

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

ASKELADDEN, L.L.C.,  
Petitioner,

v.

JABAA, L.L.C.,  
Patent Owner.

---

IPR2024-00915 (Patent 7,480,637 B2)  
IPR2024-00916 (Patent 7,480,637 B2)<sup>1</sup>

---

Before HYUN J. JUNG, MICHELLE N. WORMMEESTER, and  
DONALD E. DAYBELL, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

JUNG, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

JUDGMENT  
Adverse Judgment after Institution of Trial  
*37 C.F.R. § 42.73(b)*

---

<sup>1</sup> We exercise our discretion to issue one Order that applies to each of the listed proceedings.

IPR2024-00915 (Patent 7,480,637 B2)

IPR2024-00916 (Patent 7,480,637 B2)

Askeladden L.L.C. (“Petitioner”) filed Petitions challenging claims 1–6 and 21–24 of U.S. Patent No. 7,480,637 B2 (Ex. 1001, “the ’637 patent”) in IPR2024-00915 and claims 7–20 of the ’637 patent in IPR2024-00916. IPR2024-00915, Paper 3; IPR2024-00916, Paper 3. Jabaa, L.L.C. (“Patent Owner”) did not file a preliminary response in either proceeding.

Despite several reminders from the Board, Patent Owner also failed to file its mandatory notices required under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(2). *See* IPR2024-00915, Paper 5, 2; IPR2024-00915, Ex. 3001; IPR2024-00916, Paper 5, 2; IPR2024-00916, Ex. 3001. Because Petitioner showed a reasonable likelihood of prevailing in proving at least one of the challenged claims unpatentable, we instituted *inter partes* review and issued a Scheduling Order on December 10, 2024 in both proceedings. IPR2024-00915, Papers 5, 6; IPR2024-00916, Papers 5, 6.

The Scheduling Order set March 5, 2025, as the due date for filing Patent Owner’s response to the Petition and a motion to amend the patent. IPR2024-00915, Paper 6, 12; IPR2024-00916, Paper 6, 12. The parties did not file a notice of stipulation to extend any due date.

Patent Owner did not file a response nor a motion to amend the patent by the due date. Additionally, the Scheduling Order instructed Patent Owner to arrange for a conference call with the Board if Patent Owner elects not to file a response to the Petition. IPR2024-00915, Paper 6, 9; IPR2024-00916, Paper 6, 9. Patent Owner did not arrange for such a conference call.

On March 6, 2025, we ordered Patent Owner to show cause within ten (10) business days why adverse judgment under 37 C.F.R. § 42.73(b) should not be entered against it. IPR2024-00915, Paper 7; IPR2024-00916, Paper 7. Patent Owner did not respond to the Order to Show Cause, and the time to do so has expired.

IPR2024-00915 (Patent 7,480,637 B2)

IPR2024-00916 (Patent 7,480,637 B2)

Pursuant to our rules, “[a]ctions construed to be a request for adverse judgment include . . . [a]bandonment of the contest.” 37 C.F.R.

§ 42.73(b)(4). Patent Owner’s failure to file mandatory notices, a preliminary response, a response to the Petition, and a response to the Order to Show Cause and failure to take any other substantive action are consistent with abandonment of the contest.

Under these circumstances, the entry of judgment adverse to the Patent Owner and cancellation of the challenged claims are appropriate.

### ORDER

Accordingly, in view of the above, it is

ORDERED that adverse judgment under 37 C.F.R. § 42.73(b) is ENTERED against Patent Owner;

FURTHER ORDERED that this constitutes a Final Written Decision under 35 U.S.C. § 318(a); and

FURTHER ORDERED that claims 1–24 of U.S. Patent No. 7,480,637 B2 are CANCELLED.

IPR2024-00915 (Patent 7,480,637 B2)

IPR2024-00916 (Patent 7,480,637 B2)

For PETITIONER:

Jonathan R. Bowser

Angela Oliver

Adam Erickson

HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP

jon.bowser.ipr@haynesboone.com

angela.oliver.ipr@haynesboone.com

adam.erickson.ipr@haynesboone.com

For PATENT OWNER:

Jabaa, LLC

12 Dexter Road

Garland, ME 04939