

1 So we're ready to proceed with our next witness,
2 Dr. Dan Schonfeld. Call him to the stand.

3 JUDGE JOHNSON HINES: Good afternoon. Raise
4 your right hand, please, before you sit down.
5 Whereupon--

6 DANIEL SCHONFELD, PhD
7 having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as
8 follows:

9 THE WITNESS: I do.

10 JUDGE JOHNSON HINES: Thank you, and please be
11 seated.

12 Welcome. I'm very glad you could be here in
13 person. It seems you're a very popular person this week.

14 MR. PAK: Your Honor, we have prepared
15 demonstratives that are before you.

16 May I proceed?

17 JUDGE JOHNSON HINES: Please. Yes.

18 DIRECT EXAMINATION

19 BY MR. PAK:

20 Q. Dr. Schonfeld, can you please state your name
21 for the record.

22 A. Sure.

23 My name is Dan Schonfeld.

24 Q. If we turn to slide 2 of your demonstrative
25 deck.

1 satisfied for all of the accused products?

2 A. This requires actually generating the
3 horizon-leveled visual content, and we saw that in CX-984C
4 at 2 talking about the implementation -- about the
5 Absolute-Horizon-Refine mode in the accused devices.

6 Q. So pulling all of this together on slide 169,
7 did you find that all of the elements of Claim 1 of the
8 '832 patent are present in the accused products?

9 A. I did.

10 Q. Looking at the dependent claims 4 and 8 which
11 depend from Claim 3 and Claim 7, are any of these
12 limitations disputed?

13 A. They are not disputed, no.

14 Q. So turning to slide 171, what evidence did you
15 find of the element of Claim 3 and 4?

16 A. So this -- Claim 3 requires distortion that
17 appears as a curved line and Claim 4 requires that
18 distortion be a barrel distortion. As you can see, CX-1578
19 shows exactly that, the distortion appearing as a curved
20 line in the form of a barrel distortion.

21 Q. Then turning to the undisputed claim limitations
22 7 and 8, what evidence did you find there?

23 A. So this requires determination of the size of
24 the viewing window.

25 We spoke about the dynamic field of view