

From: [Gardner, Eamonn](#)
To: [Joshua J. Yi](#); [Corey Johanningmeier](#); [Denise De Mory](#); [Russ Horton](#); bsteven@wscllp.com; [Anderson, Christa M.](#); hcannom@wscllp.com; [Soni, Naina](#); [Lauppe, Patrick W.](#); travis@gillamsmithlaw.com; melissa@gillamsmithlaw.com
Cc: [Kevin Yang](#); [Kristie Davis](#); [Jennifer Clark](#)
Subject: RE: Theta IP, LLC v. Apple Inc. (6:23-cv-00314-ADA)
Date: Tuesday, March 26, 2024 8:25:02 AM

[EXTERNAL]

Dr. Yi,

Apple intends to argue the “dynamically [adjusting/adjusted/adjust/ changing/decreased/increasing/ increased/reducing/reduced/ varying/vary]” terms, and seek clarification regarding “switching current”/“drive current” and “best-case”/“worst-case.” Mr. Lauppe will address these terms.

Thanks,

Eamonn

Eamonn Gardner

Cooley LLP
1144 15th Street, Suite 2300
Denver, CO 80202-2589
Direct: (858) 550-6086 • Cell: (720) 207-7378 • Fax: (720) 566-4099
Pronouns: he, him, his
Bio: www.cooley.com/egardner • Practice: www.cooley.com/patent

Cooley is Committed to [Racial Justice](#)

From: Gardner, Eamonn
Sent: Tuesday, March 26, 2024 8:18 AM
To: Joshua J. Yi <josh@joshuayipatentlaw.com>; cjohanningmeier@bdiplaw.com; dmemory@bdiplaw.com; rhorton@gbkh.com; bsteven@wscllp.com; Anderson, Christa M. <canderson@cooley.com>; hcannom@wscllp.com; Soni, Naina <nsoni@cooley.com>; Lauppe, Patrick W. <plauppe@cooley.com>; travis@gillamsmithlaw.com; melissa@gillamsmithlaw.com
Cc: Kevin Yang <kevin_yang@txwd.uscourts.gov>; Kristie Davis <kmdaviscsr@yahoo.com>; Jennifer Clark <jennifer_clark@txwd.uscourts.gov>
Subject: RE: Theta IP, LLC v. Apple Inc. (6:23-cv-00314-ADA)

Dr. Yi,

We have not yet had an opportunity to review this with our client because of the hour in Pacific time, but will provide a response as soon as we are able. We anticipate that my colleague and junior attorney, Patrick Lauppe, will argue a majority of any terms and that I may argue a term.

Best,

Eamonn

IPR2023-00819
Theta EX2016

Eamonn Gardner

Cooley LLP
1144 15th Street, Suite 2300
Denver, CO 80202-2589
Direct: (858) 550-6086 • Cell: (720) 207-7378 • Fax: (720) 566-4099
Pronouns: he, him, his
Bio: www.cooley.com/egardner • Practice: www.cooley.com/patent

Cooley is Committed to [Racial Justice](#)

From: Joshua J. Yi <josh@joshuayipatentlaw.com>
Sent: Monday, March 25, 2024 7:09 PM
To: cjohanningmeier@bdiplaw.com; ddemory@bdiplaw.com; rhorton@gbkh.com; bstevens@wscllp.com; Anderson, Christa M. <canderson@cooley.com>; Gardner, Eamonn <egardner@cooley.com>; hcannom@wscllp.com; Soni, Naina <nsoni@cooley.com>; Lauppe, Patrick W. <plauppe@cooley.com>; travis@gillamsmithlaw.com; melissa@gillamsmithlaw.com
Cc: Kevin Yang <kevin_yang@txwd.uscourts.gov>; Kristie Davis <kmdaviscsr@yahoo.com>; Jennifer Clark <jennifer_clark@txwd.uscourts.gov>
Subject: Theta IP, LLC v. Apple Inc. (6:23-cv-00314-ADA)

[External]

Counsel,

The Court provides the following preliminary constructions in advance of tomorrow's Markman hearing. The purpose of preliminary constructions is to streamline the hearing by providing the parties an indication of the Court's current position for each term. Although the parties are, of course, free to argue for their originally proposed construction, it is generally unlikely that the Court will select a party's originally proposed construction over the preliminary construction. As such, the Court believes that making arguments to fine-tune the preliminary construction may be more helpful. The preliminary constructions are not final as the Court may change some those constructions based on the arguments at the hearing.

Of the below terms, please let me know what terms each side would like to argue two hours before the 11AM hearing. Each side may email their list separately (but please CC the other side) or jointly (please indicate which side, or both, wants to argue each term). To the extent a junior attorney will be arguing a term, please let me know which term(s) the junior attorney will be arguing.

Also, at least 30 minutes before the hearing, please submit any slides the parties (and CC the other side) wish to use at the Markman hearing.

If you have any questions, please let me know. Thanks.

-Josh

P.S. To assist the court reporter (Kristie Davis, CC-ed), please email her a copy of your slides as soon

IPR2023-00819
Theta EX2016

as possible (it's okay if it's just a draft). When you email her, no need to CC the other side or the Court as it is purely to help her generate the transcript as quickly as possible.

Term	Plaintiff's Proposed Construction	Defendants' Proposed Construction	Court's Preliminary Construction
#1: "signal path" U.S. Patent No. 7,010,330, Claims 1, 23, 29; U.S. Patent No. 10,129,825, Claims 3, 4, 8; U.S. Patent No. 10,524,202, Claims 7–11, 13; U.S. Patent No. 11,564,164, Claims 5, 7–9, 20; U.S. Patent No. 11,638,210, Claims 3, 6, 10	Plain and ordinary meaning	"path for analog signal"	Plain-and-ordinary meaning
#2: "impedance" U.S. Patent No. 7,010,330, Claims 1, 23, 29; U.S. Patent No. 10,129,825, Claims 4, 8; U.S. Patent No. 10,524,202, Claims 7, 8; U.S. Patent No. 11,564,164, Claim 7; U.S. Patent No. 11,638,210, Claims 3, 10	Plain and ordinary meaning, but if construed, should be construed as "opposition to the flow of alternating current"	Plain and ordinary meaning	Plain-and-ordinary meaning
#3A: "switching current" U.S. Patent No. 7,010,330, Claims 1, 23	Plain and ordinary meaning	"the current that carries a signal through a load" Or, if plain and ordinary meaning, "current required to change the state of a transistor/system"	Plain-and-ordinary meaning
#3B: "drive current" U.S. Patent No. 10,129,825, Claim 8; U.S. Patent No. 11,638,210, Claim 10	Plain and ordinary meaning	Plain and ordinary meaning, which is "current required to keep a transistor/system at a steady state" or "the current that carries a signal through a load"	Plain-and-ordinary meaning

#4: “dynamically [adjusting/adjusted/adjust/ changing/decreased/increasing/ increased/reducing/reduced/ varying/vary]” U.S. Patent No. 7,010,330, Claims 1, 23, 29; U.S. Patent No. 10,524,202, Claims 7–9, 11, 13; U.S. Patent No. 11,564,164, Claims 5, 7, 8, 20; U.S. Patent No. 11,638,210, Claims 3, 6, 10	Plain and ordinary meaning, wherein the plain and ordinary meaning is: “adjusting during operation based, at least in part, on information gained during operation”	“adjusting / changing / increasing / reducing / varying during operation without reliance on a signal strength threshold”	Plain-and-ordinary meaning
#5A: “best-case” U.S. Patent No. 10,524,202, Claims 7-9; U.S. Patent No. 11,564,164, Claim 20	Plain and ordinary meaning	“desired signal strength is high and interferer signal strength is low”	Plain-and-ordinary meaning
#5B: “worst-case” U.S. Patent No. 10,129,825, Claims 3, 4, 8; U.S. Patent No. 10,524,202, Claims 7–11; U.S. Patent No. 11,564,164, Claims 5, 7–9, 20	Plain and ordinary meaning	“desired signal strength is low and interferer signal strength is high”	Plain-and-ordinary meaning

Joshua J. Yi, Ph.D.

The Law Office of Joshua J. Yi, PLLC
13492 Research Blvd; Ste. 120 – #445
Austin, TX 78750-2254
<https://joshuayipatentlaw.com/>

This email message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message. If you are the intended recipient, please be advised that the content of this message

IPR2023-00819
Theta EX2016

is subject to access, review and disclosure by the sender's Email System Administrator.