Trials@uspto.gov
571-272-7822

Paper: 84
Entered: November 19, 2025

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FUBOTV MEDIA INC. and YANKA INDUSTRIES, INC., WEBGROUP CZECH REPUBLIC, A.S., and NKL ASSOCIATES, S.R.O.
Petitioner,[1]

v.

DISH TECHNOLOGIES L.L.C.,
Patent Owner.

IPR2024-00917 (Patent 10,757,156 B2)
IPR2024-00918 (Patent 9,407,564 B2)
IPR2024-00919 (Patent 8,868,772 B2)[2]

Before THU A. DANG, KARL D. EASTHOM, MICHELLE N. WORMMEESTER, and JOHN A. HUDALLA, *Administrative Patent Judges*.[3]

EASTHOM, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

---

[1] Webgroup Czech Republic, A.S. and NKL Associates, S.R.O. are not parties in IPR2024-00917 but filed a petition and motion for joinder in IPR2025-00347 and IPR2025-00348, and the Board joined them as a party to IPR2024-00918 and IPR2024-00919, respectively.
[2] This Order applies to all three cases.
[3] This is not an expanded panel decision. Judges Dang, Wormmeester, and Hudalla are the panel in IPR2024-00917. Judges Easthom, Dang, and Hudalla are the panel in IPR2024-00918 and IPR2024-00919.

ORDER
Conduct of the Proceeding
37 C.F.R. § 42.5

On November 19, 2025, we entered a separate Final Written Decision in each of these three proceedings determining that Petitioner demonstrated, by a preponderance of the evidence, that claims 1–18 of U.S. Patent No. 10,757,156 B2, claims 1–16 of U.S. Patent No. 9,407,564 B2, and claims 1–21 of U.S. Patent No. 8,868,772 B2, are unpatentable. IPR2024-00917, Paper 73; IPR2024-00918, Paper 80; IPR2024-00919, Paper 83 (collectively "Decisions").

The Decisions discuss or cite information that has been designated confidential under the Protective Order that was entered in these cases. *See* IPR2024-00917, Paper 31(granting in part Motions to Seal).[4] Accordingly, we have entered the Decisions in the Board's P-TACTS system as "Parties and Board Only" to afford the parties an opportunity to identify any confidential information to which we have referred in the Decisions.

Petitioner and Patent Owner are to file jointly, within ten (10) business days of the entry of this Order, proposed redacted versions of the Decisions, or, in the alternative, a Notice stating that a particular Decision does not contain any confidential information. *See* IPR2024-00917, Paper 15, 3 ("Redactions to documents filed in these proceedings should be limited to the minimum amount necessary to protect confidential information, and the thrust of the underlying argument or evidence must be clearly discernible from the redacted versions.").

[4] A similar Order and Papers are in each case.

We remind the parties that documents in a proceeding may be made available to the public. 37 C.F.R. § 42.14; Paper 14, 3 ("We also advise the parties that information subject to a protective order may become public if identified in a final written decision in these proceedings, and that a motion to expunge the information will not necessarily prevail over the public interest in maintaining a complete and understandable file history.").

In consideration of the foregoing, it is hereby

ORDERED that, within ten (10) business days of the entry of this Order, Petitioner and Patent Owner are to file jointly proposed redacted versions of the Decisions, or, in the alternative, a Notice stating that a particular Decision does not contain any confidential information.

IPR2024-00917, Patent 10,757,156 B2
IPR2024-00918; Patent 9,407,564 B2
IPR2024-00919; Patent 8,868,772 B2

For PETITIONER:

Inge Osman
Tara Elliott
Richard Frenkel
Rebecca Rabenstein
Clement Naples
LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
inge.osman@lw.com
tara.elliott@lw.com
rick.frenkel@lw.com
rebecca.rabenstein@lw.com
clement.naples@lw.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

Eliot Williams
Ali Dhanani
George Guy
Thomas Carter
BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.
eliot.williams@bakerbotts.com
ali.dhanani@bakerbotts.com
hop.guy@bakerbotts.com
thomas.carter@bakerbotts.co