Attention: Ms. Amy Kattula
Trial Paralegal

October 5, 2024

Dear Ms. Kattula,

In the proceeding, in the original Petition for IPR2024-00802 the Petitioner inadvertently failed to include a Certification of Translation for the English translation of Exhibit 1008, with the English title of “*Distribute the power loss in linear control systems favorably.*” Exhibit 1008 was referred to as “Praxis” throughout the Petition. Petitioner hereby seeks authorization from the PTAB to include a Certification of Translation for the English translation of Exhibit 1008 in the Petition.

The Exhibit 1008 translation as originally filed is a machine translation. The Petitioner would like to submit the following three documents:

Ex. 3001
IPR2024-00802

1. A certification of the original machine translation as it appeared in Praxis, Exhibit 1008. A third party translator has evaluated the machine translation. The translator determined that the translation was “a substantively accurate translation of the original document with only minor spelling, grammatical, and formatting errors.”
2. A translation of Praxis prepared by the translator.
3. A certification of the translation of Praxis prepared by the translator.

We have conferred with Counsel for the Patent Owner, and they have stated that they will not oppose this submission.

Please let us know if the submission of these three documents is authorized by the PTAB.

Sincerely yours,

Mike McCandlish
U.S. Patent Attorney
(248) 444-0470
www.mindfuliplaw.com

