Pluta, Robert G.

From: Trials <Trials@USPTO.GOV>
Sent: Tuesday, August 12, 2025 3:03 PM
To: Pluta, Robert G.; Trials
Cc: James M. Heintz; Gianni Minutoli; DLA-Samsung-Maxell; FW-CLIENT-Maxell-Samsung-Service
Subject: RE: Request for pre-hearing conference

CAUTION: **External Email -** Only click on contents you know are safe.

Counsel,

With regard for the request for a pre-hearing conference in IPR2024-00828 (scheduled for oral argument on Wednesday, August 13, 2025) and IPR2024-00867 (scheduled for oral argument on Thursday, August 14 , 2025), the request for a pre-hearing conference is denied.

Regards,

Andrew Kellogg,
Deputy Chief Clerk, Trials
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
USPTO
andrew.kellogg@uspto.gov
(571) 272-5366

From: Pluta, Robert G. <RPluta@mayerbrown.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 24, 2025 8:41 PM
To: Trials <Trials@USPTO.GOV>
Cc: James M. Heintz <Jim.Heintz@us.dlapiper.com>; Gianni Minutoli <gianni.minutoli@us.dlapiper.com>; DLA-Samsung-Maxell <dla-samsung-maxell@us.dlapiper.com>; FW-CLIENT-Maxell-Samsung-Service <Maxell-Samsung-Service@mayerbrown.com>
Subject: Request for pre-hearing conference

CAUTION: This email has originated from a source outside of USPTO. **PLEASE CONSIDER THE SOURCE** before responding, clicking on links, or opening attachments.

Dear Board,

Pursuant to the Trial Practice Guide (p. 82), Maxell requests a pre-hearing conference call in IPR2024-00828 (hearing date 8/13/25), IPR2024-00867 (hearing date 8/14/25), IPR2024-00735, IPR2024-00777, IPR2024-00906, and IPR2024-00907. The list of issues to be discussed at the conference are as follows:

1. Request that the Board rule on Maxell's motion to exclude evidence in IPR2024-00735 and IPR2024-00777 prior to the September 9, 2025 hearing; and

2. Discuss Maxell making an offer of proof under FRE 103(a) addressing inconsistent statements made by petitioner pertaining to IPR2024-00828, -00867, -00735, -00777, -00906, -00907,

The parties have conferred, and Petitioner opposes request (1) because Patent Owner has not identified any reason why the motions should be decided prior to the hearing, and does not take a position with respect to request (2) because Patent Owner's late notice of the request in an email yesterday afternoon and the lack of information in that email has not allowed Samsung sufficient opportunity to evaluate it.

Respectfully submitted,

Rob Pluta
Counsel for Maxell, Ltd.

Robert G. Pluta
Partner
Mayer Brown LLP
71 South Wacker Drive
Chicago, IL 60606 United States of America
T +1 312 701 8641 | M +1 312 451 8200
LinkedIn | Twitter
mayerbrown.com

This email and any files transmitted with it are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this email in error please notify the system manager. If you are not the named addressee you should not disseminate, distribute or copy this e-mail.

Mayer Brown is a global legal services provider comprising an association of legal practices that are separate entities, including Mayer Brown LLP (Illinois, USA), Mayer Brown International LLP (England), Mayer Brown Hong Kong LLP (a Hong Kong limited liability partnership) and Tauil & Chequer Advogados (a Brazilian partnership).

Information about how we handle personal information and our use of relationship insight tools in conjunction with email is available in our Privacy Notice.