

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FUBOTV MEDIA INC., YANKA INDUSTRIES, INC., WEBGROUP
CZECH REPUBLIC, A.S., and NKL ASSOCIATES, S.R.O.,¹

Petitioners,

v.

DISH TECHNOLOGIES L.L.C.,

Patent Owner.

IPR2024-00919

Patent No. 8,868,772

**PATENT OWNER'S OBJECTIONS TO EVIDENCE SUBMITTED WITH
PETITIONERS' REPLY PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.64**

¹ Webgroup Czech Republic, A.S. and NKL Associates, S.R.O. filed a petition in IPR2025-00348 and have been joined as parties to this proceeding. *See* Paper 57.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1), Patent Owner DISH Technologies L.L.C. (“Patent Owner”) respectfully submits the following objections to evidence filed by Petitioners FuboTV Media Inc. and Yanka Industries, Inc. (“Petitioners”) with Petitioners’ Reply to Patent Owner’s Response (Paper 54) (“Reply”).

The following chart provides a non-exhaustive list of Patent Owner’s objections to the admissibility of certain evidence (identified below) filed alongside Petitioners’ Reply. Further, to the extent Patent Owner has already objected to the admissibility of certain testimony or documents, such as objections made during depositions, Patent Owner maintains all such objections in addition to those provided below:

<u>Exhibit Number(s)</u>	<u>Document Name</u>	<u>Federal Rule(s) of Evidence Forming the Basis of Patent Owner's Objection(s)</u>
1026	Supplemental Declaration of Dr. Henry Houh (-00917, -00918, -00919), ¶¶ 29-41, 45-52, 55, 58- 100, 104-126, 128- 138, 141-154, 156- 160, 162-166, 168- 178, 180-186, 188- 189, 192-241	401/402 (relevance): DISH objects to Paragraphs 29-41, 45-52, 55, 58-100, 104-126, 128-138, 141-154, 156-160, 162-166, 168-178, 180-186, 188-189, and 192-241 of Exhibit 1026 under FRE 401/402 because the information contained in these paragraphs is not relevant. Statements contained in these paragraphs neither touch upon a fact of consequence in determining the outcome of this matter nor have any tendency to make a fact more or less probable. With respect to at least one exemplary statement, Dr. Houh purports to offer an entirely new theory of why an ordinary artisan would modify Leaning to overcome technical failures (<i>e.g.</i> , mistracking) that result from following Leaning's teachings. These statements, for example, exceed the scope of Dr. Houh's previous declaration; introduce new, non-probative evidence of how to differently apply Leaning; and fail to respond to the statements offered by DISH and its expert, Dr. Jeffay. <i>Compare</i>

<u>Exhibit Number(s)</u>	<u>Document Name</u>	<u>Federal Rule(s) of Evidence Forming the Basis of Patent Owner's Objection(s)</u>
		EX1026, ¶¶ 93-97 (offering alternative understanding of one of ordinary skill in the art to “insert an I-frame at regular intervals” and “beg[in] each sub-file with an intra-frame (I-frame) to avoid mistracking” allegedly “just as taught by Leaning.”) <i>with</i> EX1003 ¶¶, 81-82 (silent as to any necessary modifications). 403 (prejudice, waste of time, confusing, needlessly cumulative): DISH objects to Paragraphs 29-41, 45-52, 55, 58-100, 104-126, 128-138, 141-154, 156-160, 162-166, 168-178, 180-186, 188-189, and 192-241 of Exhibit 1026 under FRE 403 at least because the information contained in these paragraphs is confusing, a waste of time, and/or needlessly cumulative of evidence already of record. For instance, Dr. Houh improperly imports additional, previously un-presented interpretations of the challenged patent and prior art that exceed the scope of Petitioners’ Petition and Dr. Houh’s original declaration. <i>Cf.</i> EX1026,

<u>Exhibit Number(s)</u>	<u>Document Name</u>	<u>Federal Rule(s) of Evidence Forming the Basis of Patent Owner's Objection(s)</u>
		¶¶ 93-97 (offering alternative understanding of one of ordinary skill in the art to “insert an I-frame at regular intervals” and “beg[in] each sub-file with an intra-frame (I-frame) to avoid mistracking” allegedly “just as taught by Leaning.”). These statements, which largely rely on entirely new and conclusory analysis are confusing, misleading, and a waste of time. And any probative value of these statements is substantially outweighed the danger of unfair prejudice to DISH, the Board’s confusion of the issues presented in the Petition, or needlessly presenting cumulative evidence. 702/703: (not helpful, insufficient facts/data, offers unreliable principles/methods, relies on improper evidence, unreasonably relies on facts/data upon which an expert would not rely): DISH objects to Paragraphs 29-41, 45-52, 55, 58-100, 104-126, 128-138, 141-154, 156-160, 162-166, 168-178, 180-186, 188-

<u>Exhibit Number(s)</u>	<u>Document Name</u>	<u>Federal Rule(s) of Evidence Forming the Basis of Patent Owner's Objection(s)</u>
		189, and 192-241 of Exhibit 1026 under FRE 702/703 at least because Petitioners and Dr. Houh have not shown that the information contained in these paragraphs is more likely than not based on any scientific, technical, or specialized knowledge, sufficient facts or data, the product of reliable principles and methods, or the reliable application of any principles and methods to the facts of this case. Further, neither Dr. Houh nor Petitioners have adequately shown that the information contained in these paragraphs is information that an expert would reasonably consider in forming their opinions. 802 (hearsay): To the extent Petitioners rely on the contents of Exhibit 1026 for the truths of any matter asserted, DISH objects to Exhibit 1026 as inadmissible hearsay that does not fall under any exception.

<u>Exhibit Number(s)</u>	<u>Document Name</u>	<u>Federal Rule(s) of Evidence Forming the Basis of Patent Owner's Objection(s)</u>
		901/902 (authenticity of relied upon documents/testimony): DISH objects to the paragraphs listed in Exhibit 1026 to the extent they rely upon documents that have not been properly authenticated under FRE 901. There is insufficient evidence to support a finding that documents cited in these paragraphs are what Petitioners or Dr. Houh claim them to be. DISH similarly objects to Exhibit 1026 under FRE 902 because Petitioners have not produced sufficient evidence that the documents cited in these paragraphs are self-authenticating.
1028	Jeffay-Ex.1	401/402 (relevance): DISH objects to Exhibit 1028 as not relevant. Exhibit 1028 lacks any tendency to make any fact of consequence in determining this action more or less probable than it would be without Exhibit 1028. 403 (prejudice, waste of time, misleading, confusing, needlessly cumulative): To the extent Petitioners contend that Exhibit

<u>Exhibit Number(s)</u>	<u>Document Name</u>	<u>Federal Rule(s) of Evidence Forming the Basis of Patent Owner's Objection(s)</u>
		1028 contains relevant information, DISH objects to Exhibit 1028 under FRE 403 because any probative value added by Exhibit 1028 is substantially outweighed by the danger of: unfair prejudice to DISH, misleading the Board, confusion of the issues presented in this action, wasting the time and resources of the parties and Board, and presenting the Board with needlessly cumulative information. 802 (hearsay): To the extent Petitioners rely on the contents of Exhibit 1028 for the truths of any matter asserted, DISH objects to Exhibit 1028 as inadmissible hearsay that does not fall under any exception.
1030	Transcript of the Deposition of David Ericson	DISH maintains all objections set forth during the deposition, as reflected on the transcript.
1031	Ericson-Ex.4	401/402 (relevance): DISH objects to Exhibit 1031 as not relevant. Exhibit 1031 lacks any tendency to make any fact of consequence in determining this action

<u>Exhibit Number(s)</u>	<u>Document Name</u>	<u>Federal Rule(s) of Evidence Forming the Basis of Patent Owner's Objection(s)</u>
		more or less probable than it would be without Exhibit 1031. 403 (prejudice, waste of time, confusing, needlessly cumulative): To the extent Petitioners contend that Exhibit 1031 contains relevant information, DISH objects to Exhibit 1031 under FRE 403 because any probative value added by Exhibit 1031 is substantially outweighed by the danger of: unfair prejudice to DISH, confusion of the issues presented in this action, wasting the time and resources of the parties and Board, and presenting the Board with needlessly cumulative information. 802 (hearsay): To the extent Petitioners rely on the contents of Exhibit 1031 for the truths of any matter asserted, DISH objects to Exhibit 1031 as inadmissible hearsay that does not fall under any exception.

<u>Exhibit Number(s)</u>	<u>Document Name</u>	<u>Federal Rule(s) of Evidence Forming the Basis of Patent Owner's Objection(s)</u>
		901/902 (authenticity of relied upon documents/testimony): DISH objects to Exhibit 1031 as not properly authenticated under FRE 901 because there is insufficient evidence to support a finding that the document in question is what Petitioners claim it to be. DISH similarly objects to Exhibit 1031 under FRE 902 because Petitioners have not produced sufficient evidence that Exhibit 1031 is self-authenticating.
1032	Transcript of the Deposition of R. Drew Major	DISH maintains all objections set forth during the deposition, as reflected on the transcript.
1034	Transcript of the Deposition of Mark Mitchell	DISH maintains all objections set forth during the deposition, as reflected on the transcript.
1035	Transcript of the Deposition of Peter Ratcliffe	DISH maintains all objections set forth during the deposition, as reflected on the transcript.
1036	Ratcliffe-Ex.1	401/402 (relevance): DISH objects to Exhibit 1036 as not relevant. Exhibit 1036

<u>Exhibit Number(s)</u>	<u>Document Name</u>	<u>Federal Rule(s) of Evidence Forming the Basis of Patent Owner's Objection(s)</u>
		lacks any tendency to make any fact of consequence in determining this action more or less probable than it would be without Exhibit 1036. 403 (prejudice, waste of time, confusing, needlessly cumulative): To the extent Petitioners contend that Exhibit 1036 contains relevant information, DISH objects to Exhibit 1036 under FRE 403 because any probative value added by Exhibit 1036 is substantially outweighed by the danger of: unfair prejudice to DISH, confusion of the issues presented in this action, wasting the time and resources of the parties and Board, and presenting the Board with needlessly cumulative information. 802 (hearsay): To the extent Petitioners rely on the contents of Exhibit 1036 for the truths of any matter asserted, DISH objects to Exhibit 1036 as inadmissible

<u>Exhibit Number(s)</u>	<u>Document Name</u>	<u>Federal Rule(s) of Evidence Forming the Basis of Patent Owner's Objection(s)</u>
		hearsay that does not fall under any exception. 901 (authenticity of relied upon documents/testimony): DISH objects to Exhibit 1036 as not properly authenticated under FRE 901 because there is insufficient evidence to support a finding that the document in question is what Petitioners claim it to be. DISH similarly objects to Exhibit 1036 under FRE 902 because Petitioners have not produced sufficient evidence that Exhibit 1036 is self-authenticating.
1037	Ratcliffe-Ex.2	401/402 (relevance): DISH objects to Exhibit 1037 as not relevant. Exhibit 1037 lacks any tendency to make any fact of consequence in determining this action more or less probable than it would be without Exhibit 1037. 403 (prejudice, waste of time, confusing, needlessly cumulative): To the extent Petitioners contend that Exhibit 1037

<u>Exhibit Number(s)</u>	<u>Document Name</u>	<u>Federal Rule(s) of Evidence Forming the Basis of Patent Owner's Objection(s)</u>
		contains relevant information, DISH objects to Exhibit 1037 under FRE 403 because any probative value added by Exhibit 1037 is substantially outweighed by the danger of: unfair prejudice to DISH, confusion of the issues presented in this action, wasting the time and resources of the parties and Board, and presenting the Board with needlessly cumulative information. 802 (hearsay): To the extent Petitioners rely on the contents of Exhibit 1037 for the truths of any matter asserted, DISH objects to Exhibit 1037 as inadmissible hearsay that does not fall under any exception. 901/902 (authenticity of relied upon documents/testimony): DISH objects to Exhibit 1037 as not properly authenticated under FRE 901 because there is insufficient evidence to support a finding that the document in question is what Petitioners claim it to be. DISH similarly

<u>Exhibit Number(s)</u>	<u>Document Name</u>	<u>Federal Rule(s) of Evidence Forming the Basis of Patent Owner's Objection(s)</u>
		objects to Exhibit 1037 under FRE 902 because Petitioners have not produced sufficient evidence that Exhibit 1037 is self-authenticating.
1038	Ratcliffe-Ex.3	401/402 (relevance): DISH objects to Exhibit 1038 as not relevant. Exhibit 1038 lacks any tendency to make any fact of consequence in determining this action more or less probable than it would be without Exhibit 1038. 403 (prejudice, waste of time, confusing, needlessly cumulative): To the extent Petitioners contend that Exhibit 1038 contains relevant information, DISH objects to Exhibit 1038 under FRE 403 because any probative value added by Exhibit 1038 is substantially outweighed by the danger of: unfair prejudice to DISH, confusion of the issues presented in this action, wasting the time and resources of the parties and Board, and presenting

<u>Exhibit Number(s)</u>	<u>Document Name</u>	<u>Federal Rule(s) of Evidence Forming the Basis of Patent Owner's Objection(s)</u>
		the Board with needlessly cumulative information. 802 (hearsay): To the extent Petitioners rely on the contents of Exhibit 1038 for the truths of any matter asserted, DISH objects to Exhibit 1038 as inadmissible hearsay that does not fall under any exception. 901/902 (authenticity of relied upon documents/testimony): DISH objects to Exhibit 1038 as not properly authenticated under FRE 901 because there is insufficient evidence to support a finding that the document in question is what Petitioners claim it to be. DISH similarly objects to Exhibit 1038 under FRE 902 because Petitioners have not produced sufficient evidence that Exhibit 1038 is self-authenticating.
1039	Ratcliffe-Ex.4	401/402 (relevance): DISH objects to Exhibit 1039 as not relevant. Exhibit 1039 lacks any tendency to make any fact of

<u>Exhibit Number(s)</u>	<u>Document Name</u>	<u>Federal Rule(s) of Evidence Forming the Basis of Patent Owner's Objection(s)</u>
		consequence in determining this action more or less probable than it would be without Exhibit 1039. 403 (prejudice, waste of time, confusing, needlessly cumulative): To the extent Petitioners contend that Exhibit 1039 contains relevant information, DISH objects to Exhibit 1039 under FRE 403 because any probative value added by Exhibit 1039 is substantially outweighed by the danger of: unfair prejudice to DISH, confusion of the issues presented in this action, wasting the time and resources of the parties and Board, and presenting the Board with needlessly cumulative information. 802 (hearsay): To the extent Petitioners rely on the contents of Exhibit 1039 for the truths of any matter asserted, DISH objects to Exhibit 1039 as inadmissible hearsay that does not fall under any exception.

<u>Exhibit Number(s)</u>	<u>Document Name</u>	<u>Federal Rule(s) of Evidence Forming the Basis of Patent Owner's Objection(s)</u>
		901/902 (authenticity of relied upon documents/testimony): DISH objects to Exhibit 1039 as not properly authenticated under FRE 901 because there is insufficient evidence to support a finding that the document in question is what Petitioners claim it to be. DISH similarly objects to Exhibit 1039 under FRE 902 because Petitioners have not produced sufficient evidence that Exhibit 1039 is self-authenticating.
1040	Ratcliffe-Ex.5	401/402 (relevance): DISH objects to Exhibit 1040 as not relevant. Exhibit 1040 lacks any tendency to make any fact of consequence in determining this action more or less probable than it would be without Exhibit 1040. 403 (prejudice, waste of time, confusing, needlessly cumulative): To the extent Petitioners contend that Exhibit 1040 contains relevant information, DISH objects to Exhibit 1040 under FRE 403 because any probative value added by

<u>Exhibit Number(s)</u>	<u>Document Name</u>	<u>Federal Rule(s) of Evidence Forming the Basis of Patent Owner's Objection(s)</u>
		Exhibit 1040 is substantially outweighed by the danger of: unfair prejudice to DISH, confusion of the issues presented in this action, wasting the time and resources of the parties and Board, and presenting the Board with needlessly cumulative information. 802 (hearsay): To the extent Petitioners rely on the contents of Exhibit 1040 for the truths of any matter asserted, DISH objects to Exhibit 1040 as inadmissible hearsay that does not fall under any exception. 901/902 (authenticity of relied upon documents/testimony): DISH objects to Exhibit 1040 as not properly authenticated under FRE 901 because there is insufficient evidence to support a finding that the document in question is what Petitioners claim it to be. DISH similarly objects to Exhibit 1040 under FRE 902 because Petitioners have not produced

<u>Exhibit Number(s)</u>	<u>Document Name</u>	<u>Federal Rule(s) of Evidence Forming the Basis of Patent Owner's Objection(s)</u>
		sufficient evidence that Exhibit 1040 is self-authenticating.
1041	Ratcliffe-Ex.6	401/402 (relevance): DISH objects to Exhibit 1041 as not relevant. Exhibit 1041 lacks any tendency to make any fact of consequence in determining this action more or less probable than it would be without Exhibit 1041. 403 (prejudice, waste of time, confusing, needlessly cumulative): To the extent Petitioners contend that Exhibit 1041 contains relevant information, DISH objects to Exhibit 1041 under FRE 403 because any probative value added by Exhibit 1041 is substantially outweighed by the danger of: unfair prejudice to DISH, confusion of the issues presented in this action, wasting the time and resources of the parties and Board, and presenting the Board with needlessly cumulative information.

<u>Exhibit Number(s)</u>	<u>Document Name</u>	<u>Federal Rule(s) of Evidence Forming the Basis of Patent Owner's Objection(s)</u>
		802 (hearsay): To the extent Petitioners rely on the contents of Exhibit 1041 for the truths of any matter asserted, DISH objects to Exhibit 1041 as inadmissible hearsay that does not fall under any exception. 901/902 (authenticity of relied upon documents/testimony): DISH objects to Exhibit 1041 as not properly authenticated under FRE 901 because there is insufficient evidence to support a finding that the document in question is what Petitioners claim it to be. DISH similarly objects to Exhibit 1041 under FRE 902 because Petitioners have not produced sufficient evidence that Exhibit 1041 is self-authenticating.
1042	Ratcliffe-Ex.7	401/402 (relevance): DISH objects to Exhibit 1042 as not relevant. Exhibit 1042 lacks any tendency to make any fact of consequence in determining this action

<u>Exhibit Number(s)</u>	<u>Document Name</u>	<u>Federal Rule(s) of Evidence Forming the Basis of Patent Owner's Objection(s)</u>
		more or less probable than it would be without Exhibit 1042. 403 (prejudice, waste of time, confusing, needlessly cumulative): To the extent Petitioners contend that Exhibit 1042 contains relevant information, DISH objects to Exhibit 1042 under FRE 403 because any probative value added by Exhibit 1042 is substantially outweighed by the danger of: unfair prejudice to DISH, confusion of the issues presented in this action, wasting the time and resources of the parties and Board, and presenting the Board with needlessly cumulative information. 802 (hearsay): To the extent Petitioners rely on the contents of Exhibit 1042 for the truths of any matter asserted, DISH objects to Exhibit 1042 as inadmissible hearsay that does not fall under any exception.

<u>Exhibit Number(s)</u>	<u>Document Name</u>	<u>Federal Rule(s) of Evidence Forming the Basis of Patent Owner's Objection(s)</u>
		901/902 (authenticity of relied upon documents/testimony): DISH objects to Exhibit 1042 as not properly authenticated under FRE 901 because there is insufficient evidence to support a finding that the document in question is what Petitioners claim it to be. DISH similarly objects to Exhibit 1042 under FRE 902 because Petitioners have not produced sufficient evidence that Exhibit 1042 is self-authenticating.
1044	EPO Prelim. Op	401/402 (relevance): DISH objects to Exhibit 1044 as not relevant. Exhibit 1044 lacks any tendency to make any fact of consequence in determining this action more or less probable than it would be without Exhibit 1044. 403 (prejudice, waste of time, confusing, needlessly cumulative): DISH objects to Exhibit 1044 because there is little-to-no probative value to the European Patent Office's <i>preliminary</i> decision regarding the validity of one of DISH's European

<u>Exhibit Number(s)</u>	<u>Document Name</u>	<u>Federal Rule(s) of Evidence Forming the Basis of Patent Owner's Objection(s)</u>
		patents covering aspects of adaptive bitrate streaming. Any statements on the decision are also inadmissible because the European Patent Office's validity analysis (let alone, a <i>preliminary</i> validity analysis) of a European patent applying European law is confusing, misleading, and a waste of time. As such, DISH objects to Exhibit 1044 under FRE 403 because any probative value added by Exhibit 1044 is substantially outweighed by the danger of: unfair prejudice to DISH, confusion of the issues presented in this action, wasting the time and resources of the parties and Board, and presenting the Board with needlessly cumulative information. 802 (hearsay): To the extent Petitioners rely on the contents of Exhibit 1044 for the truths of any matter asserted, DISH objects to Exhibit 1044 as inadmissible hearsay that does not fall under any exception.

<u>Exhibit Number(s)</u>	<u>Document Name</u>	<u>Federal Rule(s) of Evidence Forming the Basis of Patent Owner's Objection(s)</u>
		901/902 (authenticity of relied upon documents/testimony): DISH objects to Exhibit 1044 as not properly authenticated under FRE 901 because there is insufficient evidence to support a finding that the document in question is what Petitioners claim it to be. DISH similarly objects to Exhibit 1044 under FRE 902 because Petitioners have not produced sufficient evidence that Exhibit 1044 is self-authenticating.
1045	U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 20030135631 A1 ("Li")	401/402 (relevance): DISH objects to Exhibit 1045 as not relevant. Exhibit 1045 lacks any tendency to make any fact of consequence in determining this action more or less probable than it would be without Exhibit 1045. 403 (prejudice, waste of time, confusing, needlessly cumulative): To the extent Petitioners contend that Exhibit 1045 contains relevant information, DISH objects to Exhibit 1045 under FRE 403 because any probative value added by

<u>Exhibit Number(s)</u>	<u>Document Name</u>	<u>Federal Rule(s) of Evidence Forming the Basis of Patent Owner's Objection(s)</u>
		Exhibit 1045 is substantially outweighed by the danger of: unfair prejudice to DISH, confusion of the issues presented in this action, wasting the time and resources of the parties and Board, and presenting the Board with needlessly cumulative information. 802 (hearsay): To the extent Petitioners rely on the contents of Exhibit 1045 for the truths of any matter asserted, DISH objects to Exhibit 1045 as inadmissible hearsay that does not fall under any exception. 901/902 (authenticity of relied upon documents/testimony): DISH objects to Exhibit 1045 as not properly authenticated under FRE 901 because there is insufficient evidence to support a finding that the document in question is what Petitioners claim it to be. DISH similarly objects to Exhibit 1045 under FRE 902 because Petitioners have not produced

<u>Exhibit Number(s)</u>	<u>Document Name</u>	<u>Federal Rule(s) of Evidence Forming the Basis of Patent Owner's Objection(s)</u>
		sufficient evidence that Exhibit 1045 is self-authenticating.
1046	Dufaux & Ebrahimi, Motion JPEG2000 for Wireless Applications	401/402 (relevance): DISH objects to Exhibit 1046 as not relevant. Exhibit 1046 lacks any tendency to make any fact of consequence in determining this action more or less probable than it would be without Exhibit 1046. 403 (prejudice, waste of time, confusing, needlessly cumulative): To the extent Petitioners contend that Exhibit 1046 contains relevant information, DISH objects to Exhibit 1046 under FRE 403 because any probative value added by Exhibit 1046 is substantially outweighed by the danger of: unfair prejudice to DISH, confusion of the issues presented in this action, wasting the time and resources of the parties and Board, and presenting the Board with needlessly cumulative information.

<u>Exhibit Number(s)</u>	<u>Document Name</u>	<u>Federal Rule(s) of Evidence Forming the Basis of Patent Owner's Objection(s)</u>
		802 (hearsay): To the extent Petitioners rely on the contents of Exhibit 1046 for the truths of any matter asserted, DISH objects to Exhibit 1046 as inadmissible hearsay that does not fall under any exception. 901/902 (authenticity of relied upon documents/testimony): DISH objects to Exhibit 1046 as not properly authenticated under FRE 901 because there is insufficient evidence to support a finding that the document in question is what Petitioners claim it to be. DISH similarly objects to Exhibit 1046 under FRE 902 because Petitioners have not produced sufficient evidence that Exhibit 1046 is self-authenticating.
1047	Fielding et al. Hypertext Transfer Protocol – HTTP1.1	401/402 (relevance): DISH objects to Exhibit 1047 as not relevant. Exhibit 1047 lacks any tendency to make any fact of consequence in determining this action

<u>Exhibit Number(s)</u>	<u>Document Name</u>	<u>Federal Rule(s) of Evidence Forming the Basis of Patent Owner's Objection(s)</u>
		more or less probable than it would be without Exhibit 1047. 403 (prejudice, waste of time, confusing, needlessly cumulative): To the extent Petitioners contend that Exhibit 1047 contains relevant information, DISH objects to Exhibit 1047 under FRE 403 because any probative value added by Exhibit 1047 is substantially outweighed by the danger of: unfair prejudice to DISH, confusion of the issues presented in this action, wasting the time and resources of the parties and Board, and presenting the Board with needlessly cumulative information. 802 (hearsay): To the extent Petitioners rely on the contents of Exhibit 1047 for the truths of any matter asserted, DISH objects to Exhibit 1047 as inadmissible hearsay that does not fall under any exception.

<u>Exhibit Number(s)</u>	<u>Document Name</u>	<u>Federal Rule(s) of Evidence Forming the Basis of Patent Owner's Objection(s)</u>
		901/902 (authenticity of relied upon documents/testimony): DISH objects to Exhibit 1047 as not properly authenticated under FRE 901 because there is insufficient evidence to support a finding that the document in question is what Petitioners claim it to be. DISH similarly objects to Exhibit 1047 under FRE 902 because Petitioners have not produced sufficient evidence that Exhibit 1047 is self-authenticating.
1049	U.S. Patent No. 6,304,297 B1 ("Swan")	401/402 (relevance): DISH objects to Exhibit 1049 as not relevant. Exhibit 1048 lacks any tendency to make any fact of consequence in determining this action more or less probable than it would be without Exhibit 1049. 403 (prejudice, waste of time, confusing, needlessly cumulative): To the extent Petitioners contend that Exhibit 1049 contains relevant information, DISH objects to Exhibit 1049 under FRE 403 because any probative value added by

<u>Exhibit Number(s)</u>	<u>Document Name</u>	<u>Federal Rule(s) of Evidence Forming the Basis of Patent Owner's Objection(s)</u>
		Exhibit 1049 is substantially outweighed by the danger of: unfair prejudice to DISH, confusion of the issues presented in this action, wasting the time and resources of the parties and Board, and presenting the Board with needlessly cumulative information. 802 (hearsay): To the extent Petitioners rely on the contents of Exhibit 1049 for the truths of any matter asserted, DISH objects to Exhibit 1049 as inadmissible hearsay that does not fall under any exception. 901/902 (authenticity of relied upon documents/testimony): DISH objects to Exhibit 1049 as not properly authenticated under FRE 901 because there is insufficient evidence to support a finding that the document in question is what Petitioners claim it to be. DISH similarly objects to Exhibit 1049 under FRE 902 because Petitioners have not produced

<u>Exhibit Number(s)</u>	<u>Document Name</u>	<u>Federal Rule(s) of Evidence Forming the Basis of Patent Owner's Objection(s)</u>
		sufficient evidence that Exhibit 1049 is self-authenticating.
1050	Aramvith & Sun, MPEG-1 and MPEG-2 Video Standards	401/402 (relevance): DISH objects to Exhibit 1050 as not relevant. Exhibit 1050 lacks any tendency to make any fact of consequence in determining this action more or less probable than it would be without Exhibit 1050. 403 (prejudice, waste of time, confusing, needlessly cumulative): To the extent Petitioners contend that Exhibit 1050 contains relevant information, DISH objects to Exhibit 1050 under FRE 403 because any probative value added by Exhibit 1050 is substantially outweighed by the danger of: unfair prejudice to DISH, confusion of the issues presented in this action, wasting the time and resources of the parties and Board, and presenting the Board with needlessly cumulative information.

<u>Exhibit Number(s)</u>	<u>Document Name</u>	<u>Federal Rule(s) of Evidence Forming the Basis of Patent Owner's Objection(s)</u>
		802 (hearsay): To the extent Petitioners rely on the contents of Exhibit 1050 for the truths of any matter asserted, DISH objects to Exhibit 1050 as inadmissible hearsay that does not fall under any exception. 901/902 (authenticity of relied upon documents/testimony): DISH objects to Exhibit 1050 as not properly authenticated under FRE 901 because there is insufficient evidence to support a finding that the document in question is what Petitioners claim it to be. DISH similarly objects to Exhibit 1050 under FRE 902 because Petitioners have not produced sufficient evidence that Exhibit 1050 is self-authenticating.
1051	T. Berners-Lee et al., Hypertext Transfer Protocol – HTTP1.0	401/402 (relevance): DISH objects to Exhibit 1051 as not relevant. Exhibit 1051 lacks any tendency to make any fact of consequence in determining this action

<u>Exhibit Number(s)</u>	<u>Document Name</u>	<u>Federal Rule(s) of Evidence Forming the Basis of Patent Owner's Objection(s)</u>
		more or less probable than it would be without Exhibit 1051. 403 (prejudice, waste of time, confusing, needlessly cumulative): To the extent Petitioners contend that Exhibit 1051 contains relevant information, DISH objects to Exhibit 1051 under FRE 403 because any probative value added by Exhibit 1051 is substantially outweighed by the danger of: unfair prejudice to DISH, confusion of the issues presented in this action, wasting the time and resources of the parties and Board, and presenting the Board with needlessly cumulative information. 802 (hearsay): To the extent Petitioners rely on the contents of Exhibit 1051 for the truths of any matter asserted, DISH objects to Exhibit 1051 as inadmissible hearsay that does not fall under any exception.

<u>Exhibit Number(s)</u>	<u>Document Name</u>	<u>Federal Rule(s) of Evidence Forming the Basis of Patent Owner's Objection(s)</u>
		901/902 (authenticity of relied upon documents/testimony): DISH objects to Exhibit 1051 as not properly authenticated under FRE 901 because there is insufficient evidence to support a finding that the document in question is what Petitioners claim it to be. DISH similarly objects to Exhibit 1051 under FRE 902 because Petitioners have not produced sufficient evidence that Exhibit 1051 is self-authenticating.
1052	Multimedia Sys Concepts ch. 5.3 – Video Compression 1 H 261	401/402 (relevance): DISH objects to Exhibit 1052 as not relevant. Exhibit 1052 lacks any tendency to make any fact of consequence in determining this action more or less probable than it would be without Exhibit 1052. 403 (prejudice, waste of time, confusing, needlessly cumulative): To the extent Petitioners contend that Exhibit 1052 contains relevant information, DISH objects to Exhibit 1052 under FRE 403 because any probative value added by

<u>Exhibit Number(s)</u>	<u>Document Name</u>	<u>Federal Rule(s) of Evidence Forming the Basis of Patent Owner's Objection(s)</u>
		Exhibit 1052 is substantially outweighed by the danger of: unfair prejudice to DISH, confusion of the issues presented in this action, wasting the time and resources of the parties and Board, and presenting the Board with needlessly cumulative information. 802 (hearsay): To the extent Petitioners rely on the contents of Exhibit 1052 for the truths of any matter asserted, DISH objects to Exhibit 1052 as inadmissible hearsay that does not fall under any exception. 901/902 (authenticity of relied upon documents/testimony): DISH objects to Exhibit 1052 as not properly authenticated under FRE 901 because there is insufficient evidence to support a finding that the document in question is what Petitioners claim it to be. DISH similarly objects to Exhibit 1052 under FRE 902 because Petitioners have not produced

<u>Exhibit Number(s)</u>	<u>Document Name</u>	<u>Federal Rule(s) of Evidence Forming the Basis of Patent Owner's Objection(s)</u>
		sufficient evidence that Exhibit 1052 is self-authenticating.
1053	Davis, An Overview of Video Compression Algorithms	401/402 (relevance): DISH objects to Exhibit 1053 as not relevant. Exhibit 1053 lacks any tendency to make any fact of consequence in determining this action more or less probable than it would be without Exhibit 1053. 403 (prejudice, waste of time, confusing, needlessly cumulative): To the extent Petitioners contend that Exhibit 1053 contains relevant information, DISH objects to Exhibit 1053 under FRE 403 because any probative value added by Exhibit 1053 is substantially outweighed by the danger of: unfair prejudice to DISH, confusion of the issues presented in this action, wasting the time and resources of the parties and Board, and presenting the Board with needlessly cumulative information.

<u>Exhibit Number(s)</u>	<u>Document Name</u>	<u>Federal Rule(s) of Evidence Forming the Basis of Patent Owner's Objection(s)</u>
		802 (hearsay): To the extent Petitioners rely on the contents of Exhibit 1053 for the truths of any matter asserted, DISH objects to Exhibit 1053 as inadmissible hearsay that does not fall under any exception. 901/902 (authenticity of relied upon documents/testimony): DISH objects to Exhibit 1053 as not properly authenticated under FRE 901 because there is insufficient evidence to support a finding that the document in question is what Petitioners claim it to be. DISH similarly objects to Exhibit 1053 under FRE 902 because Petitioners have not produced sufficient evidence that Exhibit 1053 is self-authenticating.
1054	Couper et al., Boom and Bust in Telecommunications	401/402 (relevance): DISH objects to Exhibit 1054 as not relevant. Exhibit 1054 lacks any tendency to make any fact of consequence in determining this action

<u>Exhibit Number(s)</u>	<u>Document Name</u>	<u>Federal Rule(s) of Evidence Forming the Basis of Patent Owner's Objection(s)</u>
		more or less probable than it would be without Exhibit 1054. 403 (prejudice, waste of time, confusing, needlessly cumulative): To the extent Petitioners contend that Exhibit 1054 contains relevant information, DISH objects to Exhibit 1054 under FRE 403 because any probative value added by Exhibit 1054 is substantially outweighed by the danger of: unfair prejudice to DISH, confusion of the issues presented in this action, wasting the time and resources of the parties and Board, and presenting the Board with needlessly cumulative information. 802 (hearsay): To the extent Petitioners rely on the contents of Exhibit 1054 for the truths of any matter asserted, DISH objects to Exhibit 1054 as inadmissible hearsay that does not fall under any exception.

<u>Exhibit Number(s)</u>	<u>Document Name</u>	<u>Federal Rule(s) of Evidence Forming the Basis of Patent Owner's Objection(s)</u>
		901/902 (authenticity of relied upon documents/testimony): DISH objects to Exhibit 1054 as not properly authenticated under FRE 901 because there is insufficient evidence to support a finding that the document in question is what Petitioners claim it to be. DISH similarly objects to Exhibit 1054 under FRE 902 because Petitioners have not produced sufficient evidence that Exhibit 1054 is self-authenticating.
1055	EBU Subjective Listening Tests on Low-Bitrate Audio Codecs	401/402 (relevance): DISH objects to Exhibit 1055 as not relevant. Exhibit 1055 lacks any tendency to make any fact of consequence in determining this action more or less probable than it would be without Exhibit 1055. 403 (prejudice, waste of time, confusing, needlessly cumulative): To the extent Petitioners contend that Exhibit 1055 contains relevant information, DISH objects to Exhibit 1055 under FRE 403 because any probative value added by

<u>Exhibit Number(s)</u>	<u>Document Name</u>	<u>Federal Rule(s) of Evidence Forming the Basis of Patent Owner's Objection(s)</u>
		Exhibit 1055 is substantially outweighed by the danger of: unfair prejudice to DISH, confusion of the issues presented in this action, wasting the time and resources of the parties and Board, and presenting the Board with needlessly cumulative information. 802 (hearsay): To the extent Petitioners rely on the contents of Exhibit 1055 for the truths of any matter asserted, DISH objects to Exhibit 1055 as inadmissible hearsay that does not fall under any exception. 901/902 (authenticity of relied upon documents/testimony): DISH objects to Exhibit 1055 as not properly authenticated under FRE 901 because there is insufficient evidence to support a finding that the document in question is what Petitioners claim it to be. DISH similarly objects to Exhibit 1055 under FRE 902 because Petitioners have not produced

<u>Exhibit Number(s)</u>	<u>Document Name</u>	<u>Federal Rule(s) of Evidence Forming the Basis of Patent Owner's Objection(s)</u>
		sufficient evidence that Exhibit 1055 is self-authenticating.
1056	Wu et al., Streaming Video Over the Internet	401/402 (relevance): DISH objects to Exhibit 1056 as not relevant. Exhibit 1056 lacks any tendency to make any fact of consequence in determining this action more or less probable than it would be without Exhibit 1056. 403 (prejudice, waste of time, confusing, needlessly cumulative): To the extent Petitioners contend that Exhibit 1056 contains relevant information, DISH objects to Exhibit 1056 under FRE 403 because any probative value added by Exhibit 1056 is substantially outweighed by the danger of: unfair prejudice to DISH, confusion of the issues presented in this action, wasting the time and resources of the parties and Board, and presenting the Board with needlessly cumulative information.

<u>Exhibit Number(s)</u>	<u>Document Name</u>	<u>Federal Rule(s) of Evidence Forming the Basis of Patent Owner's Objection(s)</u>
		802 (hearsay): To the extent Petitioners rely on the contents of Exhibit 1056 for the truths of any matter asserted, DISH objects to Exhibit 1056 as inadmissible hearsay that does not fall under any exception. 901/902 (authenticity of relied upon documents/testimony): DISH objects to Exhibit 1056 as not properly authenticated under FRE 901 because there is insufficient evidence to support a finding that the document in question is what Petitioners claim it to be. DISH similarly objects to Exhibit 1056 under FRE 902 because Petitioners have not produced sufficient evidence that Exhibit 1056 is self-authenticating.
1057	Lee, Iterative key frame selection in the rate-constant environment	401/402 (relevance): DISH objects to Exhibit 1057 as not relevant. Exhibit 1057 lacks any tendency to make any fact of consequence in determining this action

<u>Exhibit Number(s)</u>	<u>Document Name</u>	<u>Federal Rule(s) of Evidence Forming the Basis of Patent Owner's Objection(s)</u>
		more or less probable than it would be without Exhibit 1057. 403 (prejudice, waste of time, confusing, needlessly cumulative): To the extent Petitioners contend that Exhibit 1057 contains relevant information, DISH objects to Exhibit 1057 under FRE 403 because any probative value added by Exhibit 1057 is substantially outweighed by the danger of: unfair prejudice to DISH, confusion of the issues presented in this action, wasting the time and resources of the parties and Board, and presenting the Board with needlessly cumulative information. 802 (hearsay): To the extent Petitioners rely on the contents of Exhibit 1057 for the truths of any matter asserted, DISH objects to Exhibit 1057 as inadmissible hearsay that does not fall under any exception.

<u>Exhibit Number(s)</u>	<u>Document Name</u>	<u>Federal Rule(s) of Evidence Forming the Basis of Patent Owner's Objection(s)</u>
		901/902 (authenticity of relied upon documents/testimony): DISH objects to Exhibit 1057 as not properly authenticated under FRE 901 because there is insufficient evidence to support a finding that the document in question is what Petitioners claim it to be. DISH similarly objects to Exhibit 1057 under FRE 902 because Petitioners have not produced sufficient evidence that Exhibit 1057 is self-authenticating.
1058	Yuan et al, A Novel Method of Keyframe Setting in Video Coding (2003)	401/402 (relevance): DISH objects to Exhibit 1058 as not relevant. Exhibit 1058 lacks any tendency to make any fact of consequence in determining this action more or less probable than it would be without Exhibit 1058. 403 (prejudice, waste of time, confusing, needlessly cumulative): To the extent Petitioners contend that Exhibit 1058 contains relevant information, DISH objects to Exhibit 1058 under FRE 403 because any probative value added by

<u>Exhibit Number(s)</u>	<u>Document Name</u>	<u>Federal Rule(s) of Evidence Forming the Basis of Patent Owner's Objection(s)</u>
		Exhibit 1058 is substantially outweighed by the danger of: unfair prejudice to DISH, confusion of the issues presented in this action, wasting the time and resources of the parties and Board, and presenting the Board with needlessly cumulative information. 802 (hearsay): To the extent Petitioners rely on the contents of Exhibit 1058 for the truths of any matter asserted, DISH objects to Exhibit 1058 as inadmissible hearsay that does not fall under any exception. 901/902 (authenticity of relied upon documents/testimony): DISH objects to Exhibit 1058 as not properly authenticated under FRE 901 because there is insufficient evidence to support a finding that the document in question is what Petitioners claim it to be. DISH similarly objects to Exhibit 1058 under FRE 902 because Petitioners have not produced

<u>Exhibit Number(s)</u>	<u>Document Name</u>	<u>Federal Rule(s) of Evidence Forming the Basis of Patent Owner's Objection(s)</u>
		sufficient evidence that Exhibit 1058 is self-authenticating.
1060	Transmission Control Protocol, Info Scis Inst, Univ S. Cal. (Sept 1981)	401/402 (relevance): DISH objects to Exhibit 1060 as not relevant. Exhibit 1060 lacks any tendency to make any fact of consequence in determining this action more or less probable than it would be without Exhibit 1060. 403 (prejudice, waste of time, confusing, needlessly cumulative): To the extent Petitioners contend that Exhibit 1060 contains relevant information, DISH objects to Exhibit 1060 under FRE 403 because any probative value added by Exhibit 1060 is substantially outweighed by the danger of: unfair prejudice to DISH, confusion of the issues presented in this action, wasting the time and resources of the parties and Board, and presenting the Board with needlessly cumulative information.

<u>Exhibit Number(s)</u>	<u>Document Name</u>	<u>Federal Rule(s) of Evidence Forming the Basis of Patent Owner's Objection(s)</u>
		802 (hearsay): To the extent Petitioners rely on the contents of Exhibit 1060 for the truths of any matter asserted, DISH objects to Exhibit 1060 as inadmissible hearsay that does not fall under any exception. 901/902 (authenticity of relied upon documents/testimony): DISH objects to Exhibit 1060 as not properly authenticated under FRE 901 because there is insufficient evidence to support a finding that the document in question is what Petitioners claim it to be. DISH similarly objects to Exhibit 1060 under FRE 902 because Petitioners have not produced sufficient evidence that Exhibit 1060 is self-authenticating.
1061	Video Segment – akiyo_segment_cif-30_001.h261	401/402 (relevance): DISH objects to Exhibit 1061 as not relevant. Exhibit 1061 lacks any tendency to make any fact of consequence in determining this action

<u>Exhibit Number(s)</u>	<u>Document Name</u>	<u>Federal Rule(s) of Evidence Forming the Basis of Patent Owner's Objection(s)</u>
		more or less probable than it would be without Exhibit 1061. 403 (prejudice, waste of time, confusing, needlessly cumulative): To the extent Petitioners contend that Exhibit 1061 contains relevant information, DISH objects to Exhibit 1061 under FRE 403 because any probative value added by Exhibit 1061 is substantially outweighed by the danger of: unfair prejudice to DISH, confusion of the issues presented in this action, wasting the time and resources of the parties and Board, and presenting the Board with needlessly cumulative information. 802 (hearsay): To the extent Petitioners rely on the contents of Exhibit 1061 for the truths of any matter asserted, DISH objects to Exhibit 1061 as inadmissible hearsay that does not fall under any exception.

<u>Exhibit Number(s)</u>	<u>Document Name</u>	<u>Federal Rule(s) of Evidence Forming the Basis of Patent Owner's Objection(s)</u>
		901/902 (authenticity of relied upon documents/testimony): DISH objects to Exhibit 1061 as not properly authenticated under FRE 901 because there is insufficient evidence to support a finding that the document in question is what Petitioners claim it to be. DISH similarly objects to Exhibit 1061 under FRE 902 because Petitioners have not produced sufficient evidence that Exhibit 1061 is self-authenticating.
1062	Video Segment – akiyo_segment_cif- 60_001.h261	401/402 (relevance): DISH objects to Exhibit 1062 as not relevant. Exhibit 1062 lacks any tendency to make any fact of consequence in determining this action more or less probable than it would be without Exhibit 1062. 403 (prejudice, waste of time, confusing, needlessly cumulative): To the extent Petitioners contend that Exhibit 1062 contains relevant information, DISH objects to Exhibit 1062 under FRE 403 because any probative value added by

<u>Exhibit Number(s)</u>	<u>Document Name</u>	<u>Federal Rule(s) of Evidence Forming the Basis of Patent Owner's Objection(s)</u>
		Exhibit 1062 is substantially outweighed by the danger of: unfair prejudice to DISH, confusion of the issues presented in this action, wasting the time and resources of the parties and Board, and presenting the Board with needlessly cumulative information. 802 (hearsay): To the extent Petitioners rely on the contents of Exhibit 1062 for the truths of any matter asserted, DISH objects to Exhibit 1062 as inadmissible hearsay that does not fall under any exception. 901/902 (authenticity of relied upon documents/testimony): DISH objects to Exhibit 1062 as not properly authenticated under FRE 901 because there is insufficient evidence to support a finding that the document in question is what Petitioners claim it to be. DISH similarly objects to Exhibit 1062 under FRE 902 because Petitioners have not produced

<u>Exhibit Number(s)</u>	<u>Document Name</u>	<u>Federal Rule(s) of Evidence Forming the Basis of Patent Owner's Objection(s)</u>
		sufficient evidence that Exhibit 1062 is self-authenticating.
1063	Video Segment – akiyo_segment_cif- 120_001.h261	401/402 (relevance): DISH objects to Exhibit 1063 as not relevant. Exhibit 1063 lacks any tendency to make any fact of consequence in determining this action more or less probable than it would be without Exhibit 1063. 403 (prejudice, waste of time, confusing, needlessly cumulative): To the extent Petitioners contend that Exhibit 1063 contains relevant information, DISH objects to Exhibit 1063 under FRE 403 because any probative value added by Exhibit 1063 is substantially outweighed by the danger of: unfair prejudice to DISH, confusion of the issues presented in this action, wasting the time and resources of the parties and Board, and presenting the Board with needlessly cumulative information.

<u>Exhibit Number(s)</u>	<u>Document Name</u>	<u>Federal Rule(s) of Evidence Forming the Basis of Patent Owner's Objection(s)</u>
		802 (hearsay): To the extent Petitioners rely on the contents of Exhibit 1063 for the truths of any matter asserted, DISH objects to Exhibit 1063 as inadmissible hearsay that does not fall under any exception. 901/902 (authenticity of relied upon documents/testimony): DISH objects to Exhibit 1063 as not properly authenticated under FRE 901 because there is insufficient evidence to support a finding that the document in question is what Petitioners claim it to be. DISH similarly objects to Exhibit 1063 under FRE 902 because Petitioners have not produced sufficient evidence that Exhibit 1063 is self-authenticating.
1064	Curriculum Vitae of Henry H. Houh (June 2025)	401/402 (relevance): DISH objects to Exhibit 1064 as not relevant. Exhibit 1064 lacks any tendency to make any fact of consequence in determining this action

<u>Exhibit Number(s)</u>	<u>Document Name</u>	<u>Federal Rule(s) of Evidence Forming the Basis of Patent Owner's Objection(s)</u>
		more or less probable than it would be without Exhibit 1064. 403 (prejudice, waste of time, confusing, needlessly cumulative): To the extent Petitioners contend that Exhibit 1064 contains relevant information, DISH objects to Exhibit 1064 under FRE 403 because any probative value added by Exhibit 1064 is substantially outweighed by the danger of: unfair prejudice to DISH, confusion of the issues presented in this action, wasting the time and resources of the parties and Board, and presenting the Board with needlessly cumulative information. 802 (hearsay): To the extent Petitioners rely on the contents of Exhibit 1064 for the truths of any matter asserted, DISH objects to Exhibit 1064 as inadmissible hearsay that does not fall under any exception.

<u>Exhibit Number(s)</u>	<u>Document Name</u>	<u>Federal Rule(s) of Evidence Forming the Basis of Patent Owner's Objection(s)</u>
		901/902 (authenticity of relied upon documents/testimony): DISH objects to Exhibit 1064 as not properly authenticated under FRE 901 because there is insufficient evidence to support a finding that the document in question is what Petitioners claim it to be. DISH similarly objects to Exhibit 1064 under FRE 902 because Petitioners have not produced sufficient evidence that Exhibit 1064 is self-authenticating.

Respectfully Submitted,

June 16, 2025

/Eliot D. Williams/

Eliot D. Williams (Reg. No. 50,822)

Andrew Wilson (Reg. No. 74,093)

Jamie R. Lynn (Reg. No. 63,666)

BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.

700 K Street, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20001

202.639.1334

202.639.1312

202.639.7786

G. Hopkins Guy III (Reg. No. 35,886)

BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.

Case IPR2024-00919
Patent No. 8,868,772

1001 Page Mill Road, Bldg. 1, Suite 200
Palo Alto, California 94304-1007
650.739.7500

Ali Dhanani (Reg. No. 66,233)
Thomas B. Carter Jr. (Reg. No. 76,040)
Zacharias E. Shepard (Reg. No. 83,358)
BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.
910 Louisiana St.
Houston, TX 77002
713.229.1108
713.229.1505
713.229.6234
Attorneys for Patent Owner, DISH
Technologies L.L.C.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. §42.6, the undersigned certifies that on June 16, 2025, a complete and entire copy of the **PATENT OWNER'S OBJECTIONS TO EVIDENCE SUBMITTED WITH PETITIONERS' REPLY** was served upon the following, by ELECTRONIC MAIL:

James M. Glass (Reg. No. 46,729)
jimglass@quinnemanuel.com

Quincy Lu (Reg. No. 76954)
quincylu@quinnemanuel.com

Christopher Mathews (Reg. No. 35944)
chrismathews@quinnemanuel.com

Brian Mack (Reg. No. 57189)
brianmack@quinnemanuel.com

Fubotv_masterclassiprs.lwteam@lw.com
Inge A. Osman (Reg. No. 74,480)
Inge.osman@lw.com

Tara D. Elliott (Reg. No. 52,859)
Tara.elliott@lw.com

Richard G. Frenkel (Reg. No. 47,578)
Rick.frenkel@lw.com

Rebecca L. Rabenstein (Reg. No. 69,005)
Rebecca.rabenstein@lw.com

Clement Naples (Reg. No. 50,663)
Cnaples@cgsh.com

Case IPR2024-00919
Patent No. 8,868,772

Date: June 16, 2025

/Eliot D. Williams /
Eliot D. Williams (Reg. No. 50,822)