

1 For the record, this is CDX-00016.25.

2 Now, Mr. Delman, you were shown this slide just
3 a short while ago during your direct with Mr. Schmidt;
4 correct?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. You understand that this document that is on the
7 left-hand side is dated 2019 January 19th.

8 Do you see that?

9 A. I do see a date code there, and I will trust
10 that your translation of it is accurate.

11 Q. You understand that this document was created
12 for ONE R, not Ace; correct?

13 A. I believe that's correct.

14 MR. WHITAKER: No further questions, Your Honor.

15 MR. SCHMIDT: Nothing further, Your Honor.

16 JUDGE JOHNSON HINES: All right.

17 So we can dismiss Mr. Delman?

18 MR. SCHMIDT: We may, Your Honor.

19 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

20 (Witness excused.)

21 MR. PAK: GoPro is ready to proceed in its case
22 for rebuttal.

23 MR. HAMSTRA: Your Honor, GoPro calls
24 Dr. Villasenor.

25 JUDGE JOHNSON HINES: All right.

1 MR. HAMSTRA: May I proceed, Your Honor?

2 JUDGE JOHNSON HINES: Yes.

3 Whereupon--

4 JOHN VILLASENOR, PhD,
5 having been previously duly sworn, was examined and
6 testified as follows:

7 DIRECT EXAMINATION

8 BY MR. HAMSTRA:

9 Q. Good afternoon, Dr. Villasenor.

10 A. Good afternoon.

11 Q. Did you have some demonstratives prepared for
12 your testimony today?

13 A. I do, yes.

14 Q. Dr. Villasenor, from what perspective did you
15 evaluate the prior art at issue with respect to the '840
16 and '052 patents?

17 A. So I evaluated the prior art through the eyes of
18 a person of ordinary skill in the art at the relevant time,
19 which is 2013 for the '413 patent, and 2015 for the
20 '052 patent.

21 Q. Did you apply the same definition of a person of
22 ordinary skill as Dr. Darrell?

23 A. Yes, I did.

24 Q. Did you hear some testimony from Dr. Darrell
25 yesterday to the effect that he applied different

1 A. Processes were known prior to the '052 priority
2 date, yes.

3 Q. Let's switch to the '413 patent.

4 You agree that at the time of the priority date
5 for the '413 patent, at least some cameras already had
6 processors on them; correct?

7 A. Yes, at least some cameras. This would be 2013,
8 I think that's right.

9 Q. At least some of those cameras had displays;
10 right?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. At least some of those cameras performed image
13 processing on-camera; right?

14 A. Not -- not as claimed in the '413 patent, but
15 yes, image processing generally, there was some that was
16 done on cameras in 2013.

17 Q. Generally speaking, in 2013, persons of skill in
18 the art understood the benefit of processing images
19 directly on-camera?

20 A. They understood it was a desirable thing to do,
21 although they also knew that there were computational and
22 other battery life challenges to doing that, but they
23 understood it was a good goal to have.

24 Q. You don't dispute that persons of skill in the
25 art would have understood the benefit of processing images