

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.; AND
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

REDSTONE LOGICS LLC,
Patent Owner.

IPR2024-00974
Patent 9,253,925 B1

Before JEFFREY W. ABRAHAM, MICHAEL G. McMANUS, and
STEPHEN E. BELISLE, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

McMANUS, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

DECISION

Settlement Prior to Institution of Trial

and

ORDER

*Granting Joint Request to Treat Settlement Agreement as Business
Confidential Information*
37 C.F.R. § 42.74

INTRODUCTION

Petitioner and Patent Owner (collectively “the Parties”) have requested that the above-identified *inter partes* review proceeding be terminated pursuant to a settlement. On November 4, 2024, the Parties filed a Joint Motion to Terminate the Proceeding and Joint Request to Treat Settlement Agreement as Business Confidential Information in the above-identified proceeding (“Joint Motion”). Paper 7. The Parties previously sought authorization to file the Joint Motion and received that authorization via email on November 1, 2024. Ex. 3001.

DISCUSSION

In the Joint Motion, the Parties represent that they have reached an agreement that settles all disputes between them regarding U.S. Patent No. 9,253,925 B1, and that the copy of the Settlement Agreement filed with the Joint Motion is a true and accurate copy. Joint Motion 2–3. The Parties further represent that “there are no other agreements or understandings between the parties, including any collateral agreements, made in connection with, or in contemplation of, the termination of this proceeding.” *Id.* at 3.

We have not yet instituted a trial on the above-identified proceeding and have not reviewed the merits of the proceeding. The Parties have shown adequately that termination of the proceeding is appropriate. Joint Motion 1–3. Under these circumstances, we determine that good cause exists to terminate the proceeding with respect to the Parties.

The Parties additionally have requested that the Settlement Agreement be treated as business confidential information and be kept separate from the file of U.S. Patent No. 9,253,925 B1. Joint Request 3–4. After reviewing the

IPR2024-00974

Patent 9,253,925 B1

Settlement Agreement, we find that the Settlement Agreement contains confidential business information regarding the terms of settlement. We determine that good cause exists to treat the Settlement Agreement between the Parties as business confidential information pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c).

This Order does not constitute a final written decision pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 318(a).

ORDER

Accordingly, for the reasons discussed above, it is:

ORDERED that the Joint Motion is granted, and IPR2024-00974 is terminated with respect to Petitioner and Patent Owner; and

FURTHER ORDERED that the Joint Request is granted, and the Settlement Agreement shall be kept separate from the file of U.S. Patent No. 9,253,925 B1 and made available only to Federal Government agencies on written request, or to any person on a showing of good cause, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c).

IPR2024-00974
Patent 9,253,925 B1

PETITIONER:

Scott C. Weidenfeller
David A. Garr
Jay I. Alexander
COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
sweidenfeller@cov.com
dgarr@cov.com
jalexander@cov.com

PATENT OWNER:

Reza Mirzaie
Neil A. Rubin
Qi (Peter) Tong
Russ, August & Kabat
rmirzaie@raklaw.com
nrubin@raklaw.com
ptong@raklaw.com