

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

AMAZON.COM, INC. and AMAZON.COM SERVICES LLC,
Petitioner,

v.

NOKIA TECHNOLOGIES OY,
Patent Owner.

IPR2024-00921
Patent 8,077,991

Before SCOTT B. HOWARD, STEVEN M. AMUNDSON, and
JASON M. REPKO, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

HOWARD, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER
Granting Patent Owner's Motion for
Admission *Pro Hac Vice* of Samuel L. Moore
37 C.F.R. § 42.10

On February 14, 2025, Patent Owner filed a motion for admission *pro hac vice* of Samuel L. Moore in the above-identified proceeding. Paper 15 (“Motion”). Patent Owner submitted a Declaration from Mr. Moore in support of the Motion. Ex. 2008 (“Declaration”). Petitioner has not opposed the Motion.

Patent Owner has submitted a Power of Attorney for Mr. Moore in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(b). Paper 6. Patent Owner has previously submitted Mandatory Notices identifying Mr. Moore as back-up counsel, as required by 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3). Paper 5. However, Mr. Moore is not identified in the most recent Updated Mandatory Notices filed by Patent Owner. Paper 13.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), the Board may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner. In authorizing a motion for admission *pro hac vice*, the Board requires the moving party to provide a statement of facts showing there is good cause for the Board to recognize counsel *pro hac vice* and an affidavit or declaration of the individual seeking to appear in the proceeding. *See Unified Patents, Inc. v. Parallel Iron, LLC*, IPR2013-00639, Paper 7 (PTAB Oct. 15, 2013) (representative “Order – Authorizing Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission”).

Based on the facts set forth in the Motion and the accompanying Declaration, we conclude that Mr. Moore has sufficient legal and technical qualifications to represent Patent Owner in this proceeding, that Mr. Moore has demonstrated sufficient litigation experience and familiarity with the subject matter of this proceeding, and that Mr. Moore meets all other requirements for admission *pro hac vice*. See Ex. 2008 ¶¶ 1–8.

Accordingly, Patent Owner has established good cause for admission *pro hac vice* of Mr. Moore. Mr. Moore will be permitted to appear *pro hac vice* as back-up counsel only. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c).

In consideration of the foregoing, it is hereby

ORDERED that Patent Owner's Motion (Paper 15) for admission *pro hac vice* of Samuel L. Moore is granted; Mr. Moore is authorized to act only as back-up counsel in this proceeding;

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner continues to have a registered practitioner represent it as lead counsel in this proceeding;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Moore be familiar with the Patent Trial and Appeal Board's Consolidated Trial Practice Guide (84 Fed. Reg. 64,280 (Nov. 21, 2019)), and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Part 42 of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Moore is subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101–11.901;

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner must file updated mandatory notices identifying Mr. Moore as back-up counsel within twenty-one (21) business days.

IPR2024-00921
Patent 8,077,991

FOR PETITIONER:

Harper Batts
Chris Ponder
Jeffrey Liang
SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP
hbatts@sheppardmullin.com
cponder@sheppardmullin.com
jliang@sheppardmullin.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

Scott W. Hejny
MCKOOL SMITH P.C.
shejny@McKoolSmith.com