

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FUBOTV MEDIA INC., YANKA INDUSTRIES, INC.,
WEBGROUP CZECH REPUBLIC, A.S., and NKL ASSOCIATES, S.R.O.,¹
Petitioner,

v.

DISH TECHNOLOGIES L.L.C.,
Patent Owner.

IPR2024-00918 (Patent 9,407,564 B2)
IPR2024-00919 (Patent 8,868,772 B2)²

Before THU A. DANG, KARL D. EASTHOM, and
JOHN A. HUDALLA, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

EASTHOM, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER

Granting Motion of Webgroup Czech Republic, A.S. and
NKL Associates, S.R.O for
Admission *Pro Hac Vice* of Jaime F. Cardenas-Navia
37 C.F.R. § 42.10

¹ Webgroup Czech Republic, A.S. and NKL Associates, S.R.O., collectively filed petitions and motions for joinder in IPR2025-00347 and -00348, and the Board joined them as a party to IPR2024-00918 and -00919, respectively.

² This Order applies to each proceeding. The parties must obtain prior Board approval to use this caption style.

IPR2024-00918 (Patent 9,407,564 B2)

IPR2024-00919 (Patent 8,868,772 B2)

Petitioner entity, Webgroup Czech Republic, A.S. and NKL Associates, S.R.O (“Petitioner”), filed an unopposed Motion in each case requesting *pro hac vice* admission of Jaime F. Cardenas-Navia (“Motions”). IPR2024-00918, Paper 75; IPR2024-00919, Paper 78. Petitioner also filed a Declaration in each case from Mr. Cardenas-Navia in support of the Motion (“Declarations”). IPR2024-00918, Paper 75; IPR2024-00919, Paper 78.³ For the reasons provided below, Petitioner’s Motions are *granted*.

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), the Board may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner. In authorizing a motion for *pro hac vice* admission, the Board requires the moving party to provide a statement of facts showing there is good cause for the Board to recognize counsel *pro hac vice* and an affidavit or declaration of the individual seeking to appear in the proceeding. *See Unified Patents, Inc. v. Parallel Iron, LLC*, IPR2013-00639 (PTAB Oct. 15, 2013) (Paper 7) (representative “Order – Authorizing Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission”).

Based on the Motions and accompanying Declarations, Petitioner shows that Mr. Cardenas-Navia has sufficient legal and technical qualifications to represent Petitioner in this proceeding, that Mr. Cardenas-Navia demonstrates sufficient litigation experience and familiarity with the subject matter of this proceeding, and that Mr. Cardenas-Navia satisfies all other requirements for admission *pro hac*

³ Petitioner appended the Declaration to the Motion. Hereafter, Petitioner shall file other affidavits and declarations as exhibits. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.63(a) (“Evidence consists of affidavits, transcripts of depositions, documents, and things. All evidence must be filed in the form of an exhibit.”).

IPR2024-00918 (Patent 9,407,564 B2)

IPR2024-00919 (Patent 8,868,772 B2)

vice. See Declarations, Motions. Accordingly, Petitioner establishes good cause for *pro hac vice* admission of Mr. Cardenas-Navia.

Petitioner has filed an Updated Power of Attorney including Mr. Cardenas-Navia in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(b). IPR2024-00918, Paper 70; IPR2024-00919, Paper 73. Petitioner has also filed Updated Mandatory Notices identifying Mr. Cardenas-Navia as back-up counsel in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3). IPR2024-00918, Paper 71; IPR2024-00919, Paper 74.

In consideration of the foregoing, it is hereby

ORDERED that Petitioner's Motions for *pro hac vice* admission of Mr. Cardenas-Navia are *granted*;

FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner is to continue to have a registered practitioner represent it as lead counsel for the instant proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Cardenas-Navia is authorized to represent Petitioner as back-up counsel only in the instant proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Cardenas-Navia comply with the Patent Trial and Appeal Board Consolidated Trial Practice Guide⁴ (84 Fed. Reg. 64,280 (Nov. 21, 2019)), and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Part 42 of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Cardenas-Navia is subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.*

⁴ Available at <https://www.uspto.gov/TrialPracticeGuideConsolidated>.

IPR2024-00918 (Patent 9,407,564 B2)

IPR2024-00919 (Patent 8,868,772 B2)

PETITIONER:

Inge Osman

Tara Elliott

Richard Frenkel

Rebecca Rabenstein

Clement Naples

LATHAM & WATKINS LLP

inge.osman@lw.com

tara.elliott@lw.com

rick.frenkel@lw.com

rebecca.rabenstein@lw.com

clement.naples@lw.com

FOR PETITIONER: IPR2025-00347 (joined)

James Glass

Quincy Lu

Christopher Mathews

Brian Mack

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
SULLIVAN, LLP

Jimglass@quinnemanuel.com,

quincylu@quinnemanuel.com,

chrismathews@quinnemanuel.com,

brianmack@quinnemanuel.com

PATENT OWNER:

Eliot Williams

Ali Dhanani

George Guy

Thomas Carter

BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.

eliot.williams@bakerbotts.com

ali.dhanani@bakerbotts.com

hop.guy@bakerbotts.com

thomas.carter@bakerbotts.com