

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ANKER INNOVATIONS LTD.,
Petitioner,

v.

POWERMAT TECHNOLOGIES LTD.,
Patent Owner

Case: IPR2024-00995

U.S. Patent No. 9,083,204

JOINT MOTION TO TERMINATE PROCEEDING

Mail Stop **Patent Board**
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

PATENT OWNER’S EXHIBIT LIST

Exhibit No.	Description
2001	Expert Declaration of Patrick P. Mercier, Ph.D.
2002	U.S. Pub. No. 2009/0174263 (“Baarman263”)
2003	Defendant’s First Amended Invalidity Contentions of U.S. Patent No. 9,083,204 in view of US2008/0079392A1, <i>Powermat Technologies Ltd. v. Anker Innovations Ltd.</i> , Case No. 5-23-cv-00052 (E.D. Tex.), Apr. 18, 2024.
2004	Defendant’s First Amended Invalidity Contentions of U.S. Patent No. 9,083,204 in view of 2009/0174263, <i>Powermat Technologies Ltd. v. Anker Innovations Ltd.</i> , Case No. 5-23-cv-00052 (E.D. Tex.), Apr. 18, 2024.
2005	U.S. Patent No. 5,455,467 (“Young”)
2006	The American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language (Houghton Mifflin Co., 4th ed. 2006)
2007	Collins English Dictionary (HarperCollins Pub., 7th ed. 2005)
2008	Wiley Electrical and Electronics Engineering Dictionary (John Wiley & Sons, Inc., IEEE Press 2004)
2009	Expert Declaration of Patrick P. Mercier, Ph.D., May 21, 2025
2010	Deposition Transcript of Gary L. Woods, Ph.D., Feb. 20, 2025
2011	The American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language (Houghton Mifflin Co., 4th ed. 2002)
2012	Webster’s II Dictionary (Houghton Mifflin Co., 3rd ed. 2005)
2013	Deposition Transcript of Gary L. Woods, Ph.D., May 16, 2025
2014	Math Centre, <i>Symbols</i> (June 4, 2023)
2015	Patent Owner’s Demonstrative Slides
2016	Confidential Settlement Agreement

Petitioner Anker Innovations Ltd. (“Anker” or “Petitioner”) and Patent Owner Powermat Technologies Ltd. (“Powermat” or “Patent Owner”) have reached a settlement. Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(a) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74, Anker and Powermat move to terminate the present *inter partes* review proceeding.

I. STATEMENT OF FACTS

Anker and Powermat (collectively, the “Settling Parties”) have reached an agreement (the “Settlement Agreement”) to resolve their disputes.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(b), the Settlement Agreement is in writing, and a true and correct copy is being filed as Exhibit 2016. The Settlement Agreement is being filed electronically with access to “Board and Parties Only.” A “*Joint Request to File Settlement Agreement as Business Confidential Information Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317 and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74*” is being filed concurrently with this Joint Motion to Terminate, to treat the Settlement Agreement as business confidential information and to keep it separate from the files of the involved patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c).

II. RELIEF REQUESTED

Termination of this *inter partes* review is requested, and the Settling Parties respectfully submit that such termination is justified. “There are strong public policy reasons to favor settlement between the parties to a proceeding.” Consolidated Trial Practice Guide 86 (Nov. 2019). “The Board expects that a proceeding will terminate

after the filing of a settlement agreement, unless the Board has already decided the merits of the proceeding.” Id. (citing 35 U.S.C. §§ 317(a)).

The Board should terminate this proceeding, as the Settling Parties jointly request, for the following reasons.

First, Anker and Powermat have met the statutory requirement that they file a “joint request” to terminate before the Office “has decided the merits of the proceeding.” 35 U.S.C. § 317(a). Under section 317(a), an *inter partes* review shall be terminated upon such joint request “unless the Office has decided the merits of the proceeding before the request for termination is filed.” There are no other preconditions recited in 35 U.S.C. § 317(a).

Second, Anker and Powermat have reached a settlement as to all the disputes in this proceeding and as to the ’204 patent. A true copy of the settlement agreement is filed concurrently herewith. See Confidential Ex. 2015. Anker and Powermat request that the settlement agreement be treated as business confidential information and be kept separate from the files of this proceeding in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c). No other such agreements, written or oral, exist between or among the Settling Parties.

Third, termination would save significant further expenditure of resources by the Settling Parties. Termination upon settlement, as requested, would also further the purpose of *inter partes* review proceedings, which seek to provide an efficient

and less costly alternative forum for patent disputes. Further, maintaining the proceeding would discourage further settlements, as patent owners in similar situations would have a strong disincentive to settle if they perceived that an *inter partes* review would continue regardless of a settlement.

III. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Anker and Powermat respectfully request termination of this *inter partes* review.

Dated: March 23, 2026

/Michael T. Hawkins/

Michael T. Hawkins, Reg. No. 57,867
Nicholas W. Stephens, Reg. No. 74,320
Joseph W. Bauer, Reg. No. 81,218
Thomas H. Reger II, Reg. No. 47,892
Fish & Richardson P.C.
60 South Sixth Street, Suite 3200
Minneapolis, MN 55402
T: 202-783-5070
F: 877-769-7945

Attorneys for Petitioner

Respectfully submitted,

/Robert G. Pluta Reg No 50970/

Robert G. Pluta (Reg. No. 50,970)
MAYER BROWN LLP
71 S. Wacker Drive
Chicago, IL 60606
Telephone: (312) 701-8641
Fax: (312) 706-8144
rpluta@mayerbrown.com

William J. Barrow
Registration No. 62,813
W. Brady Nash
Registration No. 79,859
MAYER BROWN LLP
1999 K Street NW
Washington, D.C., 20006
wbarrow@mayerbrown.com
bnash@mayerbrown.com

Attorneys for Patent Owner

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to 37 CFR § 42.6(e)(4), the undersigned certifies that on March 23, 2026, a complete and entire copy of this Joint Motion to Terminate and Exhibit 2015 were provided by email to the Petitioner by serving the email correspondence addresses of record as follows:

Michael T. Hawkins

hawkins@fr.com

Nicholas W. Stephens

nstephens@fr.com

Joseph W. Bauer

jbauer@fr.com

Thomas H. Reger II

reger@fr.com

IPR46570-0021IP1@fr.com

PTABInbound@fr.com

Respectfully submitted,

Date: March 23, 2026

By: /Robert G. Pluta Reg No 50970/
Robert G. Pluta (Reg. No. 50,970)

MAYER BROWN LLP