

Bhattarai, Roshan

From: Erickson, Brian
Sent: Monday, September 8, 2025 5:33 PM
To: Pluta, Robert G.; Heintz, James M.; Minutoli, Gianni; Bhattarai, Roshan; Jay, Michael
Cc: DLA-Samsung-Maxell; FW-CLIENT-Maxell-Samsung-Service
Subject: RE: Samsung/Maxell IPRs--draft email to Board

Rob,

So long as Maxell only submits that portion of the transcript, and assuming the hearing slides referred to in that portion of the transcript are public (or that we can agree to make the so) then we will not need a PO.

If Maxell decides it wants to cite or submit any of the other papers you list below for the first time, Maxell will have to separately seek leave from the Board to do so. Maxell should include an explanation for Maxell's delay in seeking that permission from the date at which Maxell became aware of that information until today and, in particular, why Maxell did not bring that up on the call today in light of the schedule (which was agreed to based on the scope of Maxell's request). To the extent the Board allows Maxell to address any of those additional papers, a PO and new schedule likely will be required.

Regards,
Brian

Brian K. Erickson
Partner

T +1 512 457 7059
F +1 512 721 2263
M +1 512 426 6316
brian.erickson@us.dlapiper.com

DLA Piper LLP (US)
dlapiper.com

From: Pluta, Robert G. <RPluta@mayerbrown.com>
Sent: Monday, September 8, 2025 4:14 PM
To: Erickson, Brian <Brian.Erickson@us.dlapiper.com>; Heintz, James M. <Jim.Heintz@us.dlapiper.com>; Minutoli, Gianni <gianni.minutoli@us.dlapiper.com>; Bhattarai, Roshan <Roshan.Bhattarai@us.dlapiper.com>; Jay, Michael <Michael.Jay@us.dlapiper.com>
Cc: DLA-Samsung-Maxell <DLA-Samsung-Maxell@us.dlapiper.com>; FW-CLIENT-Maxell-Samsung-Service <Maxell-Samsung-Service@mayerbrown.com>
Subject: RE: Samsung/Maxell IPRs--draft email to Board

 EXTERNAL MESSAGE

Brian,

The lines from the hearing are the 4/24/25 hearing transcript @ 27:2-29:6 (public). Maxell is still evaluating and reserves the right to cite to one of the following papers from Samsung, each of which is public.

- Samsung's Opposition to Maxell's Motion for Partial Reconsideration of the Court's Order on MSJ. See Dkt. No 296 (EDTX) (public)
- Redacted version of Samsung's Sealed Motion for Summary Judgement of Non-infringement of the '241 and '590 patents. See Dkt. No 139 (EDTX) (public)
- Redacted version of Samsung's Reply to Response to MSJ. See Dkt. No 226 (EDTX) (public)

In light of this, please let us know if you agree no protective order is needed.

Best,

Rob Pluta
Partner
Mayer Brown LLP
T +1 312 701 8641 M +1 312 451 8200
mayerbrown.com

From: Erickson, Brian <Brian.Erickson@us.dlapiper.com>

Sent: Monday, September 8, 2025 3:49 PM

To: Pluta, Robert G. <RPluta@mayerbrown.com>; Heintz, James M. <Jim.Heintz@us.dlapiper.com>; Minutoli, Gianni <gianni.minutoli@us.dlapiper.com>; Bhattarai, Roshan <Roshan.Bhattarai@us.dlapiper.com>; Jay, Michael <Michael.Jay@us.dlapiper.com>

Cc: DLA-Samsung-Maxell <DLA-Samsung-Maxell@us.dlapiper.com>; FW-CLIENT-Maxell-Samsung-Service <Maxell-Samsung-Service@mayerbrown.com>

Subject: RE: Samsung/Maxell IPRs--draft email to Board

CAUTION: External Email - Only click on contents you know are safe.

Rob, can you let us know which lines of the transcript you plan to cite for 906/907? You provided them a few months ago, but I cannot recall. If you will do so, we can quickly confirm whether our rebuttal designations would need to include any CBI. I suspect not. Thanks

Brian K. Erickson
Partner

T +1 512 457 7059
F +1 512 721 2263
M +1 512 426 6316
brian.erickson@us.dlapiper.com

DLA Piper LLP (US)
dlapiper.com

From: Pluta, Robert G. <RPluta@mayerbrown.com>

Sent: Monday, September 8, 2025 3:36 PM

To: Heintz, James M. <Jim.Heintz@us.dlapiper.com>; Minutoli, Gianni <gianni.minutoli@us.dlapiper.com>; Erickson, Brian <Brian.Erickson@us.dlapiper.com>; Bhattarai, Roshan <Roshan.Bhattarai@us.dlapiper.com>; Jay, Michael <Michael.Jay@us.dlapiper.com>

Cc: DLA-Samsung-Maxell <DLA-Samsung-Maxell@us.dlapiper.com>; FW-CLIENT-Maxell-Samsung-Service <Maxell-Samsung-Service@mayerbrown.com>

Subject: Samsung/Maxell IPRs--draft email to Board

Jim,

Thanks for the call earlier. With respect to the protective order, we agree that the Board's standard protective order should be entered for the '815 IPR proceedings. We agree a PO does not need to be entered in the '086 and '241/'590 IPRs provided you agree not to submit confidential information in response to our motion. Please confirm.

Below is a draft email to the Board:

Dear Board,

Further to today's conference call, the parties have conferred about the timing of briefing and the necessity for a protective order in the various proceedings.

The parties are amendable to the Board's proposed briefing schedule, provided the -00735, and -0777 hearing can be moved to the next day, 9/25. If this proposal fits with the Board's schedule, the parties suggest it would be more efficient for the Board and the parties that 00735, -00777, -00906, and -00907 be heard on the same day (9/25) in Alexandria, VA.

To the extent that is feasible, the parties agree to the following briefing schedule:

9/15—PO submits its motions to submit supplemental information;

9/22—Petitioner submits its oppositions to the motions;

9/24—PO submits its replies in support of the motions.

The parties also request authorization to submit a motion for entry of the Board's standard protective order in the -00735 and '00777 matters. The parties have agreed that they will not seek to introduce confidential information in the remaining matters subject to the foregoing motions.

Rob

Robert G. Pluta

Partner

Mayer Brown LLP

71 South Wacker Drive

Chicago, IL 60606 United States of America

T +1 312 701 8641 M +1 312 451 8200

[LinkedIn](#) [Twitter](#)

mayerbrown.com

This email and any files transmitted with it are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this email in error please notify the system manager. If you are not the named addressee you should not disseminate, distribute or copy this e-mail.

Mayer Brown is a global legal services provider comprising an association of legal practices that are separate entities, including Mayer Brown LLP (Illinois, USA), Mayer Brown International LLP (England), Mayer Brown Hong Kong LLP (a Hong Kong limited liability partnership) and Tauil & Chequer Advogados (a Brazilian partnership).

Information about how we handle personal information and our use of relationship insight tools in conjunction with email is available in our [Privacy Notice](#).

postmaster@dlapiper.com