

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

PORTSMOUTH NETWORK CORP.,
Patent Owner.

IPR2024-00913
Patent 8,014,394 B2¹

Before ST. JOHN COURTENAY III, JUSTIN T. ARBES, and
CHARLES J. BOUDREAU, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

ARBES, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER
Granting Patent Owner's Motion for
Pro Hac Vice Admission of James Winn
37 C.F.R. § 42.10

¹ IPR2024-00989 has been consolidated with IPR2024-00913.

On April 16, 2025, Patent Owner filed an Unopposed Motion for Admission *Pro Hac Vice* of James Winn. Paper 15 (“Mot.”). Patent Owner also submitted a Declaration from Mr. Winn in support of the Motion. Ex. 2001. Patent Owner states that Petitioner does not oppose the Motion. Mot. 3. For the reasons provided below, Patent Owner’s Motion is *granted*.

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), the Board may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner. In authorizing a motion for *pro hac vice* admission, the Board requires the moving party to provide a statement of facts showing there is good cause for the Board to recognize counsel *pro hac vice* and an affidavit or declaration of the individual seeking to appear in the proceeding. *See Unified Patents, Inc. v. Parallel Iron, LLC*, IPR2013-00639 (PTAB Oct. 15, 2013) (Paper 7) (representative “Order – Authorizing Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission”).

Based on the facts set forth in the Motion and the accompanying Declaration, we conclude that Mr. Winn has sufficient litigation experience and legal familiarity with the subject matter of this proceeding, and meets all other requirements for admission *pro hac vice*. *See* Mot.; Ex. 2001. Accordingly, Patent Owner has established good cause for *pro hac vice* admission of Mr. Winn.

In consideration of the foregoing, it is hereby:

ORDERED that Patent Owner’s Motion for Admission *Pro Hac Vice* of James Winn is *granted*;

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner is to continue to have a registered practitioner represent it as lead counsel for this proceeding;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Winn is authorized to represent Patent Owner as back-up counsel only in this proceeding;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Winn be familiar with the Patent Trial and Appeal Board Consolidated Trial Practice Guide² and comply with the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Part 42 of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Winn is subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.*

² Available at <https://www.uspto.gov/TrialPracticeGuideConsolidated>.

IPR2024-00913
Patent 8,014,394 B2

FOR PETITIONER:

Scott A. McKeown
Michael N. Rader
Victor Cheung
WOLF, GREENFIELD & SACKS, P.C.
smckeown-ptab@wolfgreenfield.com
mrader-ptab@wolfgreenfield.com
vcheung-ptab@wolfgreenfield.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

James R. Nuttall
Katherine D. Cappaert
Sadaf Misbah
STEPTOE LLP
jnuttall@steptoe.com
kcappaert@steptoe.com
smisbah@steptoe.com