

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INC. and
NXP USA, INC.,
Petitioners,

v.

PARKERVISION, INC.,
Patent Owner.

IPR2024-00936¹
Patent 9,118,528 B2

Before MICHAEL R. ZECHER, BART A. GERSTENBLITH, and
IFTIKHAR AHMED, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

GERSTENBLITH, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER

Requiring the Parties to Indicate the Relevance of
Identified Decisions in Related Matters
37 C.F.R. § 42.5(a)

¹ NXP USA, Inc., who filed a petition in IPR2024-01068, is joined as petitioner in this proceeding.

On September 19, 2025, an Order was entered in this proceeding stating:

The parties must keep the Board apprised of developments regarding adjudication of validity or patentability of the challenged patent claims, or substantially similar patent claims, such as claim construction, findings of fact, or conclusions of law, in “any other judicial or administrative matter that would affect, or be affected by, a decision in the proceeding” and is identified as a related matter under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2).

Paper 67 (Order, Related Matters Updates), 1. The Order then requires the parties to send a joint email to the Board within five business days providing developments in any related matter and, after Board consultation, file in the docket of this proceeding relevant materials from the related matters. *Id.* at 2.

On September 26, 2025, counsel for Patent Owner emailed the Board a list of eight related matters and identified nine decisions from those matters (including attaching nine PDFs of those decisions) the parties deemed responsive to the September 19, 2025, Order. Patent Owner’s email, however, does not identify whether any of the decisions are already of record in this proceeding or the specific relevance of any decision. Nor does the email seek consultation with the Board to determine which decisions to file in this proceeding.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that Patent Owner shall prepare an editable document (e.g., in Microsoft Word) that (1) identifies each of the nine decisions Patent Owner deemed responsive to the September 19, 2025, Order; (2) identifies whether the decision is already of record in this proceeding, and, if so, the paper or exhibit number of the decision; (3) the relevant page numbers of

each decision; and (4) the reason Patent Owner determined that the decision was responsive to the September 19, 2025, Order (e.g., “claim construction of term X”). The reason provided should not be argumentative. If any of the nine decisions is not already of record, Patent Owner shall give said decision an exhibit number which Patent Owner shall use to identify the decision on the editable document. Patent Owner shall then share the editable document with Petitioner and Petitioner shall add any additional information from (2)–(4) for each decision identified by Patent Owner. Petitioner shall then return the document to Patent Owner and the parties shall meet and confer to discuss any issues. Absent any issues, Patent Owner shall (1) file the document as a paper; (2) file, as exhibits under each exhibit number identified in the editable document, any of the nine decisions deemed responsive to the September 19, 2025, Order that is not already of record in this proceeding; and (3) file an updated exhibit list as a paper. If the parties have any issues with this Order or resolving any issues that arise in executing the instructions set forth in this Order, the parties shall contact the Board via email and identify at least three dates with times when each of the parties is available for a conference call and Patent Owner shall arrange to have a court reporter present for the call who will prepare a transcript of the call; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner shall file the document identifying (1)–(4) that results from the above-process and the relevant exhibits **by April 20, 2026**, unless the parties request a conference call with the Board by that same date to resolve any issues arising from this Order.

IPR2024-00936
Patent 9,118,528 B2

FOR PETITIONER TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INC.

Joshua Griswold
Rishi Gupta
Yao Wang
Patrick Bisenius
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
griswold@fr.com
rgupta@fr.com
ywang@fr.com
bisenius@fr.com

FOR PETITIONER NXP USA, INC.

Christopher Crumbley
Christopher Mierzejewski
Michael Chibib
BRACEWELL LLP
kit.crumbley@bracewell.com
cmierzejewski@mckoolsmith.com
michael.chibib@bracewell.com

FOR PATENT OWNER

Jason Charkow
Richard Juang
Chandran Iyer
DAIGNAULT IYER LLP
jason.s.charkow@gmail.com
richard.juang@gmail.com
smandir@daignaultiyer.com