

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

HL KLEMOVE CORP.,
Petitioner
v.
FORAS TECHNOLOGIES, LTD.,
Patent Owner.

IPR2024-00813
Patent 7,502,958 B2

Before CARL W. WHITEHEAD JR., THOMAS L. GIANNETTI and
KARA L. SZPONDOWSKI, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

WHITEHEAD JR., *Administrative Patent Judge*.

DECISION
Granting Joint Motion to Terminate Prior to Institution of Trial
35 U.S.C. § 42.74

I. INTRODUCTION

With our authorization, Petitioner HL Klemove Corp. and Patent Owner Foras Technologies Ltd. (collectively “the Parties”) filed a Joint Motion to Terminate the above-identified proceeding involving U.S. Patent 7,502,958 B2 (“the ‘958 patent”). (Paper 7, “Joint Motion”). The Parties also filed a copy of their Joint Stipulation of Dismissal. Ex. 1029.

II. DISCUSSION

In the Joint Motion, the Parties represent that “all claims and counterclaims asserted by the Parties against each other and relating to the ‘958 patent were dismissed via a joint stipulation filed in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia.” Joint Motion 1. The Parties also represent that Petitioner’s customers and Patent Owner have “stipulated to dismissal of all claims for relief against each other and relating to the ‘958 patent.” *Id.* at 1–2.

The Board has not entered an institution decision, and this proceeding is still in its early stages. *See* Joint Motion 3. The Parties represent that a true copy of the Parties’ Joint Stipulation of Dismissal has been filed as Exhibit 1029, a true copy of the stipulation between Petitioner’s customers and Patent Owner has been filed as Exhibit 1030, and that “there are no other agreements or understandings, oral or written, between the Parties made in connection with, or in contemplation of, the termination of this proceeding.” *Id.* at 1–2. The Parties also represent that “[n]o other proceedings related to the ‘958 patent will be affected by this motion” and no other party’s rights will be prejudiced by the termination of this proceeding. *Id.* at 3. Under these circumstances, we determine that it is

appropriate to terminate this proceeding without rendering an institution decision.

III. ORDER

In consideration of the foregoing, it is hereby:

ORDERED that the Joint Motion to Terminate the proceeding (Paper 7) is *granted*; therefore, the proceeding in IPR2024-00813 is *terminated*.

IPR2024-00813
Patent 7,502,958 B2

For PETITIONER:

Jeffrey A. Miller
David A. Caine
Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer LLP
jeffrey.miller@apks.com
david.caine@arnoldporter.com

For PATENT OWNER:

Brett Cooper
BC Law Group, P.C.
bcooper@bc-lawgroup.com

Robert A. Auchter
Auchter PLLC
robert@auchterlaw.com