UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

AMAZON.COM, INC. and AMAZON.COM SERVICES LLC,
Petitioners

v.

NOKIA TECHNOLOGIES OY,
Patent Owner.

IPR2024-00847

U.S. Patent No. 7,532,808

PETITIONERS' OBJECTIONS TO PATENT OWNER'S EVIDENCE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1)

I. INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1), Petitioners Amazon.com, Inc. and Amazon.com Services LLC object to Exhibits 2015, 2017, 2018, 2024, and 2029 submitted by Patent Owner Nokia Technologies Oy with its Response (Paper 21), filed March 20, 2025. These objections are based on 37 C.F.R. Part 42 and the relevant portions of the Federal Rules of Evidence ("F.R.E.") that apply to *inter partes* review proceedings under 37 C.F.R. § 42.62. These objections have been timely filed and served within five business days of service of evidence.

II. OBJECTIONS TO EXHIBITS 2015, 2017, 2018, 2024, and 2029

Petitioners hereby object to Exhibits 2015, 2017, 2018, 2024, and 2029 under F.R.E. 401, 402, and 403. These exhibits are dated after the alleged priority date of the '808 patent and are unrelated to the '808 patent.

Exhibits 2015 and 2018 relate to a JVT submission and should be excluded because they are offered for the purpose of supplementing the disclosure of, and interpret the claims of, the '808 patent, yet they are neither incorporated into nor referenced in the '808, nor do they even purport to have anything to do with the patent. *E.g.*, Patent Owner's Response ("POR"), 3, 15. The exhibits are not relevant to any disputed issue in this proceeding, but even if they were somehow relevant, their probative value would be substantially outweighed by the danger of those exhibits causing confusion, misleading the Board, and wasting time.

Exhibits 2017, 2024, and 2029 are dated after the alleged priority date of the ’808 patent and relate to the H.264 standard, which was itself adopted after the alleged priority date of the ’808 patent. PO relies upon the exhibits to supplement the disclosure of, and interpret the claims of, the ’808 patent. *E.g.*, POPR, 3; EX2013, ¶¶97, 148. Exhibits 2017, 2024, and 2029 are not relevant to any disputed issue in this proceeding, but even if they were somehow relevant, their probative value would be substantially outweighed by the danger of the exhibits causing confusion, misleading the Board, and wasting time.

Respectfully submitted,

/ Jessica Kaiser /

Jessica Kaiser
Reg. No. 58,937
Attorney for Petitioner

PERKINS COIE LLP
1900 Sixteenth Street, Suite 1400
Denver, CO 80202-5255

Date: March 27, 2025

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on March 27, 2025 true and correct copies of the foregoing PETITIONERS' OBJECTIONS TO PATENT OWNER'S EVIDENCE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1) was served via electronic mail upon the following counsel of record for Patent Owner:

Scott W. Hejny - shejny@McKoolSmith.com
Mitch Verboncoeur - mverboncoeur@mckoolsmith.com
Samuel L. Moore - smoore@mckoolsmith.com
Nokia_IPRs_Amazon_HP@mckoolsmith.com

Dated: March 27, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

/ Jessica Kaiser /

Jessica Kaiser
Reg. No. 58,937

Perkins Coie LLP
1900 Sixteenth Street, Ste. 1400
Denver, CO 80202-5255