

IPR2024-01072
Luxottica v. e-Vision

Filed: August 25, 2025

Filed on behalf of: Petitioner Luxottica of America Inc.

By: Ali S. Razai (Reg. No. 60,771)
Benjamin B. Anger (Reg. No. 62,207)
Alexander B. Stein (Reg. No. 71,397)
Jacob L. Peterson (Reg. No. 65,096)
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
600 Anton Blvd., Ste. 1800
Costa Mesa, CA 92626
Telephone: (714) 830-0600
Email: MLB-Luxottica-IPR-960@morganlewis.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

LUXOTTICA OF AMERICA INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

E-VISION SMART OPTICS, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2024-01072
U.S. Patent No. 10,598,960

PETITIONER'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(a) and the Scheduling Order (Paper 13), Petitioner Luxottica of America Inc. (“Petitioner”) respectfully requests that the Board hear oral argument on the instituted grounds in IPR2024-01072 regarding U.S. Patent No. 10,598,960 (“’960 Patent”). The Board has currently scheduled oral argument for October 6, 2025. Paper 13 at 13. Pursuant to the notice in the Scheduling Order (Paper 13 at 8), Petitioner submits its preference: (1) for an in-person hearing; and (2) that the hearing be conducted at the USPTO headquarters in Alexandria, Virginia.

Petitioner conferred with Patent Owner e-Vision Smart Optics, Inc. (“Patent Owner”) regarding these options. Patent Owner indicated it does not intend to file a request for oral argument but agreed that, should Petitioner file such a request, it concurs with the preference for in-person arguments in Alexandria.

Petitioner requests (without waiving consideration of any issue not listed below) to address the following issues:

- The grounds on which trial was instituted, including all issues raised in the parties’ briefing and evidence submitted in connection with the instituted grounds;
- Issues in other briefing, such as any Motion to Exclude yet to be filed; and
- Any other issues the Board deems necessary for issuing a final written decision.

IPR2024-01072

Luxottica v. e-Vision

Petitioner believes an oral argument allowing 60 minutes per side would be sufficient. Petitioner currently anticipates that no more than five (5) individuals will attend the oral argument on its behalf. Petitioner requests permission to use audio/visual equipment to display demonstrative exhibits.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: August 25, 2025

By: /Jacob L. Peterson /
Jacob L. Peterson (Reg. No. 65,096)

Counsel for Petitioner
Luxottica of America Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies service on Patent Owner, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.6(e) and by agreement of counsel, by electronic (e-mail) delivery of a true copy of the foregoing **PETITIONER'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT** was served on August 25, 2025 to the following Counsel for Patent Owner:

Brian Eutermoser
Mikaela Stone
KING & SPALDING LLP
1401 Lawrence Street, Suite 1900
Denver, CO 80202
beutermoser@kslaw.com
mikaela.stone@kslaw.com
eVision-IPRS@kslaw.com

Christopher C. Campbell
KING & SPALDING LLP
1700 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Suite 900
Washington, D.C. 20006
ccampbell@kslaw.com

Dated: August 25, 2025

By: / Jacob L. Peterson /
Jacob L. Peterson (Reg. No. 65,096)

Counsel for Petitioner
Luxottica of America Inc.