

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

PARKERVISION, INC.

Patent Owner

U.S. Patent No. 7,496,342

Inter Partes Review No. IPR2024-00934

**PATENT OWNER'S NOTICE OF APPEAL
OF FINAL WRITTEN DECISION**

VIA P-TACTS

Patent Trial and Appeal Board

via U.S.P.S. Priority Mail Express

Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office

c/o Office of the General Counsel

United States Patent and Trademark Office

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22324-1450

via CM/ECF

United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit

INTRODUCTION

ParkerVision, Inc.’s (“Patent Owner”) appeal stems from the Patent Trial and Appeal Board’s Judgment Final Written Decision Determining All Challenged Claims Unpatentable 35 U.S.C. § 318(a) entered on November 21, 2025 (Paper 54, “Final Written Decision”) in the above-captioned *inter partes* review of United States Patent No. 7,496,342 (“the ‘342 Patent”). This notice of Patent Owner’s appeal is timely filed within 63 days of the Order Denying Director Review of the Final Written Decision in this proceeding. 37 C.F.R. § 90.3(b)(1); 37 C.F.R. § 42.75(e)(3).

PATENT OWNER’S APPEAL

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1295(a)(4)(A), 35 U.S.C. §§ 141-143, 37 C.F.R. §§ 90.2 – 90.3, (a)(1) and Fed. Cir. R. 15, Patent Owner hereby appeals to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit from the Final Written Decision entered November 21, 2025 (Paper 54) in IPR2024-00934.

PATENT OWNER’S ISSUES ON APPEAL

Providing the information required by 37 C.F.R. 90.2(a)(3)(ii), Patent Owner states that the issues on appeal may include, but are not limited to: (1) the Board’s finding of unpatentability of Claims 19-21 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 based on DeMaw; (2) the Board’s finding of unpatentability of Claims 19-21 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 based on Macnally; (3) the Board’s construction of the challenged

claims and application of its construction of the claims to the facts of record; (4) the Board's procedural rulings, including the Board's admission of post-institution simulations and expert declaration that presented new invalidity theories that were materially different from those in the Petition; (5) the adequacy of the Board's consideration of expert testimony, prior art, and other record evidence, including the Board's reliance on unreliable expert simulations and testimony to find disclosure of claim limitations missing from the prior art; (6) the Board's factual findings, conclusions of law, and other determinations supporting or related to those issues, including the Board's improper burden shifting to Patent Owner to demonstrate patentability of the Challenged Claims; (7) the Board's application of its policies and procedures; (8) the Board's compliance with the Administrative Procedure Act, including whether the Final Written Decision is arbitrary, capricious, an abuse of discretion, not in accordance with law, or in excess of the Board's jurisdiction, (9) any procedural irregularities associated with the review proceeding; as well as all other issues decided adversely to Patent Owner in any orders, decisions, rulings, and opinions; and (10) any findings that conflict with the evidence of record and are not supported by substantial evidence.

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 142 and 37 C.F.R. § 90.2, a copy of this Notice of Appeal is being filed simultaneously with the Patent Trial and Appeal Board, the

Clerk's Office for the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (along with the required docketing fees), and the Director of the Patent and Trademark Office c/o the Office of the General Counsel at the below-identified address.

Dated: May 20, 2026

Respectfully submitted,

By: /Jason S. Charkow/

Jason S. Charkow (USPTO Reg. No. 46,418)*

Richard Juang (USPTO Reg. No. 71,478)*

Chandran B. Iyer (USPTO Reg. No. 48,434)

Stephanie Mandir (USPTO Reg. No. 72,930)

Ronald M Daignault*

jason.s.charkow@gmail.com

richard.juang@gmail.com

cbiyer@daignaultiyer.com

rdaignault@daignaultiyer.com

DAIGNAULT IYER LLP

8229 Boone Boulevard

Suite 450

Vienna, VA 22182

**Not admitted in Virginia*

Attorneys for ParkerVision, Inc.

CERTIFICATION OF FILING

The undersigned hereby certifies that, in addition to being electronically filed through P-TACTS, a true and correct copy of PATENT OWNER'S NOTICE OF APPEAL OF FINAL WRITTEN DECISION is being filed by U.S.P.S. Priority Mail Express® with the Director on the date below at the following address:

Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office
c/o Office of the General Counsel
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22314-1450

The undersigned also hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of PATENT OWNER'S NOTICE OF APPEAL OF FINAL WRITTEN DECISION and the filing fee is being filed via CM/ECF with the Clerk's Office of the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit on the date below.

Dated: May 20, 2026

Respectfully Submitted,

By: / Jason S. Charkow /
Jason S. Charkow
USPTO Reg. No. 46,418

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that the foregoing PATENT OWNER'S
NOTICE OF APPEAL OF FINAL WRITTEN DECISION was served
electronically via email on May 20, 2026, on the following counsel of record for
Petitioners:

Joshua A. Griswold
Rishi Gupta
Yao Wang
W. Karl Renner
Fish & Richardson P.C.
IPR12189-0091IP1@fr.com
PTABInbound@fr.com
griswold@fr.com
rgupta@fr.com
ywang@fr.com
renner@fr.com

Robert S. Magee
Blue Peak Law Group LLP
robert@bluepeak.law

John J. Patti
Patti Pershern, PLLC
john@mppfirm.com

Christopher Crumbley
Michael Chibib
Christopher Mierzejewski
Bracewell LLP
kit.crumbley@bracewell.com
michael.chibib@bracewell.com
christopher.mierzejewski@bracewell.com
anna.hearn-darst@bracewell.com

Dated: May 20, 2026

Respectfully Submitted,

By: / Jason S. Charkow /
Jason S. Charkow
USPTO Reg. No. 46,418