

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ENDYMED MEDICAL LTD and ENDYMED MEDICAL, INC.,¹
Petitioner,

v.

SERENDIA, LLC,
Patent Owner.

IPR2024-00386
Patent 9,480,836 B2

Before CARL M. DEFRANCO, MICHAEL L. WOODS, and RYAN H. FLAX,
Administrative Patent Judges.

WOODS, *Administrative Patent Judge.*

TERMINATION
Due to Settlement After Institution of Trial
35 U.S.C. § 317; 37 C.F.R. § 42.74

¹ Endymed Medical LTD and Endymed Medical, Inc. were joined as petitioners to this proceeding. *See* Paper 37. The original petitioners, Illooda Co., Ltd., and Jeisys Medical Inc. are no longer parties. *See* Papers 14, 38.

I. INTRODUCTION

With the Board's authorization, Petitioner and Patent Owner (collectively referred to as "the Parties") filed a Joint Motion to Terminate the above-identified proceeding due to settlement. Paper 51 ("Joint Motion" or "Mot."). In support of the Joint Motion, the Parties filed a Confidential Settlement Agreement (Ex. 2161 ("Settlement Agreement")), as well as a Joint Request that Settlement Agreement be Treated as Business Confidential Information (Paper 52 ("Joint Request")) pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74.

II. DISCUSSION

In the Joint Motion, the Parties represent that they have reached an agreement to jointly seek termination of this *inter partes* review proceeding, and that the filed copy of the Settlement Agreement is a true and complete copy. Mot. 1. The Parties further represent that their settlement agreement "resolv[es] the underlying dispute in this inter partes review proceeding" and "all disputes between the Parties regarding the Patent-in-Suit have been resolved." *Id.* at 2.

Under 35 U.S.C. § 317(a), "[a]n inter partes review instituted under this chapter shall be terminated with respect to any petitioner upon the joint request of the petitioner and the patent owner, unless the Office has decided the merits of the proceeding before the request for termination is filed." 35 U.S.C. § 317(a) also provides that if no petitioner remains in the *inter partes* review, the Office may terminate the review.

We have not yet decided the merits of the proceeding, and a final written decision has not been entered. Notwithstanding that the proceeding has moved beyond the preliminary stage, the Parties have shown adequately that the termination of the proceeding is appropriate. Under these circumstances, we determine that good cause exists to terminate the proceeding with respect to the Parties.

After reviewing the Settlement Agreement between Petitioner and Patent Owner, we find that the Settlement Agreement contains confidential business information regarding the terms of settlement. We determine that good cause exists to treat the Settlement Agreement between Petitioner and Patent Owner as business confidential information pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c).

This Order does not constitute a final written decision pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 318(a).

III. ORDER

Accordingly, for the reasons discussed above, it is:

ORDERED that the Joint Motion to Terminate (Paper 51) is *granted*, and IPR2024-00386 is *terminated* with respect to Petitioner and Patent Owner pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(a) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.72; and

FURTHER ORDERED that the Joint Request (Paper 52) that Settlement Agreement (Ex. 2161) be Treated as Business Confidential Information is *granted*, and the Settlement Agreement shall be kept separate from the file of Patent 9,480,836 B2, and made available only to Federal Government agencies on written request, or to any person on a showing of good cause, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c).

IPR2024-00386
Patent 9,480,836 B2

FOR PETITIONER:

Guy Yonay
Kyle Auteri
Zeev Pearl
Milo Eadan
PEARL COHEN ZEDEK LATZER BARATZ LLP
gyonay@pearlcohen.com
kauteri@pearlcohen.com
zpearl@pearlcohen.com
meadan@pearlcohen.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

Charles Sanders
Steven Peters
Cecilia Peniza
LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
charles.sanders@lw.com
steven.peters@lw.com
cecilia.peniza@lw.com