

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ANKER INNOVATIONS LTD.,
Petitioner,

v.

POWERMAT TECHNOLOGIES LTD.,
Patent Owner.

IPR2024-00995 (Patent 9,083,204 B2)¹
IPR2024-00996 (Patent 8,626,461 B2)

Before KEN B. BARRETT, KARA L. SZPONDOWSKI, and
RYAN H. FLAX, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

BARRETT, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

TERMINATION
Due to Settlement After Institution of Trial
35 U.S.C. § 317; 37 C.F.R. § 42.74

¹ We use this caption for convenience and efficiency. The parties are not authorized to do so without prior approval.

IPR2024-00995 (Patent 9,083,204 B2)

IPR2024-00996 (Patent 8,626,461 B2)

Petitioner filed Petitions requesting *inter partes* review of claims 1–6, 8, and 10–13 of U.S. Patent No. 9,083,204 B2 in IPR2024-00995, and of claims 13–18 of U.S. Patent No. 8,626,461 B2 in IPR2024-00996. On February 20, 2025, the Board instituted trial in both cases. IPR2024-00995, Paper 7; IPR2024-00996, Paper 7.

On March 23, 2026, with our authorization, Petitioner Anker Innovations Ltd. and Patent Owner Powermat Technologies Ltd. (collectively, “the Parties”) filed in each subject case a Joint Motion to Terminate Proceeding. IPR2024-00995, Paper 31; IPR2024-00996, Paper 34 (“Mot.” or “Motion”).² The Parties represent in each Motion that they “have reached a settlement as to all the disputes in this proceeding and as to the [respective challenged] patent.” Mot. 2. Each Motion was accompanied by a document that the Parties represent to be “[a] true copy of the settlement agreement.” *Id.*; see IPR2024-00995, Ex. 2016 (Settlement Agreement); IPR2024-00996, Ex. 2008 (Settlement Agreement). The parties also represent that “[n]o other such agreements, written or oral, exist between or among the Settling Parties.” *Id.*

The Parties also filed in each case, with our authorization, a Joint Request to Treat Settlement Agreement as Business Confidential Information. IPR2024-00995, Paper 32; IPR2024-00996, Paper 35.

The Board generally expects that a case “will terminate after the filing of a settlement agreement, unless the Board has already decided the merits.” Patent Trial and Appeal Board Trial Practice Guide § II.N, *available at* www.uspto.gov/patents/ptab/trial-practice-guide; see 35 U.S.C. § 317;

² Substantively same or similar papers were filed in each subject case. Unless otherwise indicated, we cite to the papers filed in IPR2024-00995.

IPR2024-00995 (Patent 9,083,204 B2)

IPR2024-00996 (Patent 8,626,461 B2)

37 C.F.R. § 42.72. We have not rendered a final decision on the merits in either proceeding. Under the circumstances presented here, we determine that it is appropriate to terminate these proceedings with respect to both Petitioner and Patent Owner. Accordingly, we grant the Parties' Motions to terminate the proceedings.

We also determine that it is appropriate to treat the settlement agreement as business confidential information and to keep that document separate from the files of the challenged patents, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c). Thus, we grant the Parties' joint requests to treat the settlement agreement as business confidential information.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the Joint Motions to Terminate Proceeding are *granted*;

FURTHER ORDERED that these proceedings are *terminated*;

FURTHER ORDERED that the Joint Requests to Treat Settlement Agreement as Business Confidential Information are *granted*;

FURTHER ORDERED that Exhibit 2016 in IPR2024-00995 is to be kept separate from the file of the involved U.S. Patent No. 9,083,204 B2 under the provisions of 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c); and

FURTHER ORDERED that Exhibit 2008 in IPR2024-00996 is to be kept separate from the file of the involved U.S. Patent No. 8,626,461 B2 under the provisions of 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c).

IPR2024-00995 (Patent 9,083,204 B2)

IPR2024-00996 (Patent 8,626,461 B2)

PETITIONER:

Michael Hawkins

Nicholas Stephens

Joseph Bauer

Thomas Reger

Terry Stalford

Yong Peng

FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.

hawkins@fr.com

nstephens@fr.com

jbauer@fr.com

reger@fr.com

stalford@fr.com

epeng@fr.com

PATENT OWNER:

Robert Pluta

William Barrow

Seth Bruneel

William Nash

MAYER BROWN LLP

rpluta@mayerbrown.com

wbarrow@mayerbrown.com

sbruneel@mayerbrown.com

bnash@mayerbrown.com