US007587085B2

(12) **United States Patent**
Steinberg et al.

(10) **Patent No.: US 7,587,085 B2**
(45) **Date of Patent: *Sep. 8, 2009**

(54) **METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR RED-EYE DETECTION IN AN ACQUIRED DIGITAL IMAGE**

(75) Inventors: **Eran Steinberg**, San Francisco, CA (US); **Yury Prilutsky**, San Mateo, CA (US); **Petronel Bigioi**, Galway (IE); **Florin Nanu**, Bueuresti (RO)

(73) Assignee: **FotoNation Vision Limited**, Galway (IE)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 453 days.

This patent is subject to a terminal disclaimer.

(21) Appl. No.: **11/233,513**

(22) Filed: **Sep. 21, 2005**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2006/0120599 A1 Jun. 8, 2006

Related U.S. Application Data

(63) Continuation-in-part of application No. 11/182,718, filed on Jul. 15, 2005, which is a continuation-in-part of application No. 11/123,971, filed on May 6, 2005, which is a continuation-in-part of application No. 10/976,336, filed on Oct. 28, 2004.

(51) **Int. Cl.**
G06K 9/00 (2006.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.** **382/167**; 382/117; 382/164; 382/165

(58) **Field of Classification Search** 382/167, 382/117, 263, 275, 165, 118, 164, 103; 348/223.1, 348/252, 341, E5.042; 358/518
See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

4,285,588	A	8/1981	Mir
4,577,219	A	3/1986	Klie et al.
4,646,134	A	2/1987	Komatsu et al.
4,777,620	A	10/1988	Shimoni et al.

(Continued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

EP	0884694	A1	12/1998

(Continued)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Notification of Transmittal of the International Search Report and the Written Opinion of the International Searching Authority, or the Declaration for PCT/EP/2005/011010, Jan. 2006.

(Continued)

Primary Examiner—Anh Hong Do
(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm*—Andrew V. Smith

(57) **ABSTRACT**

A method for red-eye detection in an acquired digital image comprises acquiring a first image and analyzing the first acquired image to provide a plurality of characteristics indicative of image quality. The process then determines if one or more corrective processes can be beneficially applied to the first acquired image according to the characteristics. Any such corrective processes are then applied to the first acquired image. Red-eye defects are then detected in a second acquired image using the corrected first acquired image. Defect detection can comprise applying a chain of one or more red-eye filters to the first acquired image. In this case, prior to the detecting step, it is determined if the red-eye filter chain can be adapted in accordance with the plurality of characteristics; and the red-eye filter is adapted accordingly.

58 Claims, 12 Drawing Sheets



Sony Exhibit 1071
Sony v. Optimum

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

4,881,067	A		11/1989	Watanabe et al.
5,016,107	A		5/1991	Sasson et al.
5,070,355	A		12/1991	Inoue et al.
5,130,789	A		7/1992	Dobbs et al.
5,202,720	A		4/1993	Fujino et al.
5,432,863	A	*	7/1995	Benati et al. 382/167
5,452,048	A		9/1995	Edgar
5,455,606	A		10/1995	Keeling et al.
5,537,516	A		7/1996	Sherman et al.
5,568,194	A		10/1996	Abe
5,671,013	A		9/1997	Nakao
5,724,456	A		3/1998	Boyack et al.
5,748,764	A	*	5/1998	Benati et al. 382/117
5,748,784	A		5/1998	Sugiyama
5,751,836	A		5/1998	Wildes et al.
5,761,550	A		6/1998	Kancigor
5,781,650	A		7/1998	Lobo et al.
5,805,727	A		9/1998	Nakano
5,805,745	A		9/1998	Graf
5,818,975	A		10/1998	Goodwin et al.
5,847,714	A		12/1998	Naqvi et al.
5,862,217	A		1/1999	Steinberg et al.
5,862,218	A		1/1999	Steinberg
5,949,904	A		9/1999	Delp
5,974,189	A		10/1999	Nicponski
5,990,973	A		11/1999	Sakamoto
5,991,456	A		11/1999	Rahman et al.
5,991,549	A		11/1999	Tsuchida
5,991,594	A		11/1999	Froeber et al.
6,006,039	A		12/1999	Steinberg et al.
6,009,209	A		12/1999	Acker et al.
6,016,354	A		1/2000	Lin et al.
6,035,072	A		3/2000	Read
6,035,074	A		3/2000	Fujimoto et al.
6,036,072	A		3/2000	Lee
6,101,271	A		8/2000	Yamashita et al.
6,134,339	A		10/2000	Luo
6,151,403	A		11/2000	Luo
6,172,706	B1		1/2001	Tatsumi
6,192,149	B1		2/2001	Eschbach et al.
6,195,127	B1		2/2001	Sugimoto
6,204,858	B1		3/2001	Gupta
6,233,364	B1		5/2001	Krainiouk et al.
6,249,315	B1		6/2001	Holm
6,252,976	B1		6/2001	Schildkraut et al.
6,266,054	B1		7/2001	Lawton et al.
6,268,939	B1		7/2001	Klassen et al.
6,275,614	B1		8/2001	Krishnamurthy et al.
6,278,491	B1		8/2001	Wang et al.
6,292,574	B1		9/2001	Schildkraut et al.
6,295,378	B1		9/2001	Kitakado et al.
6,298,166	B1		10/2001	Ratnakar et al.
6,300,935	B1		10/2001	Sobel et al.
6,393,148	B1		5/2002	Bhaskar
6,396,963	B2		5/2002	Shaffer et al.
6,407,777	B1		6/2002	DeLuca
6,421,468	B1		7/2002	Ratnakar et al.
6,429,924	B1		8/2002	Milch
6,433,818	B1		8/2002	Steinberg et al.
6,438,264	B1		8/2002	Gallagher et al.
6,441,854	B2		8/2002	Fellegara et al.
6,459,436	B1		10/2002	Kumada et al.
6,473,199	B1		10/2002	Gilman et al.
6,496,655	B1		12/2002	Malloy Desormeaux
6,501,911	B1		12/2002	Malloy Desormeaux
6,505,003	B1		1/2003	Malloy Desormeaux
6,510,520	B1		1/2003	Steinberg
6,516,154	B1		2/2003	Parulski et al.
6,614,471	B1		9/2003	Ott
6,614,995	B2		9/2003	Tseng
6,621,867	B1		9/2003	Sazzad et al.
6,628,833	B1		9/2003	Horie
6,700,614	B1		3/2004	Hata
6,707,950	B1		3/2004	Burns et al.
6,714,665	B1		3/2004	Hanna et al.
6,718,051	B1		4/2004	Eschbach
6,724,941	B1		4/2004	Aoyama
6,728,401	B1		4/2004	Hardeberg
6,765,686	B2		7/2004	Maruoka
6,786,655	B2		9/2004	Cook et al.
6,792,161	B1		9/2004	Imaizumi et al.
6,798,913	B2		9/2004	Toriyama
6,859,565	B2		2/2005	Baron
6,873,743	B2		3/2005	Steinberg
6,885,766	B2		4/2005	Held et al.
6,895,112	B2		5/2005	Chen et al.
6,900,882	B2		5/2005	Iida
6,912,298	B1		6/2005	Wilensky
6,937,997	B1		8/2005	Parulski
6,967,680	B1		11/2005	Kagle et al.
6,980,691	B2		12/2005	Nesterov et al.
6,984,039	B2		1/2006	Agostinelli
7,024,051	B2	*	4/2006	Miller et al. 382/263
7,027,662	B2		4/2006	Baron
7,035,461	B2		4/2006	Luo et al.
7,035,462	B2	*	4/2006	White et al. 382/167
7,042,501	B1		5/2006	Matama
7,042,505	B1		5/2006	DeLuca
7,062,086	B2		6/2006	Chen et al.
7,116,820	B2		10/2006	Luo et al.
7,133,070	B2	*	11/2006	Wheeler et al. 348/223.1
7,155,058	B2		12/2006	Gaubatz et al.
7,216,289	B2		5/2007	Kagle et al.
7,224,850	B2		5/2007	Zhang et al.
7,269,292	B2		9/2007	Steinberg
7,289,664	B2		10/2007	Enomoto
7,295,233	B2		11/2007	Steinberg et al.
7,310,443	B1		12/2007	Kris et al.
7,315,631	B1		1/2008	Corcoran et al.
7,336,821	B2		2/2008	Ciuc et al.
7,352,394	B1		4/2008	DeLuca et al.
7,362,368	B2		4/2008	Steinberg et al.
7,369,712	B2		5/2008	Steinberg et al.
7,403,643	B2		7/2008	Ianculescu et al.
7,436,998	B2		10/2008	Steinberg et al.
7,454,040	B2		11/2008	Luo et al.
7,515,740	B2		4/2009	Corcoran et al.
2001/0015760	A1		8/2001	Fellegara et al.
2001/0031142	A1		10/2001	Whiteside
2001/0052937	A1		12/2001	Suzuki
2002/0019859	A1		2/2002	Watanabe
2002/0041329	A1		4/2002	Steinberg
2002/0051571	A1		5/2002	Jackway et al.
2002/0054224	A1		5/2002	Wasula et al.
2002/0085088	A1		7/2002	Eubanks
2002/0090133	A1		7/2002	Kim et al.
2002/0093577	A1		7/2002	Kitawaki et al.
2002/0093633	A1		7/2002	Milch
2002/0105662	A1		8/2002	Patton et al.
2002/0114513	A1		8/2002	Hirao
2002/0126893	A1		9/2002	Held et al.
2002/0131770	A1		9/2002	Meier et al.
2002/0136450	A1		9/2002	Chen et al.
2002/0141661	A1		10/2002	Steinberg
2002/0150306	A1		10/2002	Baron
2002/0159630	A1		10/2002	Buzuloiu et al.
2002/0172419	A1		11/2002	Lin et al.
2002/0176623	A1		11/2002	Steinberg
2003/0007687	A1		1/2003	Nesterov et al.
2003/0021478	A1		1/2003	Yoshida
2003/0025811	A1		2/2003	Keelan et al.
2003/0044063	A1		3/2003	Meckes et al.
2003/0044070	A1		3/2003	Fuersich et al. 382/275
2003/0044176	A1		3/2003	Saitoh

2003/0044177 A1 3/2003 Oberhardt et al.
2003/0044178 A1 3/2003 Oberhardt et al.
2003/0052991 A1 3/2003 Stavely et al.
2003/0058343 A1 3/2003 Katayama
2003/0058349 A1 3/2003 Takemoto
2003/0095197 A1 5/2003 Wheeler et al. 348/241
2003/0107649 A1 6/2003 Flickner et al.
2003/0118216 A1 6/2003 Goldberg
2003/0137597 A1 7/2003 Sakamoto et al.
2003/0142285 A1 7/2003 Enomoto 382/163
2003/0161506 A1 8/2003 Velazquez et al.
2003/0194143 A1 10/2003 Iida
2003/0202715 A1 10/2003 Kinjo
2004/0017481 A1 1/2004 Takasumi et al.
2004/0027593 A1 2/2004 Wilkins
2004/0032512 A1 2/2004 Silverbrook
2004/0032526 A1 2/2004 Silverbrook
2004/0033071 A1 2/2004 Kubo
2004/0037460 A1 2/2004 Luo et al.
2004/0046878 A1 3/2004 Jarman
2004/0047491 A1 3/2004 Rydbeck
2004/0056975 A1 3/2004 Hata
2004/0057623 A1 3/2004 Schuhrke et al.
2004/0057705 A1 3/2004 Kohno
2004/0057715 A1 3/2004 Tsuchida et al.
2004/0090461 A1 5/2004 Adams
2004/0093432 A1 5/2004 Luo et al.
2004/0114796 A1 6/2004 Kaku
2004/0114797 A1 6/2004 Meckes
2004/0114829 A1 6/2004 LeFeuvre et al.
2004/0114904 A1 6/2004 Sun et al.
2004/0119851 A1 6/2004 Kaku
2004/0120598 A1 6/2004 Feng
2004/0125387 A1 7/2004 Nagao et al.
2004/0126086 A1 7/2004 Nakamura et al.
2004/0141657 A1 7/2004 Jarman
2004/0150743 A1 8/2004 Schinner
2004/0160517 A1 8/2004 Iida
2004/0165215 A1 8/2004 Raguet et al.
2004/0184044 A1 9/2004 Kolb et al.
2004/0184670 A1 9/2004 Jarman et al.
2004/0196292 A1 10/2004 Okamura
2004/0196503 A1 10/2004 Kurtenbach et al.
2004/0213476 A1 10/2004 Luo et al.
2004/0223063 A1 11/2004 DeLuca et al.
2004/0227978 A1 11/2004 Enomoto
2004/0228542 A1 11/2004 Zhang et al.
2004/0233299 A1 11/2004 Ioffe et al.
2004/0233301 A1 11/2004 Nakata et al.
2004/0234156 A1 11/2004 Watanabe et al.
2004/0239779 A1 12/2004 Washisu
2004/0240747 A1 12/2004 Jarman et al.
2004/0258308 A1 12/2004 Sadovsky et al.
2005/0001024 A1 1/2005 Kusaka et al.
2005/0013602 A1 1/2005 Ogawa
2005/0013603 A1 1/2005 Ichimasa
2005/0024498 A1 2/2005 Iida et al.
2005/0031224 A1 2/2005 Prilutsky et al.
2005/0041121 A1 2/2005 Steinberg et al.
2005/0047655 A1 3/2005 Luo et al.
2005/0047656 A1 3/2005 Luo et al.
2005/0053279 A1 3/2005 Chen et al.
2005/0058340 A1 3/2005 Chen et al.
2005/0058342 A1 3/2005 Chen et al.
2005/0062856 A1 3/2005 Matsushita
2005/0063083 A1 3/2005 Dart et al.
2005/0068452 A1 3/2005 Steinberg et al.
2005/0074164 A1 4/2005 Yonaha
2005/0074179 A1 4/2005 Wilensky
2005/0078191 A1 4/2005 Battles
2005/0117132 A1 6/2005 Agostinelli
2005/0129331 A1 6/2005 Kakiuchi et al.
2005/0140801 A1 6/2005 Prilutsky et al.
2005/0147278 A1 7/2005 Rui et al.
2005/0151943 A1 7/2005 Iida
2005/0163498 A1 7/2005 Battles et al.
2005/0168965 A1 8/2005 Yoshida
2005/0196067 A1 9/2005 Gallagher et al.
2005/0200736 A1 9/2005 Ito
2005/0207649 A1 9/2005 Enomoto et al.
2005/0212955 A1 9/2005 Craig et al.
2005/0219385 A1 10/2005 Terakawa
2005/0219608 A1 10/2005 Wada
2005/0220346 A1 10/2005 Akahori
2005/0220347 A1 10/2005 Enomoto et al.
2005/0226499 A1 10/2005 Terakawa
2005/0232490 A1 10/2005 Itagaki et al.
2005/0238230 A1 10/2005 Yoshida
2005/0243348 A1 11/2005 Yonaha
2005/0275734 A1 12/2005 Ikeda
2005/0276481 A1 12/2005 Enomoto
2005/0280717 A1 12/2005 Sugimoto
2005/0286766 A1 12/2005 Ferman
2006/0008171 A1 1/2006 Petschnigg et al.
2006/0017825 A1 1/2006 Thakur
2006/0038916 A1 2/2006 Knoedgen et al.
2006/0039690 A1 2/2006 Steinberg et al.
2006/0045352 A1 3/2006 Gallagher
2006/0050300 A1 3/2006 Mitani et al.
2006/0066628 A1 3/2006 Brodie et al.
2006/0082847 A1 4/2006 Sugimoto
2006/0093212 A1 5/2006 Steinberg et al.
2006/0093213 A1 5/2006 Steinberg et al. 382/167
2006/0093238 A1 5/2006 Steinberg et al. 382/274
2006/0098867 A1 5/2006 Gallagher
2006/0098875 A1 5/2006 Sugimoto
2006/0119832 A1 6/2006 Iida
2006/0120599 A1 6/2006 Steinberg et al. 382/167
2006/0140455 A1 6/2006 Costache et al.
2006/0150089 A1 7/2006 Jensen et al.
2006/0203108 A1 9/2006 Steinberg et al.
2006/0204052 A1 9/2006 Yokouchi
2006/0204110 A1 9/2006 Steinberg et al.
2006/0221408 A1 10/2006 Fukuda
2006/0285754 A1 12/2006 Steinberg et al.
2007/0110305 A1 5/2007 Corcoran et al.
2007/0116379 A1 5/2007 Corcoran et al.
2007/0116380 A1 5/2007 Ciuc et al.
2007/0201724 A1 8/2007 Steinberg et al.
2007/0263104 A1 11/2007 DeLuca et al.
2008/0002060 A1 1/2008 DeLuca et al.
2008/0013798 A1 1/2008 Ionita et al.
2008/0043121 A1 2/2008 Prilutsky et al.
2008/0112599 A1 5/2008 Nanu et al.
2008/0186389 A1 8/2008 DeLuca et al.
2008/0211937 A1 9/2008 Steinberg et al.
2008/0232711 A1 9/2008 Prilutsky et al.
2008/0240555 A1 10/2008 Nanu et al.

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

EP 0911759 A2 4/1999
EP 911759 A3 6/2000
EP 1199672 A2 4/2002
EP 1229486 A1 8/2002
EP 1288858 A1 3/2003
EP 1288859 A1 3/2003
EP 1288860 A1 3/2003
EP 1293933 A1 3/2003
EP 1296510 A2 3/2003
EP 1429290 A2 6/2004
EP 1429290 A2 6/2004
EP 1478169 A2 11/2004
EP 1528509 A2 5/2005
EP 979487 B1 3/2006
GB 841609 A 7/1960

JP	4-192681		7/1992
JP	5224271	A2	9/1993
JP	9214839	A2	8/1997
JP	2000 134486	A	5/2000
JP	22247596	A2	8/2002
JP	2002 271808		9/2002
WO	WO-9802844	A1	1/1998
WO	WO 99/17254	A1	4/1999
WO	WO-9933684	A2	7/1999
WO	WO 01/71421	A1	9/2001
WO	WO-0192614	A1	12/2001
WO	WO 02/45003	A1	6/2002
WO	WO 03/026278	A1	3/2003
WO	WO 03/071484	A1	8/2003
WO	WO-2004034696	A1	4/2004
WO	WO 2005/015896	A1	2/2005
WO	WO 2005/041558	A1	5/2005
WO	WO 2005/076217	A2	8/2005
WO	WO 2005/076217	A3	8/2005
WO	WO-2005087994	A1	9/2005
WO	WO-2005109853	A1	11/2005
WO	WO 2006/018056	A1	2/2006
WO	WO-2006011635	A1	2/2006
WO	WO 2006/045441	A1	5/2006
WO	WO-2007057063	A1	5/2007
WO	WO-2007057064	A1	5/2007
WO	WO-2007093199	A2	8/2007
WO	WO-2007093199	A3	8/2007
WO	WO-2007095553	A2	8/2007
WO	WO-2007095553	A3	8/2007
WO	WO-2007142621	A1	12/2007
WO	WO-2008023280	A2	2/2008
WO	WO 2008/109644	A2	9/2008
WO	WO-2008109644	A3	9/2008
WO	WO 2008/109644	A2	10/2008

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Sahba, F. et al: "Filter Fusion for Image Enhancement Using Reinforcement Learning" CCECE 2003. Canadian Conference on Electrical and computer Engineering. Montreal, Canada, May 4-7, 2003, Canadian Conference on Electrical and Computer Engineering, New York, NY: IEEE, US, vol. vol. 3 of 3, May 4, 2003, pp. 847-850, XP010654204 ISBN: 0-7803-7781-8.

PCT International Preliminary Report on Patentability for PCT Application No. PCT/EP2005/011010, dated Jan. 26, 2007, 18 pages.

PCT Written Opinion of the International Searching Authority, for PCT application No. PCT/EP/2005/011010, dated Jan. 19, 2006, 7 pages.

Examination Report for European patent application No. 05792584.4, dated May 13, 2008, 8 pages.

Patent Abstracts of Japan, publication No. 2000050062, application No. 10-217124, published Feb. 18, 2000.

Jianhong (Jackie) Shen, Inpainting and the Fundamental Problem of Image Processing, Nov. 25, 2002, 6 pages.

Karlene Nguyen, et al., Differences in the Infrared Bright Pupil Response of Human Eyes, Proceedings of the 2002 symposium on Eye tracking research & applications, 2002, New Orleans, Louisiana, pp. 133-138.

Mathew Gaubatz, et al., Automatic Red-Eye Detection and Correction, IEEE ICIP, 2002, pp. 1-804-1-807.

Sergey Ioffe, Red Eye Detection with machine Learning, Proceedings of 2003 IEEE Int. Conf. Image Processing, vol. 2, Sep. 14, 2003, pp. 871-874.

Combier, Nathalie et al., "Removal of Defects on Flash Radiographic Images by Fuzzy Combination, Conference: Machine Vision Applications in Industrial Inspection III, http://rlinks2.dialog.com/NASApp/ChanneIWEB/DialogProServlet?ChName=engineering", Proceedings of SPIE—The International Society for Optical Engineering, Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation, 1995, pp. 301-312.

Corcoran, P. et al., "Automated In-Camera Detection of Flash-Eye Defects", IEEE Transactions on Consumer Amsterdam, 2003, pp. 1767-1785, vol. 24—Issue 11.

Corcoran, P. et al., "Automated In-Camera Detection of Flash-Eye Defects", IEEE Transactions on Consumer Electronics, 2005, pp. 11-17, vol. 51—Issue 1.

Cucchiara, R. et al., "Detection of Luminosity Profiles of Elongated Shapes", International Conference on Image Processing, 1996, pp. 635-638, vol. 3.

EPO Communication pursuant to Article 94(3) EPC, for European Patentr Application No. 05 792 584.4, paper dated May 13, 2008, 8 pages.

European Patent Office. Communication pursuant to Article 94(3) EPC for Application No. 04763763.2, dated Mar. 7, 2008, 7 pages.

European Patent Office, Communication pursuant to Article 96(2) EPC for Application No. 04763763.2, dated Aug. 29, 2006, 4 pages.

Examination Report for European patent application No. 04763763.2, dated Aug. 29, 2006, 4 pgs.

Examination Report for European patent application No. 04763763.2, dated Mar. 7, 2008, 7 pgs.

Han, T. et al., "Detection and Correction of abnormal Pixels In Hyperion Images". IEEE International Symposium on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 2002. pp. 1327-1330, vol. 3.

Iivarinen, J. et al., "Content-Based Retrieval of Defect Images. http://www.cs.tut.fi/.about.avisa/digger/Publications/acivs02.pdf", Proceedings of Advanced Concepts for Intelligent Vision, Laboratory of Computer Information Science, 2002.

Ito, M., "An Automated System for LSI Fine Pattern Inspection Based on Comparison of Sem Images and Cad Data", IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation. 1995, pp. 544-549, vol. 1.

Jin, B. et al., "Modeling and Analysis of Soft-Test/Repair for CCD-Based Digital X-Ray Systems", Instrumentation and Measurement, IEEE Transactions.2003, pp. 1713-1721, vol. 52-Issue 6.

PCT International Preliminary Report on Patentability (IPRP) for PCT Application PCT/EP2005/011010, dated Jan. 23, 2007, 18 pages. cited by other.

PCT International Preliminary Report on Patentability for PCT Application No. PCT/EP2005/005907, dated Nov. 16, 2005, 8 pages.

PCT International Preliminary Report on Patentability for PCT Application PCT/EP2004/008706, dated Feb. 6, 2006, 7 pages.

PCT International Preliminary Report on Patentability for PCT Application PCT/EP2004/010199, dated Apr. 3, 2006, 7 pages.

PCT International Search Report and the Written Opinion of the International Searching Authority, or the Declaration, for PCT Application No. PCT/US2008/055864, dated Jul. 30, 2008, 8 pages.

PCT International Search Report and Written Opinion of the International Searching Authority for PCT Application No. PCT/EP2004/008706, dated Nov. 19, 2004, 13 pages.

PCT International Search Report and Written Opinion of the International Searching Authority for PCT Application No. PCT/EP2005/005033.

PCT Notification Concerning Transmittal of International Preliminary Report on Patentability, for PCT Application No. PCT/US2007/062090, dated Aug. 28, 2008, 6 pages.

PCT Notification of Transmittal of the International Search Report and the Written Opinion of the International Searching Authority. or the Declaration (PCT/EP2006/008342), dated Dec. 28, 2006.

PCT Notification of Transmittal of the International Search Report and the Written Opinion of the International Searching Authority, or the Declaration for PCT Application No. PCT/US07/62090 issued Mar. 10, 2008, 10 pages.

PCT Notification of Transmittal of the International Search Report and the Written Opinion of the International Searching Authority, or the Declaration for PCT/EP/2005/011010, dated Jan. 23, 2006, 14 pages.

PCT Notification of Transmittal of the International Search Report and the Written Opinion of the International Searching Authority, or the Declaration for PCT/EP/2005/05907, dated Aug. 1, 2005, 12 pages.

PCT Notification of Transmittal of the International Search Report and the Written Opinion of the International Searching Authority, or the Declaration, for PCT Application No. PCT/EP2006/008358, Dec. 5, 2006, 14 pages.

PCT Notification of Transmittal of the International Search Report and the Written Opinion of the International Searching Authority, or the Declaration, for PCT Application No. PCT/EP2006/008358, filed Aug. 8, 2006.

PCT Notification of Transmittal of the International Search Report and the Written Opinion of the International Searching Authority. or the Declaration, for PCT Application No. PCT/US2008/055964, paper dated Jul. 30, 2008, 8 Pages.

PCT Notification of Transmittal of the International Search Report and Written Opinion of the International Searching Authority for PCT Application No. PCT/EP2005/001171, (11 pages).

PCT Written Opinion of the International Searching Authority. PCT application No. PCT/EP2004/008706, 4 pages.

PCT Written Opinion of the International Searching Authority, PCT application No. PCT/EP2004/008706, dated Nov. 17, 2004, 6 pages.

PCT Written Opinion of the International Searching Authority, PCT application No. PCT/EP2004/010199, dated Dec. 9, 2004, 6 pages.

PCT Written Opinion of the International Searching Authority, PCT application No. PCT/EP2005/005907, dated Jul. 28, 2005, 7 pages.

Plotnikov, Yuri et al., "Advanced Image Processing for Defect Visualization in Infrared Thermography, http://citeseer.ist.psu.edu/plotnikov98advanced.html", NASA Langley Research Center, M.S. Posted: ACM Portal, 1998.

Plotnikov, Yuri et al., Winfree. "Visualization of Subsurface Defects in Composites Using a Focal Plane Array Infrared Camera , http://citeseer.ist.psu.edu/357066.html", NASA Langley Research Center, 1999.

Smolka, B. et al., "Towards Automatic Redeye Effect Removal, XP004416063", Pattern Recognition Letters. 2003, pp. 1767-1785, vol. 24—Issue 11, North-Holland Publ.

Soriano, M. et al., "Making Saturated Facial Images Useful Again, XP002325961. ISSN: 0277-786X", Proceedings of The Spie, 1999, pp. 113-121, vol. 3826.

Toet, A., "Multiscale Color Image Enhancement, Posted online: 200208-06 18:09:24.0 http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/search/freesrchabstract.jsp?arnumber=146865andisnumber=3917andpunuinber=1197and k2dockey=146865©ieecnfsandquery=%28%28images+and + defects+and+luminance%29%29+%3Cin%E+metadataandpos=1", International Conference on Image Processing and its Applications, 1992, pp. 583-585.

U.S. Appl. No. 10/772,767, filed Feb. 4, 2004, by inventors Michael DeLuca, et al.

U.S. Appl. No. 10/170,511, filed Jun. 12, 2002, inventor Michael J. DeLuca.

U.S. Appl. No. 11/217,788, filed Aug. 30, 2005, inventors Eran Steinberg, et al.

United Kingdom Search Report dated May 22, 2007, issued in Application No. GB 0701957.3.

U.S. Appl. No. 11/217,278, filed Aug. 30, 2005, inventors Eran Steinberg, et al.

Yap-Peng et al., "Robust Sequential Approach for the Detection of Defective Pixels in an Image Sensor, http://ieeexplorejeee.org/search/freesrchabstract.jsp?arnumber=758382andisnumber=16342andpunumber=6110and k2dockey=758382©ieeeenfsandquery=%28%28%28%28images+and +defects+and+correction%29%29%29%29+%3Cin%3E", IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing, 1999, pp. 2239-2242, vol. 4.

* cited by examiner


Digital Camera [100]
Main Image Acquisition [105]
Corrective Image Processing based on Acquisition Settings [110]
Image Subsampler [112]
[90] Red Eye Filter
[92] Pixel Locator
[94] Shape Anal.
[96] Pixel Modifier
[98] Falsing Anal.
Full Size Main Image [170-1]
Redeye Corrected Main Image [170-2]
Subsampled Main Image [170-3]
Image Store [170]

Figure 1(a)


Digital Camera [100]
Main Image Acquisition [105]
Corrective Image Processing based on Acquisition Settings [110]
Image Subsampler [112]
Image Analysis Prefilter [130]
Blur Analyzer [130-1]
Dust Analyser [130-2]
Color Balance Analyzer [130-3]
White Balance Analyzer [130-4]
Gamma Analyzer [130-5]
Texture Analyser [130-6]
Noise Analyser [130-7]
Object/Region Based Analyser [130-8]
Face Recognition Analyser [130-9]
Subsampled Copies of Main Image [170-3]
Full Size Main Image [170-1]
Redeye Corrected Main Image [170-2]
Image Store [170]
Image Compensation Prefilter [135]
Image Correction Analyzer [135-2]
Image Correction Analyzer [135-2a]
Corrective Image Processing based on Analysis of Acquired Image [135-1]
[90] Red Eye Filter
[92] Pixel Locator
[94] Shape Anal.
[96] Pixel Modifier
[98] Falsing Anal.
Adapt Filter Chain [135-5]
Global Pixel Transform [135-6]
Redeye Subfilter Database [135-3]
Image Correction Knowledgebase [135-4]
User Indication [140]

Figure 1(b)

Figure 2(a)


Load/Access Image [201]
Analyze Acquired Image [202]
Image Characteristic(s) within Tolerance(s)? [204]
No
Yes
Corrective Image Processing Possible? [206]
IMAGE BEYOND REPAIR
Disable Redeye Filter for this Image [220]
User Indication [140]
Exit (224)
Yes
Apply correction to (Subsampled) (Copy) of Acquired image [208]
Apply Redeye Filter 90
Discard temporary corrected image [209]


Analyze Acquired Image [202]
Image Characteristic(s) within Tolerance(s)? [204]
No
Yes
Corrective Image Processing Possible? [206]
No
Yes
Disable Redeye Filter for this Image [220]
User Indication [140]
Exit [224]
Globally Applied Pixel Correction? RGB → R'G'B' [240]
No
Yes
Configure Pixel Transformer [242]
Apply correction to (Subsampled) Copy of Acquired image [208]
Apply Redeye Filter 90

Figure 2(b)


Analyze Acquired Image [202]
Image Characteristic(s) within Tolerance(s)? [204]
Yes
No
Determine Affected Subfilters [250]
Subfilter Compensation Possible? [252]
Yes
Adapt Filter Chain to Compensate [254]
No
Disable Redeye Filter for this Image [220]
User Indication [140]
Exit [224]
Apply Redeye Filter 90

Figure 2(c)


Analyze Acquired Image [202]
Image Characteristic(s) within Tolerance(s)? [204]
No
Yes
Determine Affected Subfilters [250]
Subfilter Compensation Possible? [252]
Yes
Adapt Filter Chain to Compensate [254]
No
Corrective Image Processing Possible? [206]
No
Yes
Disable Redeye Filter for this Image [220]
User Indication [140]
Exit [224]
Apply correction to Subsampled Copy of Acquired image [208]
Apply Redeye Filter [90]

Figure 2(d)


Analyze Acquired Image [202]
Image Characteristic(s) within Tolerance(s)? [204]
No
Yes
Corrective Image Processing Possible? [206]
No
Yes
Disable Redeye Filter for this Image [220]
User Indication [140]
Exit [224]
Apply correction to: (i) Acquired image and (ii) Subsampled Copy [208-1]
Save Enhanced, Acquired image [209]
User Indication [140]
Apply Redeye Detection to Subsampled Image [92, 94, 98]
Apply Redeye Correction to Enhanced, Acquired Image [96]

Figure 2(e)


[90] Red Eye Filter
[92] Pixel Locator & Region Segmentor
Pixel Transformer RGB →R'G'B' [92-0]
Pixel Color Filters [92-1]
Region Segmentor [92-2]
Regional Color Filters [92-3]
Regional Color Correlation Filters [92-4]
Regional Color Distribution Filters [92-5]
Region Splitter [92-6a]
Region Regrouper [92-6b]
Resegmentation Engine [92-6]
[94] Shape Analysis
Basic Geomerty Filters [94-1]
Region Compactness Filters [94-2]
Boundary Continuity Filters [94-3]
Region Size Filters [94-4]
Eye Shape Filter [94-5]
Eyebrow Shape Filter [94-6]
Iris Shape Filter [94-7]
[98] Falsing Analysis
Lips Filters [98-1]
Face Region Filters [98-2]
Skin Texture Filters [98-3]
Eye Glint Filters [98-4]
White Filters [98-5]
Region Uniformity Filters [98-6]
Skin Color Filters [98-7]
Eye-Region Falsing Filters [98-8]
Preview Image Filter [98-9]
Metadata based Filters [98-10]
User Confirmation Filter [98-11]
[96] Pixel Modifier
Filter Chain Adapter [99]
Parameter Lists [99-1]

Figure 3

Figure 4(a)


Load Image Characteristics List [401]
Single Correction Technique Required? [402]
Yes
Apply Corrective Image Processing [412]
Perform Sanity Checks on Corrected Image [414]
Correction passes Sanity Checks [416]
No
Apply RedEye Filter [90]
No
Determine Primary Correction Required [404]
Determine Secondary Interdependencies [406]
Can Interdependencies be Resolved [408]
No
Yes
Filter Chain Adaption Possible? [422]
Yes
Filter Chain Adaption [450]
Determine Image Processing Chain(s) [410]
Apply Corrective Image Processing [412]
Modify Image Processing Chain [420]
No
No
Perform Sanity Checks on Corrected Image [414]
Yes
Disable Redeye Filter for this Image [220]
Correction passes Sanity Checks [416]
No
Marginal Inter-dependencies? Alternative IP Chain? [418]
User Indication [140]
Apply RedEye Filter [90]
Exit [224]


Load Image Characteristics List [401]
For Each Characteristic Determine Set of Filters Requiring Adaption [452]
Determine Filter Overlaps [454]
Test Filter and Parameter Conflicts [456]
Can Conflicts be Resolved? [460]
No
Yes
Filter Conflicts are Separable? [466]
No
Yes
Image Processing Compensation Possible? [422]
Yes
No
Apply Image Processing Compensation [400]
Prepare Single Parameter List for Filter Chain [462]
Prepare Multiple Parameter Lists for Filter Chain [468]
Disable Redeye Filter for this Image [220]
User Indication [140]
Exit [224]
Load Parameter List(s) to Filter Chain Adapter [464]
Apply RedEye Filter [90]

Figure 4(b)


Access/Load Image [480]
Analyze next characteristic [482]
No
Characteristic lies within a first range of values? [486]
Yes
Mark as Not requiring corrective measures [487]
Last Characteristic? [496]
No
Yes
Pass Characteristics List to Image Compensation Prefilter [494]
Exit [224]
Characteristic lies within a second range of values? [488]
Yes
Mark as requiring secondary corrective measures [489]
No
Characteristic lies within a third range of values? [490]
Yes
Mark as requiring primary corrective measures [491]
No
Characteristic lies outside this third range of values? [492]
Yes
Mark as being uncorrectable by Image Processing [493]

Figure 4(c)

Figure 5(a)



Figure 5(b)



METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR RED-EYE DETECTION IN AN ACQUIRED DIGITAL IMAGE

PRIORITY

This application is a continuation-in-part (CIP) which claims the benefit of priority to U.S. patent application Ser. No. 11/182,718, filed Jul. 15, 2005, which is a CIP of U.S. application Ser. No. 11/123,971, filed May 6, 2005 and which is a CIP of U.S. application Ser. No. 10/976,336, filed Oct. 28, 2004, each of these application being hereby incorporated by reference.

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to digital image processing, and more particularly to a method and apparatus for red-eye detection in an acquired digital image.

BACKGROUND TO THE INVENTION

Red-eye is a phenomenon in flash photography where a flash is reflected within a subjects eye and appears in a photograph as a red dot where the black pupil of the subject's eye would normally appear. The unnatural glowing red of an eye is due to internal reflections from the vascular membrane behind the retina, which is rich in blood vessels. This objectionable phenomenon is well understood to be caused in part by a small angle between the flash of the camera and the lens of the camera. This angle has decreased with the miniaturization of cameras with integral flash capabilities. Additional contributors include the relative closeness of the subject to the camera and ambient light levels.

Digital cameras are becoming more popular and smaller in size. U.S. Pat. No. 6,407,777 to DeLuca describes a method and apparatus where a red eye filter is digitally implemented in the capture device. The success or failure of such filter relies on the quality of the detection and correction process.

Most algorithms that involve image analysis and classification, are statistical in nature. There is therefore a need to develop tools which will improve the probability of successful detection, while reducing the probability of false detection, while maintaining optimal execution, especially in limited computational devices such as in digital cameras. In many cases knowledge of the image characteristics such as image quality may affect the design parameters and decisions the detection and correction software needs to implement. For example an image with suboptimal exposure may deteriorate the overall detection of red-eye defects.

Thus, what is needed is a method of improving the success rate of algorithms for detecting and reducing red-eye phenomenon.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

According to the present invention there is provided a method and apparatus for red-eye detection in an acquired digital image as claimed in the appended claims.

The present invention compensates for sub-optimally acquired images where degradations in the acquired image may affect the correct operation of redeye detection, prior to or in conjunction with applying the detection and correction stage.

The present invention improves the overall success rate and reduces the false positive rate of red eye detection and reduction by compensating for non-optimally acquired images by performing image analysis on the acquired image and determining and applying corrective image processing based on said image analysis prior to or in conjunction with applying one or many redeye detection filters to the acquired image. Such corrections or enhancements may include applying global or local color space conversion, exposure compensation, noise reduction, sharpening, blurring or tone reproduction transformations.

In preferred embodiments, image analysis is performed on a sub-sampled copy of the main acquired image where possible, enhancing the performance of this invention inside devices with limited computational capability such as hand held devices and in particular digital cameras or printers.

In the preferred embodiment, the pre-filtering process is optimized by applying when possible, as determined from the image analysis, the image transformations at the pixel level during the redeye detection process thus compensating for non-optimally acquired images without requiring that corrective image processing be applied to the full resolution image.

In preferred embodiments, the redeye filter chain is configured for optimal performance based on image analysis of an acquired image to enhance the execution red eye detection and reduction process. Such configuration takes place in the form of variable parameters for the algorithm and variable ordering and selection of sub-filters in the process.

Preferred embodiments of the invention operate uniformly on both pixels which are members of a defect and its bounding region thus avoiding the need to determine individually if pixels in the neighborhood of said defect are members of the defect and to subsequently apply correcting algorithms to such pixels on an individual basis.

Using preferred embodiments of the present invention, variables that could significantly effect the success of the red-eye detection algorithm such as noise, color shifts, incorrect exposure, blur, over sharpening etc, may be pre-eliminated before performing the detection process, thus improving the success rate.

Alternatively or in addition these variables may be pre-accounted for by changing the parameters for the detection process, thus improving the performance and the success rate.

An advantage of preferred embodiments of the present invention is that by bringing images into a known and better defined image quality, the criteria for detection can be tightened and narrowed down, thus providing higher accuracy both in the positive detection and reduction in the false detection.

A further advantage of preferred embodiments of the present invention is that by accounting for the reasons for suboptimal image quality the parameters for the detection and correction algorithm may be modified, thus providing higher accuracy both in the positive detection and reduction in the false detection without the need to modify the image.

An additional advantage of preferred embodiments of this invention is that misclassification of pixels and regions belonging to defect areas is reduced if not altogether avoided, which means a reduction of undetected correct positives.

An additional advantage of preferred embodiments of this invention is that color misclassification of pixels and regions belonging to non-defect areas is reduced if not avoided, which means a reduction of false positives.

A further advantage of preferred embodiments of the present invention is that they can be implemented to run sufficiently fast and accurately to allow individual images in a batch to be analyzed and corrected in real-time prior to printing.

Yet a further advantage of preferred embodiments of the present invention is that they have a sufficiently low require-

ment for computing power and memory resources to allow it to be implemented inside digital cameras as part of the post-acquisition processing step.

Yet a further advantage of preferred embodiments of the present invention is that they have a sufficiently low requirement for computing power and memory resources to allow them to be implemented as a computer program on a hand-held personal digital assistant (PDA), mobile phone or other digital appliance suitable for picture display

A further advantage of preferred embodiments of the present invention is that they are not limited in their detection of red-eye defects by requirements for clearly defined skin regions matching a human face.

A further advantage of this invention is the ability to concatenate image quality transformations and red eye detection to improve overall performance.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. **1**(*a*) shows a prior art in-camera redeye detection system;

FIG. **1**(*b*) shows an improved redeye detection system according to an embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. **2**(*a*) is a flowchart illustrating the operation of the system of FIG. **1**(*b*);

FIG. **2**(*b*) is a flowchart illustrating an alternative mode of operation of the system of FIG. **1**(*b*);

FIG. **2**(*c*) illustrates another alternative mode of operation of the system of FIG. **1**(*b*);

FIG. **2**(*d*) is a flowchart illustrating a further alternative mode of operation of the system of FIG. **1**(*b*);

FIG. **2**(*e*) is a flowchart illustrating a still further alternative mode of operation of the system of FIG. **1**(*b*);

FIG. **3** shows the redeye filter chain of FIG. **1**(*b*) in more detail;

FIG. **4**(*a*) illustrates the operation of portions of FIGS. **2**(*a*), **2**(*b*), **2**(*d*) & **2**(*e*) in more detail;

FIG. **4**(*b*) illustrates an alternative implementation of FIG. **4**(*a*);

FIG. **4**(*c*) is a flowchart illustrating the operation of a portion of the system of FIG. **1**(*b*); and

FIGS. **5**(*a*) and **5**(*b*) illustrate the operation of a red-eye filter chain according to an embodiment of the present invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

FIGS. **1**(*a*)-**1**(*b*) illustrate a prior art in-camera redeye system. Within the camera **100** a main image is acquired **105** from a sensor subsystem. This image is further processed **110** based on image acquisition parameters such as ambient lighting, length of exposure, usage of pre-flash and flash, lens focal length & aperture settings, etc. This image processing is pre-calibrated during the design of the camera and, due to the non-linear relationships between the various acquisition parameters, it typically involves a significant amount of empirical testing using as broad a range of image capture conditions as is practical. Thus, even though modern digital cameras have much improved auto-focus and auto-exposure algorithms it is still possible to capture images of non-optimal quality either through incorrect camera settings or through encountering conditions which are not fully accounted for by the empirical calibrations process for that camera.

After this image processing is completed the main acquired and processed image is normally committed to non-volatile storage in camera memory, or in an onboard storage card **170**. However if the image was captured using a flash then the possibility of redeye defects implies that the image should first be passed through an in-camera redeye filter **90**. A more detailed description of such a filter can be found in U.S. Pat. No. 6,407,777 to DeLuca herein incorporated by reference. Briefly it comprises of (i) a pixel locator filter **92** which detects candidate eye-defect pixels based on a color analysis and then groups said pixels into redeye candidate regions; (ii) a shape analyzer filter **94** which determines if a eye candidate region is acceptable in terms of geometry, size and compactness and further analyzes neighbouring features such as eyebrows and iris regions; and (iii) a falsing filter **98** which eliminates candidate regions based on a wide range of criteria. Any candidate regions which survive the falsing filter are then modified by a pixel modifier **96** and the corrected image **170-2** may then be stored in the main image store **170**.

This prior art system typically will also feature a sub-sampler which can generate lower resolution versions **170-3** of the main acquired and processed image **170-1**. This sub-sampling unit may be implemented in either software or may be hardware based and is, primarily, incorporated in modern digital cameras to facilitate the generation of thumbnail images for the main camera display.

FIG. **1**(*b*) illustrates a preferred embodiment of red-eye detection system according to the present invention. The system improves on the prior art by providing an additional image analysis prefilter **130** and an image compensation prefilter **135** to the prior art imaging chain to reduce the overall incidence of errors in the redeye detection process **90** for non-optimally acquired images.

The image analysis prefilter **130** combines one or more techniques for determining image quality. Such techniques are well known to one familiar in the art of image processing and in particular image editing and enhancements. Thus, the prefilter provides an in-camera analysis of a number of characteristics of an acquired, processed image with a view to determining if these characteristics lie within acceptable limits. It will be clear to those skilled in the art that the exact combination of analysis techniques will be dependent on the characteristics of the non-optimally acquired images generated by a particular digital camera. In addition, the determination of what image quality matters need to be addressed is primarily dependent on the effect of such characteristics on the red eye filter **90**. Thus, as illustrative examples:

(i) a low-end digital camera may omit complex noise filtering circuitry on its sensor as it is targeted at cost-sensitive markets and may employ low quality optics for similar reasons. Thus it may be susceptible to a greater degree of image noise and exhibit a poor dynamic range for white and color balance;

(ii) a high-end professional camera will have a much greater dynamic range for color and white balance but may require more sophisticated image analysis to compensate for motion blur, sensor dust and other image distortions that are of concern to professional photographers.

Accordingly we shall provide some examples of image analysis techniques for exemplary purposes only and it will be understood these are not intended to limit the techniques which may be utilized in implementing the present invention.

One subsystem of the image analysis prefilter is a blur analyzer **130-1**, which performs an image analysis to determine blurred regions within a digital image—this operate on either the full size main image **170-1** or one or more sub-sampled copies of the image **170-3**. One technique for in-camera blur detection is outlined in US patent application 2004/0120598 to Feng which describes a computationally efficient means to determine blur by analysis of DCT coeffi-

cients in a JPEG image. In common with the other subsystems of the prefilter **130**, the analyser provides a measure of the blur in the supplied image(s) to be used later in the prefilter **135**. This measure could be as simple as an index between 0 and 1 indicating the degree of blur. However, it could also indicate which regions of the image are blurred and the extent to which these are blurred.

A further subsystem of the image analysis prefilter is a dust analyzer **130-2**. The problems caused by dust on imaging devices are well known in the prior art. In the context of the present invention it is important to track the location and severity of dust particles as these may interfere with the correct detection of eye-defects when the two forms of defect overlap. Of particular relevance are techniques where the detection of defects in a digital image is based solely on analysis of the digital image and that do not directly relate to the image acquisition process. For example U.S. Pat. No. 6,233,364 to Krainiouk et al. discloses determining anomalous image regions based on the difference between the gradient of an image at a set of grid points and the local mean of the image gradient. This technique generates few false positives in "noisy" regions of an image such as those representing leaves in a tree, or pebbles on a beach. U.S. Pat. No. 6,125,213 to Morimoto discloses detecting potential defect or "trash" regions within an image based on a comparison of the quadratic differential value of a pixel with a pre-determined threshold value. In addition, Morimoto discloses correcting "trash" regions within an image by successively interpolating from the outside of the "trash" region to the inside of this region—although this does not need to be performed by the subsystem **130-2**. U.S. Pat. No. 6,266,054 to Lawton et al discloses automating the removal of narrow elongated distortions from a digital image utilizing the characteristics of image regions bordering the distortion. US patent application 2003/0039402 and WIPO patent application WO-03/019473 both to Robins et al. disclose detecting defective pixels by applying a median filter to an image and subtracting the result from the original image to obtain a difference image. This is used to construct at least one defect map and as such provide a measure of the effect of dust on an image supplied to the subsystem **130-2**.

U.S. Pat. No. 6,035,072 to Read discloses mapping defects or dirt, which affect an image acquisition device. A plurality of images are processed and stationary components which are common between images are detected and assigned a high probability of being a defect. Additional techniques which are employed to modify defect probability include median filtering, sample area detection and dynamic adjustment of scores. This dynamic defect detection process allows defect compensation, defect correction and alerting an operator of the likelihood of defects, but from the point of view of the preferred embodiment, it is the map which is produced which indicates to the prefilter **135** the degree to which the supplied images are affected by dust and/or defects.

Additional subsystems of the image analysis prefilter are a white balance analyzer **130-3**, a color balance analyzer **130-4**, and a gamma/luminance analyzer **130-5**. In the embodiment, each of these provides, for example, an indicator of the degree to which each of these characteristics deviates from optimal and by which the supplied image might be corrected. Those skilled in the art will realize that such techniques are practiced in a digital camera as part of corrective image processing based on acquisition settings **110**. Prior art techniques which can be employed in embodiments of the present invention also exist for post-processing of an acquired image to enhance its appearance. Some representative examples are now described:

U.S. Pat. No. 6,249,315 to Holm teaches how a spatially blurred and sub-sampled version of an original image can be used to obtain statistical characteristics of a scene or original image. In Holm, this information is combined with the tone reproduction curves and other characteristics of an output device or media to provide an enhancement strategy for digital images, whereas in the preferred embodiment, an analysis prefilter employing the technique of Holm preferably provides the color characteristics of the supplied image to the prefilter **135**.

U.S. Pat. No. 6,268,939 to Klassen et al. teaches correcting luminance and chrominance data in digital color images. Specifically, Klassen is concerned with optimizing the transformations between device dependent and device independent color spaces by applying subsampling of the luminance and chrominance data.

U.S. Pat. No. 6,192,149 to Eschback et al. discloses improving the quality of a printed image by automatically determining the image gamma and then adjusting the gamma of a printer to correspond to that of the image. Although Eschback is concerned with enhancing the printed quality of a digital image and not the digital image itself, if does teach a means for automatically determining the gamma of a digital image and as such can be used in an analysis pre-filter in embodiments of the present invention. U.S. Pat. No. 6,101,271 to Yamashita et al. discloses implementing a gradation correction to an RGB image signal which allows image brightness to be adjusted without affecting the image hue and saturation

A further subsystem of the image analysis prefilter is an image texture analyzer **130-6** which allows texture information to be gathered from the acquired and processed main image. This information can be useful both in determining different regions within an image and, when combined with information derived from other image analysis filters such as the blur analyzer **130-1** or a noise analyzer **130-7** it can enable automatically enhancement of an image by applying deblurring or denoising techniques. US patent application 2002/0051571 to Jackway et al discloses texture analysis for digital images. US patent application 2002/0090133 to Kim et al discloses measuring color-texture distances within a digital images and thus offering improved segmentation for regions within digital images.

A further subsystem of the image analysis prefilter is the noise analyzer **130-7** which produces a measure of the effect of noise on the image supplied to the subsystem **130-7**.A further illustrative subsystem of the image analysis prefilter **130** is an object/region analyzer **130-8** which allows localized analysis of image regions. One particular region which will invariably be found in an image with eye-defects is a human face region. The detection of a face region in an image with eye-defects is simplified as described in US patent application 2004/0119851 to Kaku. Again, an analysis pre-filter employing Kaku would therefore provide indicators of where faces regions are to be found in a supplied image to the pre-filter **135**.

The last illustrative subsystem of the image analysis prefilter **130** is a face recognition analyzer **130-9** which includes a database of pre-determined data obtained from training performed on a personal image collection (not shown) loaded onto the digital camera in order to recognize a person associated with a determined region preferably acquired by the analyzer **130-8** and to provide an indicator of the person or person(s) whose faces have been recognized in an image. Alternatively, the face recognition analyzer **130-9** may provide an indicator of the types of any faces recognized in the

image provided to the pre-filter **130-9**, for example, a child or adult face, or African, Asian or Caucasian face.

In one embodiment, the analyser **130-9** comprises a set of classifiers which enable multiple sets of face (and/or non-face) data to be combined to provide improved recognition of persons found in an image. The types of classifiers used can be based on skin color, age characteristics, eye-shape and/or eye-brow thickness, the person's hair and/or clothing, poses associated with a person and/or whether or not a person may be wearing makeup, such as eye-shadow or lipstick, or glasses, as preferably obtained from the training performed on the personal image collection.

One particular advantage of employing a face recognition analyzer **130-9** as an element of the image analysis prefilter is that it enables additional image processing modules to perform face and peripheral region analysis which will enable a determination of known persons within an image. A more detailed description of the preferred person recognizer **135-2***a* is provided in co-pending application Ser. No. 11/027,001, filed Dec. 29, 2004, and hereby incorporated by reference. For the person recognizer **135-2***a* to function more effectively an additional database component containing classifier signatures associated with known persons is preferably included. This database will typically be derived from a personal collection of images maintained by the owner of a digital camera and, in most typical embodiments, these will be stored off-camera. Further details on the creation and management of exemplary embodiments of such image collections and associated off-camera and in-camera databases is given in co-pending applications Ser. No. 10/764,339, filed Jan. 22, 2004 and Ser. No. 11/027,001, filed Dec. 29, 2004 which are hereby incorporated by reference.

The image analysis prefilter may also incorporate a module to separate background and foreground regions of an image (not shown). Such a module is described in co-pending application entitled "Foreground/Background Segmentation in Digital Images With Differential Exposure Calculations, serial number not yet assigned (FN-122), filed Aug. 30, 2005, hereby incorporated by reference, and may be advantageously employed to reduce the area of an image to which a redeye filter is applied, thus speeding up the execution time. In such a case the image is not necessarily corrected, or the filter chain is not necessarily adapted but the method of application of the filter chain to the image is altered.

Turning now to the image compensation prefilter **135**. In the present embodiment, a combination of image correction analyzer **135-2** and a redeye subfilter database **135-3**

(i) interpret the results of the image analysis performed by the image analysis prefilter **130**;

(ii) if corrective image processing is active, determine an optimal correction strategy for application to the acquired, processed image, or a subsampled copy thereof;

(iii) if adaption of the redeye filter chain is implemented, determine any parameter/filter conflicts and further determines an optimal adaption of the redeye filter chain (described later); and

(iv) if both corrective image processing and filter adaption are active, determine an optimal combination of each.

For example, if the analyzer **130-9** has recognised one or more persons or types of persons in an image, a customized redeye filter set stored as a set of rules in the database **135-3** may be applied to the image. To understand how such customization can improve the performance of a redeye filter we cite some examples of known aspects of the redeye phenomenon which are person specific.

For example, children and babies are particularly susceptible to redeye. They are also more prone to certain types of redeye, e.g. "bright-eye" where the eye is almost completely white with only a reddish periphery, which can be often more difficult to analyze and correct.

In addition, racial or ethnic characteristics can cause differences in the color characteristics of the redeye phenomenon. For example, Asian people often exhibit a dull reddish or even "brownish" form of redeyem while persons of Indian descent often exhibit redeye effects with a distinctly "purplish" hue. The extent of these characteristics may vary somewhat from individual to individual.

As such, knowledge of the type of person in an image can be used by the analyzer **135-2** to determine the filters, the order of the filters and/or the filter parameters to be applied to an image. For example, the filter parameters may be changed on the basis of skin color in that a distinctive set of prototype values could be available for each person; or age characteristics, to enable a higher tolerance of certain color and/or luminance-based filters; eye-shape and/or eye-brow thickness which are person specific; and/or whether or not a person is wearing glasses, which can introduce strong glints resulting in detection errors for standard filter sets. Similarly, the filter order may be changed depending, on the 'identity' of the person in the image, i.e. whether or not the person is wearing makeup and/or glasses. For example, if a person is wearing eye shadow and/or lipstick, certain skin filters might not be applied. Instead, alternative filters could be used to determine a uniform color/texture in place of the normal skin filter.

The actual corrective image processing **135-1** will typically be implemented as a library of image processing algorithms which may be applied in a variety of sequences and combinations to be determined by the image correction analyzer **135-2**. In many digital cameras some of these algorithms will have partial or full hardware support thus improving the performance of the compensation prefilter **135**.

It was already remarked that the analysis prefilter **130** can operate on a subsampled copy of the main image **170-3**. In the same way the detection phase of the redeye filter **90** can be applied to a subsampled copy of the main image **170-3**, although not necessarily of the same resolution. Thus where corrective image processing is used by the image compensation prefilter it will also be applied to a subsampled copy of the main image **170-3**. This has significant benefits with respect to computation speed and computing resources, making it particularly advantageous for in-camera embodiments.

We also remark that the image correction analyzer **135-2** may not always be able to determine an optimal correction strategy for an acquired, processed image due to conflicts between image processing algorithms, or between the filter adaptions required for the redeye filter chain. In other instances, where a strategy can be determined but the image correction analyzer **135-2** may be aware that the strategy is marginal and may not improve image quality it may be desirable to obtain user input. Thus the image correction analyzer **135-2** may generate a user indication **140** and in certain embodiments may also employ additional user interaction to assist in the image correction and redeye filter processes.

FIG. **2***a* to FIG. **2***e* illustrate several alternative embodiments of the present invention which are described as follows:

(i) In FIG. **2**(*a*) an acquired, processed main image, or alternatively a subsampled copy thereof, is initially loaded, step **201** to respective sub-systems of the analysis prefilter **130**, step **202**. These produce their measurements and a determination is made if any of the image quality characteristics lie within or outside acceptable thresholds is made by the image correction analyser

135-2, step 204. If image quality is within acceptable limits for each of the image characteristics analyzed then the redeye filter 90 can be applied normally and no corrective image processing is required. However, if certain image characteristics do lie outside acceptable tolerances then additional analysis is performed by the analyser 135-2 to determine if corrective image processing can be applied 206. If some of the analyzed image characteristics lie too far outside acceptable thresholds, or if a disadvantageous combination of image characteristics is determined, it may not be possible to correct the image reliably prior to applying the redeye filter. Thus the filter 90 can be disabled 220, a user indication 140 can be provided and processing is completed for this particular image 224, without performing the red eye correction or performing the process with lower probability of success. However, if the image can be repaired, 206-YES, the image is corrected step 208, prior to executing the red eye algorithm 90. In the preferred embodiment, the process of correcting the image, 208 may be performed on the full resolution image, or alternatively on a subsampled image or a copy of the image. The exact nature and possibilities for such corrections, 208, whether locally or globally are described later. In any case, the corrected image needs only be stored temporarily and can be discarded after red-eye processing is complete, 209. It should be noted that performing the pre-filtering, 208 on the image, does not means that the actual red-eye detection and reduction algorithm, 90 has to be modified to account for possible variability. Nonetheless, as image quality supplied to the filter 90 is improved, the red eye algorithm can use tighter parameters and more well defined restrictions as to the nature of the red eye features that are to be identified so producing improved results.

(ii) FIG. 2(*b*) corresponds with FIG. 2(*a*) except that it includes an additional determining step, 240 which follows the determination that corrective image processing is possible, 206. This additional step determines if the corrective image processing to be applied to the image can be provided by a globally applied transformation of the image pixels. The most popular global transformations are matrix multiplication or lookup table transformations. For example, the analysis provided by filters 130-3 . . . 130-5 may indicate to the analyser 135-2 that the principle cause of image non-optimality is a reddish color cast. In this case, a simple transformation of the red image component, R→R' is sufficient to compensate for the image non-optimality. Another example will be an image that is under exposed and a tone reproduction curve (TRC) needs to be corrected. Global transformations have the advantage of being relatively computationally efficient and with a potential to be highly optimized. In addition, such transformations may be performed within the redeye filter 90 itself, for example, as part of the pixel locator and region segmentation process 92 described in more detail later in relation to FIGS. 3 and 5, so reducing the overhead involved in performing this correction. For the moment, it is sufficient to say that in step 242, a pixel transformation within the pixel locator and region segmentor 92 of the red-eye filter is configured. It will also been seen that the steps 240, 242 may be performed as an alternative to other corrections step 208, in parallel with other corrections or in series with other corrections prior to execution of the red-eye filter 90.

(iii) In FIG. 2(*c*) instead of corrective image processing to compensate for a non-optimally acquired image, the analyser 135-2 adapts the redeye filter chain to provide image compensation for the redeye detection process. Steps 202 and 204 are identical with previous embodiments. However, when an image characteristic lies outside acceptable tolerances, the analyser 135-2 determines the redeye subfilters affected by said out-of-tolerance image characteristic, step 250. Typically this determining step will involve the image correction analyzer 135-2 obtaining the relevant data from an in-camera data repository such as the redeye subfilter database 135-3. After the affected subfilters have been determined 250, the next step is to determine if subfilter compensation is possible 252. This will depend on the different image characteristics which are outside acceptable thresholds and the relevant sets of redeye subfilters affected by each out-of-tolerance image characteristic. If filter chain adaption is possible then the filter chain is modified 254 and the redeye filter is applied 90. If subfilter compensation is not possible due to filter, or parameter-based conflicts then steps 220, 140, and 224 are performed as in the previous embodiments. The subfilter determining process is further described in FIG. 4(*b*) and an overview of the redeye subfilter matrix is given in FIG. 3.

The following example illustrates the concept of applying the results of the analysis stage to modify the filter chain of the correction process and the red eye detection process as opposed to modification of the image pixels. It is assumed that a pixel $\{R_0,G_0,B_0\}$ after the needed correction, step 208, is transformed to pixel value $\{R_1,G_1,B_1\}$ by a transformation T: $T[\{R_0,G_0,B_0\}]=\{R_1,G_1,B_1\}$. For illustrative purposes, we assume that the first stage of the red eye detection algorithm, as defined in block 92 of FIG. 1(*a*) is comparison to a known value, to determine if the pixel is, in simplified terms, red or not. The value of the pixel in to compare with is {R',G',B'}. However, the two steps above of correcting and comparing may be combined simply by transforming the static value of {R',G',B'} based on the inverse of the correction transformation. Thus, the preliminary preparatory stage will be: $\{R'',G'',B''\}=T^{-1}[\{R',G',B'\}]$ and the pixel by pixel comparison, as adapted, step 254 to the necessary needed transformations will comprise the following test: IF $\{R_0,G_0,B_0\}\cong\{R'',G'',B''\}$. By doing so, the entire image is not corrected, but the comparison is similar to the state as if the image was corrected. The complexity and number of necessary steps compared to the original algorithm is exactly the same, with the extra value that the image algorithm now is taking into account the sub-optimal quality of the image.

Mathematically speaking:

$$T[\{R_0,G_0,B_0\}]\alpha\{R',G',B'\}=\{R_0,G_0,B_0\}\alpha T^{-1}[\{R',G',B'\}]=\{R_0,G_0,B_0\}\alpha\{R'',G'',B''\}$$

Where α denotes the relationship between the objects.

Of course, such adaptation may be more complex than the simplified example above, and may include change of multiple values in the algorithm or change in the order the various filters are applied, or change in the weight of the various filters. However, the improvement in performance may justify the added architectural complexity.

(iv) FIG. 2(*d*) illustrates a combination of the embodiments described in 2(*b*) and 2(*c*). This embodiment is identical to the previous embodiments except that if subfilter compensation is not possible 252 it incorporates two

additional steps to determine if corrective image processing can be applied **206** and if this is possible a second step **208** to apply said corrective image processing. Note that subfilter adaption is preferred to corrective image processing as it requires practically no computational resources, but only changes the input parameters of the subfilters which comprise the redeye filter chain and the composition and order-of-execution of the chain itself. However in certain circumstances correction of the original acquired image by image processing means may provide more reliable redeye detection, or be desirable as an end in itself.

(v) FIG. **2**(*e*) describes an alternative variation of the algorithm. This is identical to the embodiment of FIG. **2**(*a*) except that after determining if corrective image processing is possible **206**, corrective image processing is applied to both the main acquired image **170-1** and a subsampled copy **170-3** thereof, step **208-1**. A second additional step then saves the corrected acquired image **170-2**, in the main image store **170**, step **209**, and a user indication **140** is generated to inform the camera user that an improved image is available. Additional steps may be added to allow the user to select between original **170-1** and corrected images **170-2** if so desired. In this embodiment, redeye detection **92**, **94**, **98** is applied to the corrected subsampled copy of the main acquired image and the redeye correction **96** is applied to the corrected copy of the main acquired image. In other embodiments corrective image processing would not be applied to the full-sized main image **170-1** so that the redeye correction would be applied to the uncorrected main image.

FIG. **3** shows the principle subfilter categories which exist within the main redeye filter **90**. While each of the component filters will be referred to in sequence, it will be appreciated that where appropriate more than one of these filters may be applied at a given time and the decisions above to modify the filter chain can include a decision not alone as to which filters may be executed in a sequence, but also on which filters can be applied in parallel sequences. As described above, the pixel transformer filter **92-0** allows global pixel-level transformations of images during color determining and pixel grouping operations. Also, within the pixel locator and region segmenter **92** we find pixel color filters **92-1** which perform the initial determining if a pixel has a color indicative of a flash eye defect; a region segmentor **92-2** which segments pixels into candidate redeye groupings; regional color filters **92-3**, color correlation filters **92-4**, and color distribution filters **92-5** which operate on candidate regions based these criteria. In addition the pixel locator and region segmenter **92** contains two additional functional blocks which do not contribute directly to the color determining and segmentation operations but are nevertheless intertwined with the operation of the pixel locator and region segmenter. The resegmentation engine **92-6** is a functional block which is particularly useful for analyzing difficult eye defects. It allows the splitting **92-6***a* and regrouping **92-6***b* of borderline candidate regions based on a variety of threshold criteria.

After candidate eye-defect groupings have been determined by the segmenter **92**, a shape analyzer **94** next applies a set of subfilters to determine if a particular candidate grouping is physically compatible with known eye-defects. Thus some basic geometric filters are first applied **94-1** followed by additional filters to determine region compactness **94-2** and boundary continuity **94-3**. Further determining is then performed based on region size **94-4**, and a series of additional filters then determine if neighbouring features exist which are indicative of eye shape **94-5**, eyebrows **94-6** and iris regions **94-7**. In certain embodiments of the present invention the redeye filter may additionally use anthropometric data to assist in the accurate determining of such features.

Now the remaining candidate regions are passed to a falsing analyzer **98** which contains a range of subfilter groups which eliminate candidate regions based on a range of criteria including lips filters **98-1**, face region filters **98-2**, skin texture filters **98-3**, eye-glint filters **98-4**, white region filters **98-5**, region uniformity filters **98-6**, skin color filters **98-7**, and eye-region falsing filters **98-8**. Further to these standard filters a number of specialized filters may also be included as part of the falsing analyzer **98**. In particular we mention a category of filter based on the use of acquired preview images **98-9** which can determine if a region was red prior to applying a flash. This particular filter may also be incorporated as part of the initial region determining process **92**, as described in co-pending U.S. application Ser. No. 10/919,226 from August, 2004 entitled "Red-Eye Filter Method And Apparatus" herein incorporated by reference. An additional category of falsing filter employs image metadata determined from the camera acquisition process **98-10**. This category of filter can be particularly advantageous when combined with anthropometric data as described in PCT Application No. PCT/EP2004/008706. Finally an additional category of filter is a user confirmation filter **98-11** which can be optionally used to request a final user input at the end of the detection process. This filter can be activated or disabled based on how sub-optimal the quality of an acquired image is.

The pixel modifier **96** is essentially concerned with the correction of confirmed redeye regions. Where an embodiment of the invention incorporates a face recognition module **130-9** then the pixel modifier may advantageously employ data from an in-camera known person database (not shown) to indicate aspects of the eye color of a person in the image. This can have great benefit as certain types of flash eye-defects in an image can destroy indications of original eye color.

In the preferred embodiment, an additional component of the redeye filter **90** is a filter chain adapter **99**. This component is responsible for combining, and sequencing the subfilters of the redeye filter **90** and for activating each filter with a set of input parameters corresponding to the parameter list(s) **99-1** supplied from the image compensation prefilter **135**.

Finally, it is remarked in the context of FIG. **3** that although the pixel locator & region segmenter **92**, the shape analyzer **94** and the falsing analyzer **98** are illustrated as separate components it is not intended to exclude the possibility that subfilters from these components may be applied in out-of-order sequences. As an illustrative example, regions which pass all the falsing filters except for the region uniformity filter **98-6** may be returned to the resegmentation engine **92-6** to determine if the region was incorrectly segmented. Thus a subfilter from the pixel locator and region segmentor **92** may be used to add an additional capability to the falsing analysis **98**.

FIG. **4**(*a*)-**4**(*c*) show in more detail the operation of the image analysis **130** and image compensation prefilters **135**. In this example the operation of the compensation prefilter **135**, and more particularly the operation of the image correction analyzer **135-2** has been separated into two functional modes: FIG. **4**(*a*) illustrates the workflow for the determining and performing corrective image processing (so corresponding generally to steps **206**, **208** of FIG. **2**(*a*),(*b*),(*d*) and (e)) while FIG. **4**(*b*) describes the determining and performing filter chain adaption including determining if a single chain, or a combination of multiple filter chains will compensate for the

non-optimal image characteristics determined by the image analysis prefilter **130** (so corresponding generally to step **250**,**252** and **254** of FIGS. **2**(*c*) and **2**(*d*)). FIG. **4**(*c*) illustrates an exemplary embodiment of the workflow of the image analysis prefilter **130**.

In FIG. **4**(*a*) the image correction analyzer **135-2** first loads an image characteristic list **401** obtained from the image analysis prefilter **130**. This list will allow the correction analyzer to quickly determine if a simple image correction is required or if a number of image characteristics will require correction **402**. In the case of a single characteristic the correction analyzer can immediately apply the relevant corrective image processing **412** followed by some tests of the corrected image **414** to ensure that image quality is at least not deteriorated by the applied corrective technique. If these tests are passed **416** then the image can be passed on to the redeye filter **90** for eye defect correction. Otherwise, if corrective image processing has failed the sanity tests **416** then an additional test may be made to determine if filter chain adaption is possible **422**. In this case the algorithm will initiate the workflow described in FIG. **4**(*b*) for determining the required filter chain adaptions **450**. If corrective image processing has failed **416** and filter chain adaption is not possible **422** then the correction analyzer will disable the redeye filter for this image **220**, and provide a user indication to that effect **140** after which it will pass control back to the main in-camera application **224**. Note that in certain embodiments the user indication may be interactive and may provide an option to allow the normal redeye filter process to proceed on the uncorrected image, or alternatively offer additional user-selectable choices for additional image analysis and/or correction strategies.

Now returning to the determining step between single and multiple image characteristics requiring correction **402** we now describe the correction approach for multiple image characteristics. Typically an image which was non-optimally acquired will suffer from one major deficiency and a number of less significant deficiencies. We will refer to these as primary and secondary image deficiencies. The next step in the workflow process is to determine the primary image deficiency **404**. After this has been successfully determined from the image characteristics list the next step is to determine the interdependencies between this primary correction required and said secondary image characteristics. Typically there will be more than one approach to correcting the primary image characteristic and the correction analyzer must next determine the effects of these alternative correction techniques on the secondary image characteristics **406** before correction can be initiated. If any of the secondary characteristics are likely to deteriorate significantly and all alternative correction technique for the primary image characteristic are exhausted then the correction analyzer may determine that these interdependencies cannot be resolved **408**. In the present embodiment an additional test is next made to determine if filter chain adaption is possible **422**. In this case the algorithm will initiate the workflow described in FIG. **4**(*b*) for determining the required filter chain adaptions **450**. If corrective image processing has failed **416** and filter chain adaption is not possible **422** then the correction analyzer will disable the redeye filter for this image **220**, and provide a user indication to that effect **140** after which it will pass control back to the main in-camera application **224**.

Given that the secondary interdependencies can be resolved **408** the correction analyzer next proceeds to determine the image processing chain **410**. In certain embodiments this step may incorporate the determining of additional corrective techniques which can further enhance the primary correction technique which has been determined. In such an embodiment the correction analyzer will, essentially, loop back through steps **404**, **406**, and **408** for each additional correction technique until it has optimized the image processing chain. It is further remarked that the determining of step **408** will require access to a relatively complex knowledgebase **135-4**. In the present embodiment this is implemented as a series of look-up-tables (LUTs) which may be embedded in the non-volatile memory of a digital camera. The content of the knowledgebase is highly dependent on (i) the image characteristics determined by the image analysis prefilter and (ii) the correction techniques available to the compensation prefilter and (iii) the camera within which the invention operates. Thus it will be evident to those skilled in the art that the knowledgebase will differ significantly from one embodiment to another. It is also desirable that said knowledgebase can be easily updated by a camera manufacturer and, to some extent, modified by an end-user. Thus various embodiments would store, or allow updating of the knowledgebase from (i) a compact flash or other memory card; (ii) a USB link to a personal computer; (iii) a network connection for a networked/wireless camera and (iv) from a mobile phone network for a camera which incorporates the functionality of a mobile phone. In other alternative embodiments, where the camera is networked, the knowledgebase may reside on a remote server and may respond to requests from the camera for the resolving of a certain set of correction interdependencies.

An example of image characteristics determined by the image analysis prefilter is a person or type of person recognised by the analyzer **130-9**. Once a person or type of person has been recognized using the face recognition analyzer, **130-9**, it is preferred to determine whether a customized redeye filter set is available and if it has been loaded onto the camera. If this data is not available, or if a person could not be recognized from a detected face, a generic filter set will be applied to the detected face region. If a person is recognized, the redeye filter will be modified according to a customised profile loaded on the camera and stored in the database **135-3**. In general, this profile is based on an analysis of previous images of the recognised person or type of person and is designed to optimise both the detection and correction of redeye defects for the individual or type of person.

In particular, certain types of flash eye defects may completely destroy the iris color of an eye. This can generally not be restored by conventional image processing. However, if a simple model of a person's eye is available from the image correction knowledge base **135-4** which incorporates the appropriate geometric, dimensional and color information, then much improved systems and methods of redeye correction can be provided.

Now once the corrective image processing chain has been determined it is applied to the image **412** and a number of sanity checks are applied **412** to ensure that the image quality is not degraded by the correction process **416**. If these tests fail then it may be that the determined interdependencies were marginal or that an alternative image processing strategy is still available **418**. If this is so then the image processing chain is modified **420** and corrective image processing is reapplied **412**. This loop may continue until all alternative image processing chains have been exhausted. It is further remarked that the entire image processing chain may not be applied each time. For example, if the differences between image processing chains is a single filter then a temporary copy of the input image to that filter is kept and said filter is simply reapplied with different parameter settings. If, however step **418** determines that all corrective measures have been tried it

will next move to step **422** which determines if filter chain adaption is possible. Now returning to step **416**, if the corrective image processing is applied successfully then the image is passed on to the redeye filter **90**.

FIG. **4**(*b*) describes an alternative embodiment of the correction analyzer **135-2** which determines if filter chain adaption is possible and then modifies the redeye filter appropriately. Initially the image characteristics list is loaded **401** and for each characteristic a set of filters which require adaption is determined **452**. This is achieved through referencing the external database **135-3** and the comments and discussion provided in the context of the image correction knowledgebase **135-4** apply equally here.

Now once the filter lists for each image characteristic have been determined the correction analyzer must determine which filters overlap a plurality of image characteristics **454** and, additionally determine if there are conflicts between the filter adaptions required for each of the plurality of image characteristics **456**. If such conflicts exist the correction analyzer must next decide if they can be resolved **460**. To provide a simple illustrative example we consider two image characteristics which both require an adaption of the threshold of the main redness filter in order to compensate for the measured non-optimality of each. If the first characteristic requires a lowering of the redness threshold by, say, 10% and the second characteristic requires a lowering of the same threshold by, say 15% then the correction analyzer must next determine from the knowledgebase the result of compensating for the first characteristic with a lowered threshold of 15% rather than the initially requested 10%. Such an adjustment will normal be an inclusive one and the correction analyzer may determine that the conflict can be resolved by adapting the threshold of the main redness filter to 15%. However it might also determine that the additional 5% reduction in said threshold will lead to an unacceptable increase in false positives during the redeye filtering process and that this particular conflict cannot be simply resolved.

If such filter conflicts cannot be simply resolved an alternative is to determine if they are separable **466**. If they are separable that implies that two distinct redeye filter processes can be run with different filter chains and the results of the two detection processes can be merged prior to correcting the defects. In the case of the example provided above this implies that one detection process would be run to compensate for a first image characteristic with a threshold of 10% and a second detection process will be run for the second image characteristic with a threshold of 15%. The results of the two detection processes will then be combined in either an exclusive or an inclusive manner depending on the separability determination obtained from the subfilter database **135-3**. In embodiments where a face recognition module **130-9** is employed, a separate detection process may be determined and selectively applied to the image for each known person.

Returning to step **460**, we see that if filter conflicts can be resolved, the correction analyzer will prepare a single filter chain parameter list **462** which will then be loaded **464** to the filter chain adapter **99** of the redeye filter **90** illustrated in FIG. **3**. Alternatively, if filter conflicts cannot be resolved, but are determined to be separable **466** the correction analyzer prepares a number of parameter lists **468** for the filter chain adapter which are then loaded **464** as in the previous case. The redeye filter is then applied **90**.

However, if filter conflicts cannot be resolved and are not separable the correction analyzer will then make a determination if image processing compensation might be possible **422**. If so then the image processing compensation workflow of FIG. **4**(*a*) may be additionally employed **400**. If it is determined that image processing compensation is not possible then the correction analyzer will disable the redeye filter for this image **220**, and provide a user indication to that effect **140** after which it will pass control back to the main in-camera application **224**.

FIG. **4**(*c*) describes the workflow of the image analysis prefilter **130** illustrated in FIG. **1**(*b*). This performs an image processing analysis of at least one image characteristic according to at least one of a plurality of image processing techniques. Preferably, the output of this analysis should be a simple measure of goodness of the analyzed image characteristic. For the purposes of an exemplary discussion we suppose that said measure is a percentage of the optimum for said characteristic. Thus 100% represents perfect quality for the measured image characteristic; values above 95% represent negligible image distortions/imperfections in said characteristic; values above 85% represent noticeable, but easily correctable distortions/imperfections and values above 60% represent major distortions/imperfections which require major image processing to correct the image characteristic. Values below 60% imply that the image is too badly distorted to be correctable.

The first step in this workflow is to load or, if it is already loaded in memory, to access the image to be analyzed. The analysis prefilter next analyzes a first characteristic of said image **482** and determines a measure of goodness. Now if said characteristic is above a first threshold (95%) **486** then it is marked as not requiring corrective measures **487** in the characteristic list. If it is below said first threshold, but above a second threshold (85%) **488** then it is marked as requiring secondary corrective measures **489**. If it is below said second threshold, but above a third threshold (60%) **490** then it is marked as requiring primary corrective measures **491** and if below said third threshold **492** it is marked as uncorrectable **493**. Now it is remarked that for some embodiments of the present invention which combine corrective image processing with filter chain adaption there may be two distinct sets of thresholds, one relating to the correctability using image processing techniques and the second relating to the degree of compensation possible using filter chain adaption. We further remark that for image compensation through filter chain adaption that certain filters may advantageously scale their input parameters directly according to the measure of goodness of certain image characteristics. As an illustrative example consider the redness threshold of the main color filter which, over certain ranges of values, may be scaled directly according to a measure of excessive "redness" in the color balance of a non-optimally acquired image. Thus, the image characteristic list may additionally include the raw measure of goodness of each image characteristic. In an alternative embodiment only the raw measure of goodness will be exported from the image analysis prefilter **130** and the threshold based determining of FIG. **4**(*c*) will be performed within the correction analyzer **135-2** in which case threshold values may be determined from the image correction knowledgebase **135-4**.

Returning to **493** we note that images of such poor quality may require a second image acquisition process to be initiated and so it is implicit in **493** that for certain embodiments of the present invention it may be desirable that an alarm/interrupt indication is sent to the main camera application.

Now the main loop continues by determining if the currently analyzed characteristic is the last image characteristic to be analyzed **496**. If not it returns to analyzing the next image characteristic **482**. If it is the last characteristic it then passes the image characteristics list to the image compensation prefilter **494** and returns control to the main camera

application **224**. It should be remarked that in certain embodiments that a plurality of image characteristics may be grouped together and analyzed concurrently, rather than on a one-by-one basis. This may be preferable if several image characteristics have significant overlap in the image processing steps required to evaluate them. It may also be preferable where a hardware co-processor or DSP unit is available as part of the camera hardware and it is desired to batch run or parallelize the computing of image characteristics on such hardware subsystems.

A third principle embodiment of the present invention has already been briefly described. This is the use of a global pixel-level transformation of the image within the redeye filter itself and relies on the corrective image processing, as determined by the correction analyzer **135-2**, being implementable as a global pixel-level transformation of the image. Those skilled in the art will realize that such a requirement implies that certain of the image analyzer elements which comprise the image analysis prefilter **130** are not relevant to this embodiment. For example dust analysis, object/region analysis, noise analysis and certain forms of image blur cannot be corrected by such transformations. However many other image characteristics are susceptible to such transformations. Further, we remark that this alternative embodiment may be combined with the other two principle embodiments of the invention to compliment each other.

In FIG. **5**(*a*) we illustrate an exemplary embodiment of the red pixel locating and red region segmenting workflow which occurs within the redeye filter as steps **92-1** and **92-2**. This workflow has been modified to incorporate a global pixel-level transformation **92-0** of the image as an integral element of the color determining and region grouping steps of the redeye filter. It is implicit in this embodiment that the correction analyzer has determined that a global pixel level transformation can achieve the required image compensation. The image to be processed by the redeye filter is first loaded **502** and the labeling LUT for the region grouping process in initialized **504**. Next the current pixel and pixel neighbourhoods are initialized **506**.

FIG. **5**(*b*) shows a diagrammatic representation of a 4-pixel neighborhood **562**, shaded light gray in the figure and containing the three upper pixels and the pixel to the left of the current pixel **560**, shaded dark gray in the figure. This 4-pixel neighborhood is used in the labeling algorithm of this exemplary embodiment. A look-up table, LUT, is defined to hold correspondence labels.

Returning to step **506** we see that after initialization is completed the next step for the workflow of FIG. **5**(*a*) is to begin a recursive iteration through all the pixels of an image in a raster-scan from top-left to bottom-right. The first operation on each pixel is to apply the global pixel transformation **508**. It is assumed that the loaded image is an RGB bitmap and the global pixel transformation is of the form:

$$P(R,G,B) \rightarrow P(R',G',B'),$$

where the red, green and blue values of the current pixel, P(R,G,B) are mapped to a shifted set of color space values, P(R',G',B'). There are a number of advantages in performing this corrective transformation at the same time as the color determining and pixel grouping. In particular it is easier to optimize the computational performance of the algorithm which is important for in-camera implementations. Following step **508** the workflow next determines if the current pixel satisfies membership criteria for a candidate redeye region **510**. Essentially this implies that the current pixel has color properties which are compatible with an eye defect; this does not necessarily imply that the pixel is red as a range of other colors can be associated with flash eye defects. If the current pixel satisfies membership criteria for a segment **510**, i.e., if it is sufficiently "red", then the algorithm checks for other "red" pixels in the 4-pixel neighborhood **512**. If there are no other "red" pixels, then the current pixel is assigned membership of the current label **530**. The LUT is then updated **532** and the current label value is incremented **534**. If there are other "red" pixels in the 4-pixel neighborhood then the current pixel is given membership in the segment with the lowest label value **514** and the LUT is updated accordingly **516**. After the current pixel has been labeled as part of a "red" segment **512** or **530**, or has been categorized as "non-red" during step **510**, a test is then performed to determine if it is the last pixel in the image **518**. If the current pixel is the last pixel in the image then a final update of the LUT is performed **540**. Otherwise the next image pixel is obtained by incrementing the current pixel pointer **520** and returning to step **508** and is processed in the same manner. Once the final image pixel is processed and the final LUT completed **540**, all of the pixels with segment membership are sorted into a labeled-segment table of potential red-eye segments **542**.

With regard to the exemplary details of corrective image processing **135-1** which may be employed in the present invention we remark that a broad range of techniques exist for automatic or semi-automatic image correction and enhancement. For ease of discussion we can group these into **6** main subcategories as follows:

(i) Contrast Normalization and Image Sharpening.
(ii) Image Color Adjustment and Tone Reproduction Scaling.
(iii) Exposure Adjustment and Digital Fill Flash
(iv) Brightness Adjustment with Color Space Matching; Image Auto-Gamma determination with Image Enhancement.
(v) In-Camera Image Enhancement
(vi) Face Based Image Enhancement

All Categories may be Global Correction or Local Region Based.

(i) Contrast Normalization and Image Sharpening:

U.S. Pat. No. 6,421,468 to Ratnakar et al. disclose sharpening an image by transforming the image representation into a frequency-domain representation and by selectively applying scaling factors to certain frequency domain characteristics of an image. The modified frequency domain representation is then back-transformed into the spatial domain and provides a sharpened version of the original image. U.S. Pat. No. 6,393,148 to Bhaskar discloses automatic contrast enhancement of an image by increasing the dynamic range of the tone levels within an image without causing distortion or shifts to the color map of said image.

(ii) Color Adjustment and Tone Scaling of a Digital Image:

US patent application 2002/0105662 to Patton et al. discloses modifying a portion of an image in accordance with colormetric parameters. More particularly it discloses the steps of (i) identifying a region representing skin tone in an image; (ii) displaying a plurality of renderings for said skin tone; (iii) allowing a user to select one of said renderings and (iv) modifying the skin tone regions in the images in accordance with the rendering of said skin tone selected by the user. U.S. Pat. No. 6,438,264 to Gallagher et al. discloses compensating image color when adjusting the contrast of a digital color image including the steps of (i) receiving a tone scale function; (ii) calculating a local slope of the tone scale function for each pixel of the digital image; (iii) calculating a color saturation signal from the digital color image and (iv) adjusting the color saturation signal for each pixel of the color

image based on the local tone scale slope. The image enhancements of Gallagher et al. are applied to the entire image and are based on a global tone scale function. Thus this technique may be implemented as a global pixel-level color space transformation. U.S. Pat. No. 6,249,315 to Holm teaches how a spatially blurred and sub-sampled version of an original image can be used to obtain statistical characteristics of a scene or original image. This information is combined with the tone reproduction curves and other characteristics of an output device or media to provide an enhancement strategy for optimized output of a digital image. All of this processing can be performed automatically, although the Holm also allows for simple, intuitive manual adjustment by a user.

(iii) Digital Fill Flash and Post-Acquisition Exposure Adjustment

US patent application 2003/0052991 to Stavely et al. discloses simulating fill flash in digital photography. In Stavely a digital camera shoots a series of photographs of a scene at various focal distances. These pictures are subsequently analyzed to determine the distances to different objects in the scene. Then regions of these pictures have their brightness selectively adjusted based on the aforementioned distance calculations and are then combined to form a single, photographic image. US patent application 2001/0031142 to Whiteside is concerned with a scene recognition method and a system using brightness and ranging mapping. It uses autoranging and brightness measurements to adjust image exposure to ensure that both background and foreground objects are correctly illuminated in a digital image. Much of the earlier prior art is focused on the application of corrections and enhancement of the entire image, rather than on selected regions of an image and thus discuss the correction of image exposure and tone scale as opposed to fill flash. Example patents include U.S. Pat. No. 6,473,199 to Gilman et al. which describes a method for correcting for exposure in a digital image and includes providing a plurality of exposure and tone scale correcting nonlinear transforms and selecting the appropriate nonlinear transform from the plurality of nonlinear transforms and transforming the digital image to produce a new digital image which is corrected for exposure and tone scale. U.S. Pat. No. 5,991,456 to Rahman et al. describes a method of improving a digital image. The image is initially represented by digital data indexed to represent positions on a display. The digital data is indicative of an intensity value Ii (x,y) for each position (x,y) in each i-th spectral band. The intensity value for each position in each i-th spectral band is adjusted to generate an adjusted intensity value for each position in each i-th spectral band. Each surround function Fn (x,y) is uniquely scaled to improve an aspect of the digital image, e.g., dynamic range compression, color constancy, and lightness rendition. For color images, a novel color restoration step is added to give the image true-to-life color that closely matches human observation.

However some of the earlier prior art does teach the concept of regional analysis and regional adjustment of image intensity or exposure levels. U.S. Pat. No. 5,818,975 to Goodwin et al. teaches area selective exposure adjustment. Goodwin describes how a digital image can have the dynamic range of its scene brightness reduced to suit the available dynamic brightness range of an output device by separating the scene into two regions—one with a high brightness range and one with a low brightness range. A brightness transform is derived for both regions to reduce the brightness of the first region and to boost the brightness of the second region, recombining both regions to reform an enhanced version of the original image for the output device. This technique is analogous to an early implementation of digital fill flash. Another example is U.S. Pat. No. 5,724,456 to Boyack et al. which teaches brightness adjustment of images using digital scene analysis. Boyack partitions the image into blocks and larger groups of blocks, known as sectors. It then determines an average luminance block value. A difference is determined between the max and min block values for each sector. If this difference exceeds a pre-determined threshold the sector is marked active. A histogram of weighted counts of active sectors against average luminance sector values is plotted and the histogram is shifted to using a pre-determined criteria so that the average luminance sector values of interest will fall within a destination window corresponding to the tonal reproduction capability of a destination application or output device.

(iv) Brightness Adjustment; Color Space Matching; Auto-Gamma.

Another area of image enhancement in the prior art relates to brightness adjustment and color matching between color spaces. For example U.S. Pat. No. 6,459,436 to Kumada et al. describes transforming image date from device dependent color spaces to device-independent Lab color spaces and back again. Image data is initially captured in a color space representation which is dependent on the input device. This is subsequently converted into a device independent color space. Gamut mapping (hue restoration) is performed in the device independent color space and the image data may then be mapped back to a second device-dependent color space. U.S. Pat. No. 6,268,939 to Klassen et al. is also concerned correcting luminance and chrominance data in digital color images. More specifically Klassen is concerned with optimizing the transformations between device dependent and device independent color spaces by applying subsampling of the luminance and chrominance data. Another patent in this category is U.S. Pat. No. 6,192,149 to Eschback et al. which discloses improving the quality of a printed image by automatically determining the image gamma and then adjusting the gamma of a printer to correspond to that of the image. Although Eschback is concerned with enhancing the printed quality of a digital image and not the digital image itself, if does teach a means for automatically determining the gamma of a digital image. This information could be used to directly adjust image gamma, or used as a basis for applying other enhancements to the original digital image. U.S. Pat. No. 6,101,271 to Yamashita et al. discloses implementing a gradation correction to an RGB image signal which allows image brightness to be adjusted without affecting the image hue and saturation.

(v) In-Camera Image Enhancement

U.S. Pat. No. 6,516,154 to Parulski et al. discloses suggesting improvements to a digital image after it has been captured by a camera. The user may crop, re-size or adjust color balance before saving a picture; alternatively the user may choose to re-take a picture using different settings on the camera. The suggestion of improvements is made by the camera user-interface. However Parulski does not teach the use of image analysis and corrective image processing to automatically initiate in-camera corrective actions upon an acquired digital image.

(vii) Face-Based Image Enhancement

In US patent application 20020172419, Lin et al., discloses automatically improving the appearance of faces in images based on automatically detecting such images in the digital image. Lin describes modification of lightness contrast and color levels of the image to produce better results.

Additional methods of face-based image enhancement are described in co-pending U.S. application Ser. No. 11/024,046, which is hereby incorporated by reference.

The present invention is not limited to the embodiments described above herein, which may be amended or modified without departing from the scope of the present invention as set forth in the appended claims, and structural and functional equivalents thereof.

In methods that may be performed according to preferred embodiments herein and that may have been described above and/or claimed below, the operations have been described in selected typographical sequences. However, the sequences have been selected and so ordered for typographical convenience and are not intended to imply any particular order for performing the operations.

In addition, all references cited above herein, in addition to the background and summary of the invention sections, are hereby incorporated by reference into the detailed description of the preferred embodiments as disclosing alternative embodiments and components.

The invention claimed is:

1. A method for red-eye detection in an acquired digital image comprising, using a processor and a digital storage medium having code embedded therein for programming the processor to perform the method, which includes:

a) acquiring a first image;

b) analyzing the first acquired image to detect one or more eye color defect characteristics;

c) determining if one or more corrective processes can be beneficially applied to said first acquired image according to said eye color defect characteristics;

d) applying at least one of such corrective processes to said first acquired image; and

e) detecting red-eye defects in a second acquired image using said corrected first acquired image.

2. A method according to claim **1** wherein said detecting comprises applying a chain of one or more red-eye filters to said first acquired image; and further comprising, prior to said detecting: f) determining if said red-eye filter chain can be adapted in accordance with said plurality of characteristics; and g) adapting said red-eye filter chain accordingly.

3. A method according to claim **2** in which said adapting comprises providing an altered set of parameters for one or more filters of said filter chain.

4. A method according to claim **2** in which said adapting comprises re-ordering a sequence in which said filters are applied to said first acquired image.

5. A method according to claim **2** in which more than one of said filters are applied simultaneously.

6. A method according to claim **2** in which said adapting comprises determining which filters are to be applied in said chain.

7. A method according to claim **1** further comprising correcting a third acquired image based on any defects detected in said detecting.

8. A method according to claim **7** wherein said first second and third images are the same image.

9. A method according to claim **7** wherein at least one of said first and second images is a sub-sampled copy of said third image.

10. A method according to claim **1** wherein said first and second acquired images are the same image.

11. A method according to claim **1** wherein said first and second acquired images are different images.

12. A method according to claim **11** wherein said first acquired image is a sub-sampled copy of an acquired image.

13. A method according to claim **11** wherein said first acquired image is a sub-sampled copy of the second image.

14. A method according to claim **1** further comprising: prior to analyzing the first acquired image, processing said second image based on the settings of a device used to acquire the second image.

15. A method according to claim **1** wherein said analyzing comprises determining one or more of: a degree of blur; a degree of dust contamination; color balance; white balance; a gamma correction which might be applied; texture characteristics; noise characteristics; and characteristics of regions; in the first acquired image.

16. A method according to claim **1** wherein the analyzing comprises recognizing one or more faces in the first acquired image.

17. A method according to claim **16**, further comprising recognizing a same face in a second acquired image as in said first acquired image, and applying one or more same corrective processes to said second image as said first image.

18. A method according to claim **1** wherein said corrective processes comprise one or more of: (i) contrast normalization and image sharpening; (ii) image color adjustment and tone scaling; (iii) exposure adjustment and digital fill flash; (iv) brightness adjustment with color space matching; (v) image auto-gamma determination with image enhancement; (v) image enhancement; and (vi) face based image enhancement.

19. A method according to claim **2** wherein said red-eye filter chain comprises: (i) a pixel locator and segmentor; (ii) a shape analyser; (iii) a falsing analyser; and (iv) a pixel modifier.

20. A method according to claim **19** wherein said pixel locator and segmentor includes a pixel transformer.

21. A method as claimed in claim **1** comprising: responsive to determining that corrective processing is necessary but cannot be beneficially applied to said first acquired image according to said characteristics, disabling said detecting red-eye defects and providing an indication of such to a user.

22. A method as claimed in claim **7** wherein said applying a corrective process includes interacting with a user to determine the corrections to be made to said third image.

23. A method according to claim **1** wherein the applying a corrective process includes performing a color space transformation.

24. A method as claimed in claim **1** in which one or more of (a) to (e) are performed in a digital camera.

25. A method as claimed in claim **1** comprising determining a sequence in which more than one corrective process can be beneficially applied to said first acquired image according to said characteristics.

26. A method according to claim **1** wherein the analyzing comprises recognizing one or more types of faces in the first acquired image.

27. A method according to claim **16**, further comprising recognizing a same type of face in a second acquired image as in said first acquired image, and applying one or more same corrective processes to said second image as said first image.

28. A computer-readable storage medium containing a set of instructions which when executed on a digital image processing device program a processor to perform a method for red-eye detection in an acquired digital image, wherein the method comprises:

a) acquiring a first image;

b) analyzing the first acquired image to detect one or more eye color defect characteristics;

c) determining if one or more corrective processes can be beneficially applied to said first acquired image according to said eye color detect characteristics; and

d) applying at least one of such corrective processes to said first acquired image; and

e) detecting red-eye defects in a second acquired image using said corrected first acquired image.

29. A digital image processing device comprising a processor and a processor-readable storage medium having embedded therein processor-readable code for programming the processor to perform a method for red-eye detection in an acquired digital image, wherein the method comprises:

a) acquiring a first image;

b) analyzing the first acquired image to detect one or more eye color defect characteristics;

c) determining if one or more corrective processes can be beneficially applied to said first acquired image according to said eye color defect characteristics; and

d) applying at least one of such corrective processes to said first acquired image; and

e) detecting red-eye defects in a second acquired image using said corrected first acquired image.

30. A method for red-eye detection in an acquired digital image comprising, using a processor and a digital storage medium having code embedded therein for programming the processor to perform the method, which includes:

a) acquiring a first image;

b) analyzing the first acquired image to detect a suboptimal exposure characteristic of the image;

c) determining if a chain of one or more red-eye filters can be adapted in accordance with said suboptimal exposure characteristic;

d) adapting said red-eye filter chain; and

e) applying said filter chain to detect red-eye defects in a second acquired image.

31. A method according to claim **30** further comprising: f) determining if one or more corrective processes can be beneficially applied to said first acquired image according to said characteristics; and g) applying any such corrective processes to said first acquired image.

32. A method according to claim **30** in which said adapting comprises providing an altered set of parameters for one or more filters of said filter chain.

33. A method according to claim **30** in which said adapting comprises re-ordering a sequence in which said filters are applied to said first acquired image.

34. A method according to claim **30** in which more than one of said filters are applied simultaneously.

35. A method according to claim **30** in which said adapting comprises determining which filters are to be applied in said chain.

36. A method according to claim **30** further comprising correcting a third acquired image based on one or more defects detected in said detecting.

37. A method according to claim **36** wherein said first second and third images comprise a same image.

38. A method according to claim **36** wherein at least one of said first and second images is a sub-sampled copy of said third image.

39. A method according to claim **30** wherein said first and second acquired images comprise a same image.

40. A method according to claim **30** wherein said first and second acquired images comprise different images.

41. A method according to claim **40** wherein said first acquired image comprises a sub-sampled copy of an acquired image.

42. A method according to claim **40** wherein said first acquired image comprises a sub-sampled copy of the second image.

43. A method according to claim **30** further comprising: prior to analyzing the first acquired image, processing said second image based on the settings of a device used to acquire the second image.

44. A method according to claim **30** wherein said analysing step comprises determining one or more of: a degree of blur a degree of dust contamination; color balance; white balance; a gamma correction which might be applied; texture characteristics; noise characteristics; and characteristics of regions; in the first acquired image.

45. A method according to claim **30** wherein said analyzing comprises recognizing one or more faces in the first acquired image.

46. A method according to claim **45**, further comprising recognizing a same face in said second acquired image as in said first acquired image, and wherein said applying said filter chain comprises applying a same filter chain to said same face of said second acquired image as in said first acquired image.

47. A method according to claim **31** wherein said corrective processes comprise one or more of: (i) contrast normalization and image sharpening; (ii) image color adjustment and tone scaling; (iii) exposure adjustment and digital fill flash; (iv) brightness adjustment with color space matching; (v) image auto-gamma determination with image enhancement; (v) image enhancement; and (vi) face based image enhancement.

48. A method according to claim **30** wherein said red-eye filter chain comprises: (i) a pixel locator and segmentor; (ii) a shape analyser; (iii) a falsing analyser; and (iv) a pixel modifier.

49. A method according to claim **48** wherein said pixel locator and segmentor includes a pixel transformer.

50. A method as claimed in claim **31** comprising responsive to determining that corrective processing is necessary but cannot be beneficially applied to said first acquired image according to said characteristics, disabling said detecting red-eye defects and providing an indication of such to a user.

51. A method as claimed in claim **36** wherein said applying a corrective process includes interacting with a user to determine the corrections to be made to said third image.

52. A method according to claim **31** wherein the applying a corrective process includes performing a color space transformation.

53. A method as claimed in claim **30** in which one or more of (a) to (e) are performed in a digital camera.

54. A method as claimed in claim **31** comprising determining a sequence in which more than one corrective process can be beneficially applied to said first acquired image according to said characteristics.

55. A method according to claim **30** wherein said analyzing comprises recognizing one or more types of faces in the first acquired image.

56. A method according to claim **45**, further comprising recognizing a same type of face in said second acquired image as in said first acquired image, and wherein said applying said filter chain comprises applying a same filter chain to said same type of face of said second acquired image as in said first acquired image.

57. A computer-readable storage medium containing a set of instructions embedded therein which, when executed on a digital image processing device, that comprises a processor, programs the processor to perform a method for red-eye detection in an acquired digital image, wherein the method comprises:

a) acquiring a first image;

b) analyzing the first acquired image to detect a suboptimal exposure characteristic of the image;

c) determining if a chain of one or more red-eye filters can be adapted in accordance with said suboptimal exposure characteristic;

d) adapting said red-eye filter chain; and

e) applying said filter chain to detect red-eye defects in a second acquired image.

58. A digital image acquisition and processing device comprising a lens, an image sensor, a processor and a processor-readable storage medium having embedded therein processor-readable code for programming the processor to perform a method for red-eye detection in an acquired digital image, wherein the method comprises:

a) acquiring a first image;

b) analyzing the first acquired image to detect a suboptimal exposure characteristic of the image;

c) determining if a chain of one or more red-eye filters can be adapted in accordance with said suboptimal exposure characteristic;

d) adapting said red-eye filter chain; and

e) applying said filter chain to detect red-eye defects in a second acquired image.

* * * * *

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION

PATENT NO. : 7,587,085 B2
APPLICATION NO. : 11/233513
DATED : September 8, 2009
INVENTOR(S) : Steinberg et al.

It is certified that error appears in the above-identified patent and that said Letters Patent is hereby corrected as shown below:

On the Title Page:

The first or sole Notice should read --

Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 499 days.

Signed and Sealed this

Twenty-first Day of September, 2010



David J. Kappos
Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office