

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ARASHI VISION (U.S.) LLC (D/B/A INSTA360),
Petitioner,

v.

GOPRO, INC.,
Patent Owner.

IPR2024-00984 (Patent 10,015,413 B1)
IPR2024-01052 (Patent 11,336,832 B1)
IPR2024-01164 (Patent 10,958,840 B2)
IPR2024-01165 (Patent 10,958,840 B2)
IPR2024-01186 (Patent 10,574,894 B2)¹

Before WILLIAM V. SAINDON, NABEEL U. KHAN, and
BRIAN D. RANGE, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

PER CURIAM.

ORDER
Granting Petitioner's Motions for
Pro Hac Vice Admission of W. Stella Mao
37 C.F.R. § 42.10

¹ This Order addresses similar issues in the above-identified proceedings. We issue one Order to be filed in each proceeding. The parties are not authorized to use this caption without express permission of the Board.

IPR2024-00984 (Patent 10,015,413 B1)
IPR2024-01052 (Patent 11,336,832 B1)
IPR2024-01164 (Patent 10,958,840 B2)
IPR2024-01165 (Patent 10,958,840 B2)
IPR2024-01186 (Patent 10,574,894 B2)

On April 1, 2025, Petitioner filed a Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of W. Stella Mao in each of the above-identified proceedings. Paper 23 (“Motion”).² Petitioner also submitted a Declaration from W. Stella Mao in support of the Motion. Ex. 1013 (“Declaration”). Petitioner attests that Patent Owner does not oppose the Motion. Paper 23, 1. For the reasons provided below, Petitioner’s Motion is *granted*.

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c)(1), the Board may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner. In authorizing a motion for *pro hac vice* admission, the Board requires the moving party to provide a statement of facts showing there is good cause for the Board to recognize counsel *pro hac vice* and an affidavit or declaration of the individual seeking to appear in the proceeding. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c)(1); *Unified Patents, Inc. v. Parallel Iron, LLC*, IPR2013-00639 (PTAB Oct. 15, 2013) (Paper 7) (representative “Order – Authorizing Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission”).

Based on the facts set forth in the Motion and the accompanying Declaration, we conclude that Mao has sufficient qualifications to represent Petitioner in these proceedings, has demonstrated sufficient litigation experience and legal familiarity with the subject matter of these proceedings, and meets all other requirements for admission *pro hac vice*. *See* Ex. 1013.

² For expediency, we cite to the Motion and Declaration filed in IPR2024-00984, unless otherwise noted. Patent Owner filed a similar Motion and Declaration in each of the other proceedings.

IPR2024-00984 (Patent 10,015,413 B1)
IPR2024-01052 (Patent 11,336,832 B1)
IPR2024-01164 (Patent 10,958,840 B2)
IPR2024-01165 (Patent 10,958,840 B2)
IPR2024-01186 (Patent 10,574,894 B2)

Accordingly, Petitioner has established good cause for *pro hac vice* admission of Mao. Mao will be permitted to serve as back-up counsel only. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c)(1).

We note that Petitioner filed a Power of Attorney including Mao in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(b). Paper 1, 2. Petitioner has also filed Mandatory Notices identifying Mao as back-up counsel in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3). Paper 24, 1.

ORDER

Accordingly, it is:

ORDERED that Petitioner's Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of W. Stella Mao in each of the above-captioned proceedings (IPR2024-00984, Paper 23; IPR2024-01052, Paper 19; IPR2024-01164, Paper 18; IPR2024-01165, Paper 18, IPR2024-01186, Paper 15) is *granted*;

FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner is to continue to have a registered practitioner represent it as lead counsel for the above-identified proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mao is authorized to represent Petitioner as back-up counsel only in the above-identified proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mao be familiar with the Patent Trial and Appeal Board Consolidated Trial Practice Guide³ (84 Fed. Reg. 64,280 (Nov. 21, 2019)), and comply with the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Part 42 of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Mao is subject to the Office's

³ Available at <https://www.uspto.gov/TrialPracticeGuideConsolidated>.

IPR2024-00984 (Patent 10,015,413 B1)
IPR2024-01052 (Patent 11,336,832 B1)
IPR2024-01164 (Patent 10,958,840 B2)
IPR2024-01165 (Patent 10,958,840 B2)
IPR2024-01186 (Patent 10,574,894 B2)

disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.*

IPR2024-00984 (Patent 10,015,413 B1)
IPR2024-01052 (Patent 11,336,832 B1)
IPR2024-01164 (Patent 10,958,840 B2)
IPR2024-01165 (Patent 10,958,840 B2)
IPR2024-01186 (Patent 10,574,894 B2)

FOR PETITIONER:

Alex Yap
Mehran Arjomand
Jean Nguyen
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
ayap@mofo.com
marjomand@mofo.com
jnguyen@mofo.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

James Glass
John McKee
Quincy Lu
QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN LLP
jimglass@quinnemanuel.com
johnmckee@quinnemanuel.com
quincylu@quinnemanuel.com