

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GIESECKE+DEVRIENT GMBH, GIESECKE+DEVRIENT CURRENCY
TECHNOLOGY GMBH, and PAPIERFABRIK LOUISENTHAL GMBH,
Petitioner,

v.

LUMENCO, LLC,
Patent Owner.

IPR2024-00836 (Patent 10,189,294 B2)
IPR2024-00838 (Patent 10,901,191 B2)
IPR2024-00839 (Patent 11,448,863 B2)¹

Before MEREDITH C. PETRAVICK, BENJAMIN D. M. WOOD, and
NABEEL U. KHAN, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

KHAN, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER
Granting Petitioner's Motions for
Pro Hac Vice Admission of Eric E. Lancaster
37 C.F.R. § 42.10

¹ This Order addresses issues that are identical in each of the above-identified cases. Accordingly, we exercise our discretion to issue one order to be filed in each case. The parties, however, are not authorized to use this style heading in any subsequent papers without prior authorization.

IPR2024-00836 (Patent 10,189,294 B2)

IPR2024-00838 (Patent 10,901,191 B2)

IPR2024-00839 (Patent 11,448,863 B2)

On January 8, 2025, Giesecke+Devrient GmbH, Giesecke+Devrient Currency Technology GmbH, and Papierfabrik Louisenenthal GmbH (collectively “Petitioner”) filed motions for *pro hac vice* admission of Eric E. Lancaster in each of the above-identified proceedings. IPR2024-00836, Paper 11 (collectively “Motions”).² The Motion in each case is supported by a Declaration of Mr. Lancaster. IPR2024-00836, Exhibit 1050 (collectively “Declarations”).

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), we may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause. In authorizing a motion for *pro hac vice* admission, the Board requires the moving party to provide a statement of facts showing there is good cause for the Board to recognize counsel *pro hac vice* and an affidavit or declaration of the individual seeking to appear in the proceeding. *See Unified Patents, Inc. v. Parallel Iron, LLC*, IPR2013-00639 (PTAB Oct. 15, 2013) (Paper 7) (representative “Order – Authorizing Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission”).

Based on the facts set forth in the Motions and the accompanying Declarations, we conclude that Mr. Lancaster has sufficient legal and technical qualifications to represent Petitioner in these proceedings, has demonstrated sufficient litigation experience and familiarity with the subject matter of these proceedings, and meets all other requirements for admission *pro hac vice*. *See* Declarations ¶¶ 1–10. Accordingly, Petitioner has established good cause for *pro hac vice* admission of Mr. Lancaster.

² For expediency, we refer to Paper and Exhibit numbers filed in IPR2024-00836. Similar Papers and Exhibits were filed in IPR2024-00838 and IPR2024-00839.

IPR2024-00836 (Patent 10,189,294 B2)
IPR2024-00838 (Patent 10,901,191 B2)
IPR2024-00839 (Patent 11,448,863 B2)

We note that Petitioner filed a Power of Attorney including Mr. Lancaster in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(b) as Paper 2 in each proceeding. Petitioner has also submitted a Mandatory Notice identifying Mr. Lancaster as back-up counsel in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3) in Paper 1 (the Petition) in each proceeding.

It is, therefore,

ORDERED that Petitioner's Motions (IPR2024-00836, Paper 11; IPR2024-00838, Paper 11; and IPR2024-00839, Paper 10) for *pro hac vice* admission of Eric E. Lancaster are *granted*;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Lancaster will be authorized to act only as back-up counsel in the above-identified proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner is to continue to have a registered practitioner represent it as lead counsel for each proceeding;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Lancaster shall comply with the Consolidated Trial Practice Guide³ (84 Fed. Reg. 64,280 (Nov. 21, 2019)), and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials as set forth in Part 42 of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Lancaster shall be subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.*

³ Available at <https://www.uspto.gov/TrialPracticeGuideConsolidated>.

IPR2024-00836 (Patent 10,189,294 B2)

IPR2024-00838 (Patent 10,901,191 B2)

IPR2024-00839 (Patent 11,448,863 B2)

FOR PETITIONER:

David Tennant

Jacob Rothenberg

Jeremy Rashid

PAUL HASTINGS LLP

davidtennant@paulhastings.com

jacobrothenberg@paulhastings.com

jeremyrashid@paulhastings.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

Carey Rozier

Kristen Whidby

ROZIER HARDT MCDONOUGH PLLC

matt@rhmttrial.com

kristen@rhmttrial.com