

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GOOGLE LLC, SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO. LTD.,
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,
and MICROSOFT CORPORATION
Petitioners,

v.

EYESMATCH LTD.,
Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2024-00856¹
U.S. Patent No. 8,982,109

PATENT OWNER’S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

¹ IPR2025-00297 has been joined with this proceeding.

Pursuant to the Board's Scheduling Order (Paper No. 16), Patent Owner EyesMatch Ltd. ("EyesMatch") respectfully requests oral argument, currently scheduled for September 17, 2025. EyesMatch requests one hour in which to present its arguments. Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(a), and without intending to waive any issue not specifically identified, EyesMatch specifies the following issues to be argued:

1. Whether claims 1-6, 8, and 17 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C § 103 as obvious over Rosenberg and Nakazawa;
2. Whether claims 1-6, 8, 11, and 17 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C § 103 as obvious over Rosenberg, Nakazawa, and Hatanaka;
3. Whether claims 1-6, 8, and 17 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C § 103 as obvious over Rosenberg, Nakazawa, and Tripathi;
4. Whether claim 11 is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C § 103 as obvious over Rosenberg, Nakazawa, Tripathi, and Hatanaka;
5. Whether claim 7 is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C § 103 as obvious over Rosenberg, Nakazawa, and Iwai;
6. Whether claim 7 is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C § 103 as obvious over Nakazawa, Hatanaka, and Iwai;
7. Whether claim 7 is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C § 103 as obvious over Nakazawa, Tripathi, and Iwai;
8. Whether claim 8 is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C § 103 as obvious over

Rosenberg, Nakazawa, and Kubota;

9. Whether claim 8 is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C § 103 as obvious over Rosenberg, Nakazawa, Hatanaka, and Kubota;

10. Whether claim 8 is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C § 103 as obvious over Rosenberg, Nakazawa, Tripathi, and Kubota;

11. Whether claim 10 is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C § 103 as obvious over Rosenberg, Nakazawa, and Cho;

12. Whether claim 10 is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C § 103 as obvious over Rosenberg, Nakazawa, Hatanaka, and Cho;

13. Whether claim 10 is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C § 103 as obvious over Rosenberg, Nakazawa, Tripathi, and Cho;

14. Whether claim 12 is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C § 103 as obvious over Rosenberg, Nakazawa, and Park;

15. Whether claim 12 is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C § 103 as obvious over Rosenberg, Nakazawa, Hatanaka, and Park;

16. Whether claim 12 is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C § 103 as obvious over Rosenberg, Nakazawa, Tripathi, and Park;

17. Whether claims 13-15 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C § 103 as obvious over Rosenberg, Nakazawa, and Kuranov;

18. Whether claims 13-15 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C § 103 as

obvious over Rosenberg, Nakazawa, Hatanaka, and Kuranov;

19. Whether claims 13-15 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C § 103 as obvious over Rosenberg, Nakazawa, Tripathi, and Kuranov;

20. Whether claim 16 is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C § 103 as obvious over Rosenberg, Nakazawa, and Chang;

21. Whether claim 16 is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C § 103 as obvious over Rosenberg, Nakazawa, Hatanaka, and Chang;

22. Whether claim 16 is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C § 103 as obvious over Rosenberg, Nakazawa, Tripathi, and Chang;

23. Whether claim 18 is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C § 103 as obvious over Rosenberg, Nakazawa, and Lizee;

24. Whether claim 18 is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C § 103 as obvious over Rosenberg, Nakazawa, Hatanaka, and Lizee;

25. Whether claim 18 is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C § 103 as obvious over Rosenberg, Nakazawa, Tripathi, and Lizee;

26. Any other issues raised in papers filed in this proceeding, including issues raised in papers yet to be filed or filed concurrently; and

27. Any other outstanding issues, motions, or pleading that the Board deems necessary for issuing a Final Written Decision.

EyesMatch requests that the oral argument be held in person at the USPTO Headquarters in Alexandria, Virginia. EyesMatch also requests that the Board provide audio-visual equipment to display demonstrative exhibits, including a projector and screen for displaying documents from a computer.

Given the overlap in issues and asserted Grounds, EyesMatch believes that oral argument for IPR Nos. IPR2024-00810 and IPR2024-00856 should be combined into a single hearing, if convenient for the Board.

Dated: July 31, 2025

Respectfully submitted.

/John C. Pierce/

John C. Pierce

Tensegrity Law Group LLP

USPTO Reg. No. 79,938

1676 International Drive

Suite 910

McLean, VA 22102

Telephone: 865-388-5914

Facsimile: 650-802-6001

Email: john.pierce@tensegritylawgroup.com

Matthew D. Powers, *admitted pro hac vice*

William P. Nelson, *admitted pro hac vice*

Natasha M. Saputo, *admitted pro hac vice*

Tensegrity Law Group LLP

555 Twin Dolphin Drive, Suite 650

Redwood Shores, CA 94065

Telephone: 650-802-6000

Facsimile: 650-802-6001

Email:

matthew.powers@tensegritylawgroup.com

william.nelson@tensegritylawgroup.com

natasha.saputo@tensegritylawgroup.com
eyesmatch_team@tensegritylawgroup.com

CERTIFICATION OF SERVICE (37 C.F.R. §§ 42.6(e), 42.105(a))

The undersigned hereby certifies that on July 31, 2025, copies of the foregoing were served via Electronic Mail to the following:

Erika H. Arner (Reg. No. 57,540) Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP 1875 Explorer Street, Suite 800 Reston, VA 20190-5676 Tel: (571) 203-2754 Fax: (202) 408-4400 erika.arner@finnegan.com Sydney R. Kestle (Reg. No. 78,725) Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP 901 New York Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20001-4413 (202) 408-4000 sydney.kestle@finnegan.com Xirui Zhang (Reg. No. 78,809) Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP Two Seaport Lane Boston, MA 02210-2001 (617) 646-1600 xirui.zhang@finnegan.com Kara A. Specht (Reg. No. 69,560) Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP 271 17th Street, NW, Suite 1400 Atlanta, GA 30363-6209 Tel: (404) 653-6400 Fax: (404) 653-6444 kara.specht@finnegan.com Eliot D. Williams (Reg. No. 50,822)	Alexander B. Stein (Reg. No. 71,397) MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP 1301 Second Ave., Suite 3000 Seattle, WA 98101 Tel: (206) 274-6400 Fax: (206) 274-6401 alexander.stein@morganlewis.com Timmy Chi-Hin Lee (Reg. No. 79,856) MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP 1400 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304 Tel: (650) 843-4000 Fax: (650) 843-4001 timmy.lee@morganlewis.com <i>Counsel for Microsoft Corporation</i>
---	---

Baker Botts L.L.P. 700 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20001 (202) 639-1334 DLBBSamsungEyesmatch @BakerBotts.com Neil Sirota, (Reg. No. 38, 306) Margaret M. Welsh (Reg. No. 70,745) Chun Xu (Reg. No. 800,021) Pallavi Mathur (Reg. No. 80,102) Baker Botts L.L.P. 30 Rockefeller Plaza New York, NY 10112 (212) 408-2500 DLBBSamsungEyesmatch @BakerBotts.com Jose Villarreal (Reg. No. 43,969) Baker Botts L.L.P. 401 South 1st Street Austin, TX 78704 (512) 322-2500 DLBBSamsungEyesmatch @BakerBotts.com <i>Counsel for Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd., Samsung Electronics America, Inc., and Google LLC</i>	
--	--

/John C. Pierce/

John C. Pierce
USPTO Reg. No. 79,938
Tensegrity Law Group LLP
1676 International Drive
Suite 910
McLean, VA 22102
Telephone: 865-388-5914
Facsimile: 650-802-6001
Email: john.pierce@tensegritylawgroup.com