

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

AMAZON.COM, INC. and
AMAZON.COM SERVICES LLC,
Petitioner,

v.

NOKIA TECHNOLOGIES OY,
Patent Owner.

IPR2024-00847 (Patent 7,532,808 B2)
IPR2024-00848 (Patent 7,532,808 B2)¹

Before GREGG I. ANDERSON, STEVEN M. AMUNDSON, and
JASON M. REPKO, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

AMUNDSON, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER
Conditionally Granting Patent Owner's Motions for
Pro Hac Vice Admission of Samuel L. Moore
37 C.F.R. § 42.10

¹ This combined caption is for administrative convenience only and does not indicate that IPR2024-00847 and IPR2024-00848 have been joined. The parties are not authorized to use this caption without express permission from the Board.

On February 11, 2025, Patent Owner filed motions requesting *pro hac vice* admission of Samuel L. Moore in each of the above-identified proceedings (collectively “Motions”). Paper 15.² Patent Owner also submitted Declarations from Samuel L. Moore in support of the Motions (collectively “Declarations”). Ex. 2012.³

Petitioner has not opposed the Motions.

For the reasons explained below, Patent Owner’s Motions are *conditionally granted*.

Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), the Board may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner. In authorizing a motion for *pro hac vice* admission, the Board requires the moving party to provide a statement of facts showing there is good cause for the Board to recognize counsel *pro hac vice* and an affidavit or declaration of the individual seeking to appear in the proceeding. *See Unified Patents, Inc. v. Parallel Iron, LLC*, IPR2013-00639, Paper 7 (PTAB Oct. 15, 2013) (representative “Order – Authorizing Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission”).

Based on the facts set forth in the Motions and the accompanying Declarations, we conclude that Mr. Moore has sufficient legal and technical qualifications to represent Patent Owner in these proceedings, has demonstrated sufficient litigation experience and familiarity with the subject matter of these proceedings, and meets all other requirements for admission

² For purposes of expediency, we cite to the paper filed in IPR2024-00847. Patent Owner filed a similar paper in IPR2024-00848 (Paper 15).

³ We cite to the exhibit filed in IPR2024-00847. Patent Owner filed a similar exhibit in IPR2024-00848 (Exhibit 2012).

pro hac vice. See Ex. 2012. Hence, Patent Owner has established good cause for *pro hac vice* admission of Mr. Moore. Mr. Moore will be permitted to serve as back-up counsel only. See 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c).

After review of the current record, we note that a Power of Attorney according to 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(b) designating Mr. Moore has not been filed. Consequently, for the reasons set forth below, the Motions are *conditionally granted*, and will become effective after Patent Owner files a Power of Attorney designating Mr. Moore.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that Patent Owner's Motions for *pro hac vice* admission of Samuel L. Moore are *conditionally granted*, provided that within ten (10) business days of the date of this order, Patent Owner files in the above-identified proceedings a Power of Attorney according to 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(b) designating Mr. Moore;

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner shall file in the above-identified proceedings updated mandatory notices identifying Mr. Moore as back-up counsel according to 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3);

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner shall continue to have a registered practitioner represent it as lead counsel in the above-identified proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Moore is authorized to represent Patent Owner as back-up counsel only in the above-identified proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Moore shall comply with the Patent Trial and Appeal Board Consolidated Trial Practice Guide⁴ (84 Fed. Reg.

⁴ Available at <https://www.uspto.gov/TrialPracticeGuideConsolidated>.

IPR2024-00847 (Patent 7,532,808 B2)

IPR2024-00848 (Patent 7,532,808 B2)

64,280 (Nov. 21, 2019)), and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Part 42 of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Moore is subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101–11.901.

IPR2024-00847 (Patent 7,532,808 B2)

IPR2024-00848 (Patent 7,532,808 B2)

For PETITIONER:

Jessica C. Kaiser

Robin L. Brewer

Jonathan R. Carter

Steven R. Beigelmacher

Atanas Baitchev

PERKINS COIE LLP

kaiser-ptab@perkinscoie.com

brewer-ptab@perkinscoie.com

carter-ptab@perkinscoie.com

beigelmacher-ptab@perkinscoie.com

baitchev_ptab@perkinscoie.com

Amazon-NokiaIPR@perkinscoie.com

For PATENT OWNER:

Scott W. Hejny

R. Mitch Verboncoeur

Samuel L. Moore

McKOOL SMITH, P.C.

shejny@mckoolsmith.com

mverboncoeur@mckoolsmith.com

smoore@mckoolsmith.com

Nokia_IPRs_Amazon_HP@mckoolsmith.com