From:	Trials
To:	Derek Vandenburgh; Trials
Cc:	Aaron W Pederson; Nate D Louwagie; Ryan Rypka; Peter M Kohlhepp; chris.mizzo@kirkland.com; Rabinowitz, Alan; greg.arovas@kirkland.com; Hayes, Jennifer; Stringfield, Daniel; Christopher, Angelo; Zheng, Helena
Subject:	RE: Qualcomm Inc. v. Network Systems Technologies, LLC IPR2024-01081; Samsung Elec. Co. Ltd. v. Network Systems Technologies, LLC IPR2024-00338
Date:	Thursday, July 11, 2024 8:34:00 AM

Counsel,

From the Board –

The Board considers a conference call with counsel in IPR2024-00338 and IPR2024-01081 unnecessary. The Board intends to decide the earlier-filed motion, i.e., the motion for joinder in IPR2024-01081 filed on June 21, 2024, before deciding the later-filed motion, i.e., the motion to terminate in IPR2024-00338 filed on July 8, 2024 (based on a request for authorization submitted on June 28, 2024).

Regards,

Esther Goldschlager
Supervisory Paralegal Specialist
Patent Trial & Appeal Board
U.S. Patent & Trademark Office

From: Derek Vandenburgh <DVandenburgh@carlsoncaspers.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 9, 2024 3:11 PM
To: Trials <Trials@USPTO.GOV>
Cc: Aaron W Pederson <APederson@carlsoncaspers.com>; Nate D Louwagie <NLouwagie@carlsoncaspers.com>; Ryan Rypka <RRypka@carlsoncaspers.com>; Peter M Kohlhepp <PKohlhepp@carlsoncaspers.com>; chris.mizzo@kirkland.com; Rabinowitz, Alan <alan.rabinowitz@kirkland.com>; greg.arovas@kirkland.com; Hayes, Jennifer <jenhayes@nixonpeabody.com>; Stringfield, Daniel <dstringfield@nixonpeabody.com>; Christopher, Angelo <achristopher@nixonpeabody.com>; Zheng, Helena <hzheng@nixonpeabody.com>
Subject: Qualcomm Inc. v. Network Systems Technologies, LLC IPR2024-01081; Samsung Elec. Co. Ltd. v. Network Systems Technologies, LLC IPR2024-00338

CAUTION: This email has originated from a source outside of USPTO. **PLEASE CONSIDER THE SOURCE** before responding, clicking on links, or opening attachments.

Dear Board,

I represent Petitioner Qualcomm in IPR2024-01081. Qualcomm filed the -01081 IPR on June 21, 2024 and moved to join an instituted IPR, Samsung v. NST, IPR2024-00338. Qualcomm's -01081 IPR and the instituted -00338IPR challenge the same claims of the same patent on the same grounds. Yesterday, Samsung and NST filed a Joint Motion to Terminate the instituted -00338 IPR.

Qualcomm respectfully requests a call with the Board to discuss the possibility of the Board either expediting its decision on Qualcomm's Motion for Joinder in the -01081 IPR prior to terminating the -00338 IPR, or delaying a ruling on the Joint Motion to Terminate in the -00338 IPR until Qualcomm's motion for joinder can be taken up by the Board, as the Board has done in other similar cases.

We are sending this email prior to conferring with counsel for Samsung and NST, because we wanted to make sure the Board did not act on the motion to terminate the -00338 IPR without being aware of Qualcomm's joinder motion filed in the -01081 IPR. We will confer with counsel for NST and Samsung, and provide proposed dates and times for a call with the Board as soon as possible.

Best regards,
Derek Vandenburgh

cc. Counsel of record

J. Derek Vandenburgh
Carlson, Caspers, Vandenburgh, &
Lindquist, P.A.
225 S. Sixth St., Suite 4200,
Minneapolis,MN 55402
Direct: 612.436.9618
Cell: 612-991-3952
DVandenburgh@carlsoncaspers.com
carlsoncaspers.com
BIO | vCard | Disclaimers