

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

THETA IP, LLC,
Patent Owner.

IPR2024-00816
Patent 7,010,330 B1

Before KARA L. SZPONDOWSKI, AARON W. MOORE, and
RUSSELL E. CASS, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

CASS, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ERRATA

The Panel issues this Errata to fix certain typographical errors in our Final Written Decision (“FWD”) issued on November 24, 2025 (Paper 32). Accordingly, we modify our Final Written Decision as follows:

On page 2 of the FWD, the sentence “for the reasons discussed below, Petitioner has proven by a preponderance of the evidence that claims 1–7, 9–13, 16, 23, 25, 26, 27, 29 are unpatentable” is changed to “for the reasons discussed below, Petitioner has proven by a preponderance of the evidence that claims 1–7, 9–13, 16, 23, 25, 26, 28, and 29 are unpatentable.”

On page 54 of the FWD, the heading “5. *Independent Claims 1 and 9*” is changed to “5. *Independent Claims 1 and 29*.”

On page 56 of the FWD, the sentence “Based on the full trial record, we find that Petitioner has shown by a preponderance of the evidence that dependent claims 2–7, 9, 11–13, 16, 25, 27, and 28 would have been obvious over the combination of Behbahani, Leete, and Tan, for the reasons set forth by Petitioner” is changed to “Based on the full trial record, we find that Petitioner has shown by a preponderance of the evidence that dependent claims 2–7, 9, 11–13, 16, 25, and 28 would have been obvious over the combination of Behbahani, Leete, and Tan, for the reasons set forth by Petitioner.”

On pages 61 and 62 of the FWD, the summary table is replaced with the summary table below:

Claim(s) Challenged	35 U.S.C. §	Reference/Basis	Claims Shown Unpatentable	Claims Not Shown Unpatentable
1–7, 9–13, 16, 23, 25, 26, 28, 29	103	Behbahani, Leete, Tan	1–7, 9–13, 16, 23, 25, 26, 28, 29	

Claim(s) Challenged	35 U.S.C. §	Reference/Basis	Claims Shown Unpatentable	Claims Not Shown Unpatentable
Overall Outcome			1–7, 9–13, 16, 23, 25, 26, 28, 29	

On page 62, the statement “ORDERED that claims 1–7, 9–13, 16, 23, 25, 26, 27, 29 of the ’330 patent have been shown to be unpatentable” is changed to “ORDERED that claims 1–7, 9–13, 16, 23, 25, 26, 28, and 29 of the ’330 patent have been shown to be unpatentable.”

IPR2024-00816
Patent 7,010,330 B1

For PETITIONER:

Paul R. Hart
Adam P. Seitz
ERISE IP, P.A.
paul.hart@eriseip.com
adam.seitz@eriseip.com

For PATENT OWNER:

Aaron R. Hand
Corey Johanningmeier
BUNSOW DE MORY LLP
ahand@bdiplaw.com
cjohanningmeier@bdiplaw.com