



Computers and electronics in agriculture

Special Issue:
Smart Sensors in precision livestock farming

Edited by:
Daniel Berckmans
and
Marcella Guarino

630.5
C739



EDITORS-IN-CHIEF

R.E. Plant, University of California, Department of Agronomy & Range Science, One Shields Avenue, Davis, CA 95616-8515, USA.
Tel: +1-530-752-1705; Fax: +1-530-752-4361; E-mail: replant@ucdavis.edu

K.C. Ting, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Department of Agricultural and Biological Engineering, 1304 West Pennsylvania Avenue, Urbana, IL 61801, USA. Tel: +1-217-333-3570; Fax: +1-217-244-0323; E-mail: kcting@uiuc.edu

G. van Straten, Wageningen University, Agrotechnology and Food Sciences, Bornsesteeg 59, 6708 PD, Wageningen, The Netherlands.
Tel: +31 317 483331; Fax: +31 317 484957; Email: gerrit.vanstraten@wur.nl

EDITORIAL BOARD

H. Auerhammer, Freising-Weihenstephan, Germany
M. Batte, Columbus, OH, USA

D. Berckmans, Leuven-Heverlee, Belgium

J. Bontsema, Wageningen, The Netherlands

G.P.A. Bot, Wageningen, The Netherlands

H.A. Ceccatto, Rosario, Argentina

Y.-R. Chen, Beltsville, MD, USA

N.H. Hancock, Toowoomba, Qld., Australia

H. Hogeveen, Wageningen, The Netherlands

M. Kassler, Northbridge, NSW, Australia

F. Kuhlmann, Giessen, Germany

K. Kurata, Tokyo, Japan

C.A. Madramootoo, Ste-Anne-de-Bellevue, PQ, Canada

G.E. Meyer, University of Nebraska, Lincoln, NE, USA

M.T. Morgan, West Lafayette, IN, USA

D. Ordolf, Kiel, Germany

D.J. Parsons, Bedford, UK

W. Rossing, Wageningen, The Netherlands

D. Schmoldt, Washington, DC, USA

J. Schueller, Gainesville, FL, USA

S.A. Shearer, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY, USA

N. Sigrimis, Athens, Greece

J. Stafford, Bedford, UK

M. Stock, Moscow, ID, USA

S. Symons, Winnipeg, Canada

A.J. Thomson, Victoria, BC, Canada

Mao-hua Wang, Beijing, P.R. China

Q. Zhang, Urbana, IL, USA

GENERAL INFORMATION

Audience: All scientists, engineers, environmentalists and economists concerned with applications of computers and electronics to agriculture and related industries.

Aims and Scope: *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture* provides international coverage of advances in the application of computer hardware, software and electronic instrumentation and control systems to agriculture, forestry and related industries. The latter include horticulture (in both its food and amenity aspects), forest products, aquaculture, animal/livestock science, veterinary medicine and food processing.

The journal publishes original papers, reviews, applications notes and book reviews on topics including computerized decision-support aids (e.g., expert systems and simulation models) pertaining to any aspect of the aforementioned industries; electronic monitoring or control of any aspect of livestock/crop production (e.g. soil and water, environment, growth, health, waste products) and post-harvest operations (such as drying, storage, production assessment, trimming and dissection of plant and animal material). Relevant areas of technology include artificial intelligence, sensors, machine vision, robotics and simulation modelling.

Publication information: *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture* (ISSN 0168-1699). For 2008 volumes 60–64 are scheduled for publication. Subscription prices are available upon request from the Publisher or from the Regional Sales Office nearest you or from this journal's website (<http://www.elsevier.com/locate/compag>). Further information is available on this journal and other Elsevier products through Elsevier's website: (<http://www.elsevier.com>). Subscriptions are accepted on a prepaid basis only and are entered on a calendar year basis. Issues are sent by standard mail (surface within Europe, air delivery outside Europe). Priority rates are available upon request. Claims for missing issues should be made within six months of the date of dispatch.

Orders, claims, and journal enquiries: please contact the Customer Service Department at the Regional Sales Office nearest you:

Orlando: Elsevier, Customer Service Department, 6277 Sea Harbor Drive, Orlando, FL 32887-4800, USA; phone: (877) 8397126 or (800) 6542452 [toll free number for US customers], (+1) (407) 3454020 or (+1) (407) 3454000 [customers outside US]; fax: (+1) (407) 3631354 or (+1) (407) 3639661; e-mail: usjcs@elsevier.com or elspcs@elsevier.com

Amsterdam: Elsevier, Customer Service Department, PO Box 211, 1000 AE Amsterdam, The Netherlands; phone: (+31) (20) 4853757; fax: (+31) (20) 4853432; e-mail: nlinfo-f@elsevier.com

Tokyo: Elsevier, Customer Service Department, 4F Higashi-Azabu, 1-Chome Bldg, 1-9-15 Higashi-Azabu, Minato-ku, Tokyo 106-0044, Japan; phone: (+81) (3) 5561 5037; fax: (+81) (3) 5561 5047; e-mail: jp.info@elsevier.com

Singapore: Elsevier, Customer Service Department, 3 Killiney Road, #08-01 Winsland House I, Singapore 239519; phone: (+65) 63490222; fax: (+65) 67331510; e-mail: asiainfo@elsevier.com

USA mailing notice: *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture* (ISSN 0168-1699) is published monthly by Elsevier B.V., Radarweg 29, 1043 NX Amsterdam, The Netherlands. Periodical postage paid at Rahway NJ and additional mailing offices.

USA POSTMASTER: Send change of address: *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, Elsevier, 6277 Sea Harbor Drive, Orlando, FL 32887-4800.

AIRFREIGHT AND MAILING in USA by Mercury International Limited, 365, Blair Road, Avenel, NJ 07001.

Computers and electronics in agriculture

Volume 64/1 (2008)

OARDC LIBRARY

SEP 29 2008

Wooster, OH 44691



ELSEVIER

Amsterdam • Boston • Jena • London • New York • Oxford • Paris • Philadelphia • San Diego • St. Louis

Smaxtec Exhibit 1018

Smaxtec, Inc. v. ST Reproductive Tech, LLC

Page 3 of 12

EDITORIAL BOARD

H. Auerhammer, Freising-Weißenstephan, Germany
M. Batte, Columbus, OH, USA
D. Berckmans, Catholic University Leuven, Leuven-Heverlee, Belgium
J. Bontsema, Wageningen, The Netherlands
G.P.A. Bot, Wageningen, The Netherlands
H.A. Ceccatto, Instituto de Física Rosario (IFIR), Rosario, Argentina
Y.-R. Chen, Beltsville, MD, USA
N.H. Hancock, Toowoomba, Qld., Australia
H. Hogeveen, Wageningen, The Netherlands
M. Kassler, Northbridge, NSW, Australia
F. Kuhlmann, Giessen, Germany
K. Kurata, Tokyo, Japan
C.A. Madramootoo, Ste-Anne-de-Bellevue, PQ, Canada
G.E. Meyer, University of Nebraska, Lincoln, NE, USA
M.T. Morgan, West Lafayette, IN, USA
D. Ordolff, Kiel, Germany
D.J. Parsons, Bedford, UK
W. Rossing, Wageningen, The Netherlands
D. Schmoldt, Washington, DC, USA
J. Schueller, Gainesville, FL, USA
S.A. Shearer, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY, USA
N. Sigrimis, Athens, Greece
J. Stafford, Bedford, UK
M. Stock, Moscow, ID, USA
S. Symons, Winnipeg, Canada
A.J. Thomson, Victoria, BC, Canada
Mao-hua Wang, Beijing, P.R. China
Q. Zhang, Urbana, IL, USA

Computers and electronics in agriculture

EDITORS-IN-CHIEF

R.E. Plant
University of California
Department of Agronomy & Range
Science
One Shields Avenue
Davis, CA 95616-8515
USA

K.C. Ting
University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign
Department of Agricultural and
Biological Engineering
1304 West Pennsylvania Avenue
Urbana, IL, 61801
USA

G. van Straten,
Wageningen University,
Agrotechnology and Food Sciences,
Bornsesteeg 59,
6708 PD, Wageningen,
The Netherlands



VOLUME 64 (2008)

Amsterdam • Boston • Jena • London • New York •
Oxford • Paris • Philadelphia • San Diego • St. Louis
Smactec Exhibit 1018

Smactec, Inc. v. ST Reproductive Tech, LLC

Page 5 of 12

This journal and the individual contributions contained in it are protected under copyright by Elsevier B.V., and the following terms and conditions apply to their use:

Photocopying

Single photocopies of single articles may be made for personal use as allowed by national copyright laws. Permission of the Publisher and payment of a fee is required for all other photocopying, including multiple or systematic copying, copying for advertising or promotional purposes, resale, and all forms of document delivery. Special rates are available for educational institutions that wish to make photocopies for non-profit educational classroom use.

For information on how to seek permission visit www.elsevier.com/permissions or call: (+44) 1865 843830 (UK) / (+1) 215 239 3804 (USA).

Derivative Works

Subscribers may reproduce tables of contents or prepare lists of articles including abstracts for internal circulation within their institutions. Permission of the Publisher is required for resale or distribution outside the institution. Permission of the Publisher is required for all other derivative works, including compilations and translations (please consult www.elsevier.com/permissions).

Electronic Storage or Usage

Permission of the Publisher is required to store or use electronically any material contained in this journal, including any article or part of an article (please consult www.elsevier.com/permissions).

Except as outlined above, no part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written permission of the Publisher.

Notice

No responsibility is assumed by the Publisher for any injury and/or damage to persons or property as a matter of products liability, negligence or otherwise, or from any use or operation of any methods, products, instructions or ideas contained in the material herein. Because of rapid advances in the medical sciences, in particular, independent verification of diagnoses and drug dosages should be made.

Although all advertising material is expected to conform to ethical (medical) standards, inclusion in this publication does not constitute a guarantee or endorsement of the quality or value of such product or of the claims made of it by its manufacturer.

Sponsored Supplements and/or Commercial Reprints

For more information please contact Elsevier Life Sciences Commercial Sales, Radarweg 29, 1043 NX Amsterdam, The Netherlands; phone: (+31) (20) 485 2939/2059; e-mail: LSCS@elsevier.com.

☺ The paper used in this publication meets the requirements of ANSI/NISO Z39.48-1992 (Permanence of Paper).

Computers and Electronics in Agriculture

Volume 64

Smart Sensors in precision livestock farming

Edited by

Daniel Berckmans
Katholieke Universiteit Leuven
Belgium

Marcella Guarino
University of Milan
Italy

available at www.sciencedirect.comjournal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/compag

VOLUME 64 ISSUE 1

Smart Sensors in precision livestock farming

Edited by

Daniel Berckmans
and
Marcella Guarino

CONTENTS

Preface

D. Berckmans (Leuven, Belgium) and M. Guarino (Milan, Italy)	1
Is precision livestock farming an engineer's daydream or nightmare, an animal's friend or foe, and a farmer's panacea or pitfall?	
C.M. Wathes (London, UK), H.H. Kristensen (Copenhagen, Denmark), J.-M. Aerts and D. Berckmans (Leuven, Belgium)	2
Real time computer stress monitoring of piglets using vocalization analysis	
D.J. Moura, W.T. Silva, I.A. Naas, Y.A. Tolón, K.A.O. Lima and M.M. Vale (São Paulo, Brazil)	11
An automated sensor-based method of simple behavioural classification of sheep in extensive systems	
C. Umstätter, A. Waterhouse and J.P. Holland (Scotland, UK)	19
Automatic blood sampling in dairy cows	
A. Fønss and L. Munksgaard (Tjele, Denmark)	27
Detecting cow's lameness using force sensors	
M. Pastell, M. Kujala, A.-M. Aisla, M. Hautala (Helsinki, Finland), V. Poikalainen, J. Praks, I. Veermäe (Estonia) and J. Ahokas (Helsinki, Finland)	34
Automatic detection of lameness in dairy cattle—Vision-based trackway analysis in cow's locomotion	
X. Song, T. Leroy, E. Vranken (Leuven, Belgium), W. Maertens, B. Sonck (Merelbeke, Belgium) and D. Berckmans (Leuven, Belgium)	39
Technical note: A wireless telemetric method of monitoring clinical acidosis in dairy cows	
T. Mottram, J. Lowe, M. McGowan and N. Phillips (Scotland, UK)	45
Pilot study to monitor body temperature of dairy cows with a rumen bolus	
A.H. Ipema, D. Goense, P.H. Hogewerf, H.W.J. Houwers and H. van Roest (Lelystad, The Netherlands)	49
Automatic real-time monitoring of locomotion and posture behaviour of pregnant cows prior to calving using online image analysis	
Ö. Cangar, T. Leroy (Leuven, Belgium), M. Guarino (Milano, Italy), E. Vranken (Leuven, Belgium), R. Fallon, J. Lenehan (Meath, Ireland), J. Mee (Cork, Ireland) and D. Berckmans (Leuven, Belgium)	53
Monitoring the development of thermoregulation in poultry embryos and its influence by incubation temperature	
B. Tzschentke (Berlin, Germany)	61
Monitoring of swarming sounds in bee hives for early detection of the swarming period	
S. Ferrari (Milano, Italy), M. Silva (Heverlee, Belgium), M. Guarino (Milano, Italy) and D. Berckmans (Heverlee, Belgium)	72
Controlling horse heart rate as a basis for training improvement	
J.-M. Aerts, F. Gebruers, E. Van Camp and D. Berckmans (Leuven, Belgium)	78

available at www.sciencedirect.com

ScienceDirect

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/compag

Technical note: A wireless telemetric method of monitoring clinical acidosis in dairy cows

Toby Mottram*, John Lowe, Michael McGowan, Nancy Phillips

Well Cow Ltd., Roslin Bioscience Centre, Scotland, United Kingdom

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Rumen
pH sensor
Telemetry
Cow
Radio

ABSTRACT

Ruminant animals convert forage containing cellulose by bacterial fermentation into nutrients. The health of the bacterial culture in the rumen is essential for the health and productivity of the animal. Over a number of years fistulated animals have been used to study the rumen and its bacterial population. It has been shown that techniques to maintain the pH of the rumen between 7 and 5.5 pH are essential for the health of the dairy cow. The rumen pH has been recorded by using sensors suspended in the rumen at intervals or exceptionally with data recorders. However, fistulation of an animal requires surgery and is only suitable for a few research animals. This paper describes the development of a telemetric bolus that measured and recorded pH continuously. When interrogated by wireless the bolus transmitted the recorded data to an operator standing beside the cow with a receiving station. Boluses were placed in fistulated animals so that a comparison could be made with a laboratory instrument. Data are presented that show a close correlation between the calibrated laboratory instrument and the bolus at time intervals when the instrument was inserted. From this it can be assumed that the bolus accurately records the temporal variation in rumen pH. Data are presented to show the diurnal change in rumen pH over a 6-week period. Methods of increasing the lifetime and accuracy of the bolus are discussed.

© 2008 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The pH of the rumen of dairy cows is controlled by a number of processes to maintain a population of bacteria capable of digesting a fibrous bulk diet. Various research techniques have been developed to enable some understanding of this complex anaerobic environment. However, routine frequent measurements have long been known to be desirable but until the technology revealed in this paper the rumen was a remote environment about whose status we could only extrapolate between rarely sampled data points in fistulated animals on research farms.

The efficiency of the bovine digestive system is dependent upon the acidity of the rumen being maintained within a range

≥5.8 pH by ensuring an adequate balance of nutrients and fibre for the rumen flora. Various dietary characteristics can affect the pH value of the digestive system, and a number of diseases may affect the pH within the rumen. The measurement of ionic activity has been employed to monitor the rumen status of bovines, particularly to detect sub-acute rumen acidosis (SARA) which is defined as extended periods below 5.5 pH. Until this product rumen acidosis could only be detected by surgical procedures such as lumbar puncture or fistulation of the animal (Garrett et al., 1999) other authors used a permanent surgical modification with an external datalogger or radio link (Mehrota, 2002; Nocek et al., 2002). Peters (1997) described a novel pH sensor with a free-flowing junction to compensate for pressure changes used to detect digestive processes

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: Toby.mottramfamily.co.uk (T. Mottram).

0168-1699/\$ – see front matter © 2008 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

doi:10.1016/j.compag.2008.05.015

in penguins. However, none of the devices previously disclosed offered a simple, accurate long lasting measurement of pH in unmodified cattle.

The problem is not impossible as the autonomous pH probe, developed for humans (Medtronic product literature) is widely used. Probes of this type employ "gastric telemetry" to transmit data detected by a sensor in the probe via radio signals. The main difference is that because the rumen has a unique ability to trap and retain suitably weighted objects a device can remain resident in the cow transmitting accurate pH recording for several weeks. However, anecdotal evidence suggests that attempts to maintain a rumen pH for more than few days have failed due to sensor drift. The objective of this study was to develop and demonstrate a bolus sensor that could maintain accurate measurement of rumen pH (± 0.2 pH units) for in excess of 42 days.

2. Materials and methods

The bolus consists of a sensor, an electronics component to transduce and condition the signal and store the data, a radio transceiver, aerial and battery all sealed within an enclosed container small enough to pass down the throat of an animal.

The sensor is a pH sensor (see Fig. 1) with the reference cell being a gel-filled annular space around a glass electrode bulb and the reference junction being porous Teflon. A pH electrode can be compared to a battery in that an electrical voltage is generated between two points that is a function of water




Fig. 1 – Schematic of a laboratory pH sensor, the Well Cow system replaces the pH meter with a microprocessor and radio link to make the device self-contained.



Fig. 2 – Disassembled bolus, in use the system is sealed.

chemistry. In the case of a pH electrode the voltage potential is a function of the acidity or alkalinity of the solution in which it is immersed.

The pH element is a thin glass membrane that is permeable by H⁺ ions. The electrode is filled with a neutral solution, which by definition contains an equal number of H⁺ and OH⁻ ions. When the probe is immersed in an H⁺ rich environment (acidic) the glass membrane is permeated by the H⁺ ions which exert a positive potential on the sensing electrode. This potential difference is measured by a pH meter and converted to a pH output.

Likewise, when the probe is immersed in an alkaline environment there exists within the probe a higher H⁺ concentration than outside of the probe. This causes H⁺ ions within the probe to migrate outside of the probe which leaves an excess of OH⁻ ions within the probe. A negative potential is thus sensed by the pH meter.

The pH electrode depicted is a single junction glass bulb electrode which is the most commonly used pH electrode in industry. There are many other variations including double junction, antimony and specifically formulated glass for various environments. In normal use the effects of using the pH electrode in materials that differ from neutral for long periods cause the sensor to become poisoned and this is overcome by recalibration. Inside the rumen this is not possible and so design features (which may be disclosed after a patent application) have been used to slow down the effects of electrode poisoning. Probes for pH measurement are inherently unstable and normally require frequent cleaning and calibrating. However, the glass formulation and reference junction of this invention have been designed to be stable for at least 60 days.

The main bi-directional communication link between the bolus and the hand-held data collection unit currently operates on a frequency of 433.92 MHz. The output power of the hand-held unit is 4 mW into a quarter-wave whip. The bolus itself has similar output power, but into a less-efficient antenna. The system is in accordance with European (ETSI) "Industrial, Scientific, and Medical" (ISM) standard EN-300-220-3 and is thus license-free in all European (ETSI) countries.

A bolus (see Figs. 2 and 3) is completed when it is sealed up and completed and the electronics and battery begin to




Fig. 3 – Bolus equipment set comprising radio handset for computer attachment, bolting gun and six boluses.

operate from this date. The identity of the bolus is individual and it is programmed to only respond when its unique identity is interrogated. After the sensor is calibrated and calibration constants are entered into the bolus microprocessor by software the bolus is placed in the animal by mouth. An identity tag is placed in the animal's ear or checked if it already exists. Subsequently when data is required the operator approaches the animal. The identity of the animal under test is read and the identity of the bolus entered into the software. The relevant bolus is then interrogated by the communication system so that only data from the relevant bolus is obtained. The signal from the animal is non-linear and appears to spread in a fan-shaped area down and behind the animal. Along the lower rib cage the antenna has to be within 100 mm of the skin but in the vicinity of the udder good signals were obtained as much as 2 m laterally 0.5 m above the floor.

The boluses were inserted into the rumens of four fistulated steers on a dietary trial. At intervals (minimum 1 h) a sample of rumen liquor was extracted from the vicinity of the bolus and measured immediately with a recently calibrated pH meter inserted via the fistula and the diet recorded. The steers were on an experimental diet to simulate extensive grazing during drought conditions. Feed was periodically




Fig. 4 – Record of bolus and reference pH data over a 14-h period, vertical axis is pH, horizontal axis is the number of automatic samples at 15 min intervals. Squares are manual readings at approximately hourly intervals via fistula, diamonds are the pH telemetry bolus.




Fig. 5 – Bolus 142 data over a 44-day period compared with reference. The normal daily fluctuation in pH is clear—1–750 = 1 week, followed by a fasting period then a drop following a feed then a return to a normal daily cycle over 10 days then a repeat of the pattern. This graph highlights the different detail of analysis that the novel technology permits.

offered and withdrawn. After four days the data from the bolus were downloaded and compared against the reference probe.

3. Results and discussion

The principal difference between the bolus system and traditional pH meters deployed by fistula is the ease and simplicity with which large amounts of data can be collected from a difficult and easily perturbed location. For all practical purposes a rumen bolus is unrecoverable for recalibration or servicing until post-mortem. The purpose of our current development programme is to establish baseline data on the bolus with fistulated animals where we can compare performance and recalibrate and service the bolus. In the long term when calibrations are well established we will be confident in using boluses for extended periods in unmodified cattle.

Even when inserted by fistula the bolus migrated to the rumen reticulum within a few hours of insertion. In some tests this could probably be identified by a slight change in pH. It is well known that the compartmented stomachs of the cow have pH gradients as the rumen flora digest the various dietary constituents in a churning mass of fibrous material. In this study the extraction of rumen liquor was as close as possible to the bolus thus removing some of the offset due to location. However, the rumen is dynamic and non-homogeneous and there is no guarantee that the same fluid was being measured given the inertia of pH sensors and that the placement is entirely tactile at extreme arms length. The bolus is reading inherently more data as the a/d is sampled every minute and the average over 15 min is calculated and this compares with a meter reading which is transient. A truer test would be an anchored pH meter tied to a bolus, however, that is a non-practical and probably unnecessary experiment.

The radio download of data took a few seconds and presented no major problems. The emission field around the cow was highly variable and non-linear. It offers an opportunity for further research to determine the optimum location and




Fig. 6 – Rolling mean of pH bolus 142 compared to reference, vertical axis pH units.

configuration of antennae both within the bolus, where space is a major issue, or outside the cow where it is not.

In one test the bolus 173 was compared at intervals of approximately 1 h with a reference probe (Fig. 4). The graphs match well in profile with a slight under reading by the bolus. When the pH begins to change rapidly the bolus can read many more points.

The difference in data generated is even more emphasised in Fig. 5 which was recorded over 44 days. The reference probe completely misses large fluctuations in rumen pH. These have a daily cycle even in these animals on a restricted diet. When the animals are given a grain feed the pH rises rapidly and then falls sharply presumably as the rumen flora adapt to a sudden new source of nutrients.

The aim of future work is to reduce the drift that occurs due to a poisoning of the electrode over time. This can be seen in Fig. 6 where a rolling mean for the bolus is compared to the

reference electrode. For most of the period there is approximate agreement (± 0.2 pH units) between the two but after about 35 days the difference increases. This presents a major challenge for future work. There is also a major opportunity to add other sensors to the basic platform.

At this stage with only a few boluses built and tested it is possible to show that the authors have demonstrated a novel technology platform which will have major implications for research in cattle diets in the future.

Acknowledgements

The research group would like to thank Prof. Dennis Poppi, Mr Andrew Gibbon, Les Gardner and Michael Halliday at the University of Queensland's Mt Cotton Farm, Australia, for their provision and maintenance of cattle, and their professional assistance with sample collection.

REFERENCES

- Garrett, E.F., Pereira, M.N., Nordlund, K.V., Armentano, L.E., Goodger, W.J., Oetzel, G.R., 1999. Diagnostic methods for the detection of subacute ruminal acidosis. *J. Dairy Sci.* 82, 1170–1178.
- Mehrota, V. (Monsanto) Filed April 2002, US Patent application 10/125,319 apparatus and method for monitoring rumen pH.
- Nocek, J.E., Allman, J.E., Kautz, W.P., 2002. Evaluation of an indwelling ruminal probe methodology and effect of grain level on diurnal pH variation in dairy cattle. *J. Dairy Sci.* 85 (2), 422–428.
- Peters, G., 1997. A new device for monitoring gastric pH in free ranging animals. *Am. J. Physiol.* 273 (Gastrointest. Liver Physiol. 36), G748–G753.