



Planet Depos®
We Make It *Happen™*

Transcript of PTAB Conference Call

Date: January 30, 2025

Case: Mobileye Global, Inc. -v- Facet Technology Corp. (PTAB)

Planet Depos

Phone: 888.433.3767 | **Email:** transcripts@planetdepos.com

www.planetdepos.com

Michigan #8598 | Nevada #089F | New Mexico #566

WORLDWIDE COURT REPORTING & LITIGATION TECHNOLOGY

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MOBILEYE GLOBAL, INC.,

Petitioner,

V.

FACET TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION,

Patent Owner.

IPR2024-01110

IPR2024-01111

Patent 9,335,255 B2

CONFERENCE CALL

Conducted telephonically

Thursday, January 30, 2025

11:00 a.m.

Job No.: 570398

Pages: 1 - 22

Reported by: Linda M. Bahur, RPR

1 CONFERENCE CALL, conducted telephonically.

2

3

4

5

6

7 Pursuant to Notice, before Linda M. Bahur,
8 Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public of
9 the State of Maryland.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

A P P E A R A N C E S

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER,
LLP

Cory C. Bell, Esquire

Robert K. High, Esquire

2 Seaport Lane

Boston, MA 02210

ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER:

DICKINSON WRIGHT PLLC

Michael Saunders, Esquire

Bryan Atkinson, Esquire

607 W. 3rd Street

Suite 2500

Austin, TX 78701

1 P R O C E E D I N G S

2 JUDGE O'HALLORAN: Okay. Good morning,
3 everybody. This is Judge O'Halloran. I have Judge
4 Turner and Judge Silverman on the line with me. This
5 is a conference call for two proceedings,
6 IPR2024-01110 and IPR2024-01111, both captioned
7 Mobileye Global, Incorporated versus Facet Technology,
8 Incorporation.

9 Who is on the line for Petitioner?

10 MR. BELL: Yes, Your Honor. Cory Bell for
11 Petitioner, and with me on the line is Robert High.
12 We also have a court reporter.

13 JUDGE O'HALLORAN: Well, that was going to
14 be my next question. Mr. Bell, I ask that you please
15 file the transcript for this cause and exhibit in each
16 of these proceedings. But I would like you, please,
17 to provide counsel for Patent Owner an opportunity to
18 review the transcript for inaccuracy before you file
19 it with the Board.

20 MR. BELL: Yes. I'd be able to, Your
21 Honor.

22 JUDGE O'HALLORAN: Thank you. And who is

1 on the line for Patent Owner, please?

2 MR. SAUNDERS: Thank you, Your Honor.

3 Michael Saunders of Dickinson Wright for Patent Owner
4 Facet Technology Corp. I believe also with me on the
5 line is my colleague, Brian Atkinson.

6 MR. ATKINSON: Yeah, I'm here.

7 JUDGE O'HALLORAN: Thank you, Mr. Saunders.
8 Do you also happen to have a -- happen to have a court
9 reporter on the line?

10 MR. SAUNDERS: No, Your Honor.

11 JUDGE O'HALLORAN: That's not signed on.
12 We only need one.

13 THE REPORTER: I'm sorry. I didn't hear
14 that. I am the court reporter.

15 JUDGE O'HALLORAN: Okay. And what's your
16 name, ma'am?

17 THE REPORTER: My name is Linda Bahur,
18 B-A-H-U-R.

19 JUDGE O'HALLORAN: Thank you, Ms. Bahur.
20 We will give you time at the end of the call to
21 request any spellings that you may have.

22 THE REPORTER: The only question or the

1 only issue I would have is the speaker IDs because I
2 can't see anyone and sometimes the voices sound alike.
3 So I guess if you can identify yourself before you
4 speak, that would really help.

5 JUDGE O'HALLORAN: Sure. As I said, this
6 is Judge O'Halloran and I think it will be clear when
7 counsel for the parties are speaking.

8 THE REPORTER: Sure.

9 JUDGE O'HALLORAN: Okay. So the agenda for
10 today is call -- the purpose of the call is to address
11 Petitioner's concerns regarding Patent Owner's
12 preliminary surreply.

13 But before we get started with that, I
14 wanted to remind the parties that emails to the Board
15 may not contain substantive argument. This
16 instruction is in virtually all of our scheduling
17 orders. So please keep this that in mind with any
18 future emails to the Board.

19 I understand that you want to get some
20 details to us so that, you know, potentially we can
21 respond via email without having to have a conference
22 call. An email requesting this conference call became

1 an excessive amount of argument. So just keep that in
2 mind for future emails to the Board.

3 So moving to the topics for today's
4 conference call. As I understand it, Mr. Bell, you
5 have basically two concerns. You assert that Patent
6 Owner included improper arguments in its preliminary
7 surreply and Patent Owner improperly submitted new
8 evidence with its surreply. Is that a fair summary?

9 MR. BELL: It is, Your Honor.

10 JUDGE O'HALLORAN: So regarding the new
11 argument issue, Mr. Bell, I understand your concern to
12 be regarding the Fintiv arguments; correct? It's not
13 regarding claim construction; is that correct?

14 MR. BELL: Yes, Your Honor. It's only --
15 and just to be super clear, we don't take issue with
16 the arguments about the improper rulemaking and
17 Fintiv. That is clearly responsive. It is just the
18 part of the Fintiv arguments based on these RPI
19 allegations.

20 JUDGE O'HALLORAN: So in your preliminary
21 reply, you present and insert a Sotera stipulation,
22 and in its preliminary surreply, Patent Owner argues

1 that that stipulation is inadequate. I don't --
2 inadequate. I'm sorry. I don't see how there are any
3 improper new arguments.

4 MR. BELL: Sure, Your Honor. I can walk
5 you through why I believe those arguments were not
6 responsive, and it kind of starts with the second
7 issue, which is that they're all based on new
8 evidence, which I'll read -- the order was that
9 neither party was allowed to submit new evidence. And
10 what happened here is it's not actually responsive to
11 the Sotera stipulation. It's in response to the
12 original argument.

13 So the original argument made in the
14 preliminary response was that -- was based on
15 Petitioner and the corresponding district court
16 litigation. We mooted that by making a Sotera
17 stipulation. And what happened in the surreply was
18 Petitioner just shifted to an entirely new set of
19 theories based on different entities and different
20 litigations. And my read of the Trial Practice Guide
21 is this fits squarely on the distinction that the
22 Board has brought time and time again. There is a

1 difference between defending your original position,
2 which these new arguments are not, and advancing an
3 entirely new theory based on different evidence,
4 different parties, just an entirely different theory
5 on why the Board should exercise its discretion.

6 Accordingly, that's why, in our view, this
7 is not a responsive argument. In fact, the whole
8 issue of a Sotera is actually kind of irrelevant. I
9 think Patent Owner would have made his arguments
10 regardless of whatever argument we made because the
11 issue is as we were only permitted to submit a
12 response to the arguments actually made and we could
13 not address arguments that were not made.

14 JUDGE O'HALLORAN: Okay. Mr. Bell, I'm
15 going to interrupt you. As I read these arguments,
16 it's saying that your stipulation is "facially
17 inadequate." I don't see these as being the arguments
18 that -- I think these arguments are in response to
19 your Sotera stipulation.

20 I understand your position. I don't think
21 I actually need to hear anything from Patent Owner on
22 this because to the extent that you request

1 authorization for a motion to strike, that request is
2 denied because I think these arguments in the
3 preliminary surreply are in response to the Sotera
4 stipulation that you made in your preliminary reply.

5 I'm going to move onto the next topic now
6 regarding the issue of the exhibits Patent Owner filed
7 with its preliminary surreply. I agree that these
8 exhibits were not authorized.

9 Mr. Saunders, the email requesting this
10 conference indicated that you believed we did
11 authorize you to file evidence with your preliminary
12 surreply, which I'm going to say that is a position I
13 find hard to believe. Is that actually your position?

14 MR. SAUNDERS: Your Honor, we have two
15 positions. But one, we do believe in good faith based
16 on how the order was structured, that the restriction
17 on exhibits was referring to the preliminary reply,
18 not the preliminary surreply.

19 But the second point, Your Honors, we're
20 now asking for authorization that those exhibits be
21 filed. There was a very short period of time given
22 in the window between the reply and the surreply, and

1 we do think the exhibits are appropriate and necessary
2 to respond to the scope of Petitioner's stipulation,
3 which was a new fact. It was not a fact that was
4 available for us to address in a preliminary response.
5 And because that stipulation is a new fact, it was
6 appropriate to raise the issue of that. And because
7 these are undisclosed RPIs that are not in their
8 disclosures, the only way we could show that issue to
9 the Board about the scope was by pointing to new
10 exhibits.

11 So we request now that the Board authorize
12 the filing of those exhibits.

13 JUDGE O'HALLORAN: Okay. Getting back to
14 my original question. We'll deal with whether we
15 should consider them in a moment.

16 What language in our email do you think
17 authorized you to file -- I can understand perhaps a
18 brand new attorney who has never appeared before us
19 before to say that they thought they were authorized
20 to file exhibits with a surreply. But Mr. Saunders,
21 you've appeared before us 30 times. I find it very
22 hard to believe that you thought you were authorized

1 to file any exhibits without requesting beforehand to
2 do so.

3 What language in our email do you think
4 granted you that authority?

5 MR. SAUNDERS: Your Honor, as we noted, it
6 was the structure of the email. It appeared that it
7 was authorizing response to the -- response to the
8 preliminary reply, and then there's the structure that
9 said there's no evidence authorized regarding the
10 preliminary reply.

11 In retrospect, Your Honor, I see your point
12 and it would have been better to ask for authorization
13 earlier than it was asked for.

14 JUDGE O'HALLORAN: That's in addition to
15 verifying that you should request authorization to
16 file exhibits because of new evidence.

17 So let's move on to -- you know, we'll
18 allow you to make arguments now as to why we should
19 consider these exhibits.

20 I understand that from what you said
21 earlier, it's to support your position, I guess, that
22 -- alleged real parties-in-interest that were not

1 named have done certain things in district court; is
2 that correct?

3 MR. SAUNDERS: That's correct, Your Honor.

4 JUDGE O'HALLORAN: Mr. Bell, do you -- I'm
5 sorry. Mr. Saunders, do you have anything else to
6 say?

7 MR. SAUNDERS: I wasn't clear if you had
8 any further questions.

9 JUDGE O'HALLORAN: I don't have questions,
10 but if you want to say something else about the
11 exhibits, go ahead.

12 MR. SAUNDERS: The only other point, Your
13 Honor, is I think this is the same issue regarding the
14 motion to strike. It's the reason why that they are
15 relevant and should be authorized is because of the
16 same reason that, you know, the motion to strike was
17 denied. It's addressing directly the scope of the
18 stipulation and it's -- they're public documents
19 necessary to raise that and address that issue fully.

20 JUDGE O'HALLORAN: Okay. Thank you,
21 Mr. Saunders.

22 Mr. Bell, do you have any comments on

1 whether we should consider these exhibits?

2 MR. BELL: Yes, Your Honor. I think I have
3 similar disbelief that they thought this was
4 authorized. I think it sets a really bad precedent to
5 allow a party to self-help and then allow them to
6 introduce it later, particularly here where it creates
7 a number of APA problems because there's now a whole
8 bunch of evidence, but invariably for institution that
9 we do not have the opportunity to respond to. I think
10 that's actually the biggest reason.

11 The other point I just would like to make
12 is that the claim that this was kind of needed to be
13 raised right now as part of the good cause, there's no
14 reason this argument could not have been made sooner.
15 All these exhibits existed at the time of our
16 preliminary response. In fact, in the emails to the
17 Board, Patent Owner's counsel cited as the reason we
18 should have been aware that they might make these
19 arguments to one of his prior cases where he made
20 similar arguments in a preliminary response.

21 And, to me, that just shows that Counsel
22 was aware that it had these arguments to make before

1 them, and as evidence, it should have been presented
2 in a timely manner so that we could have had the
3 opportunity to respond and wanted to make these
4 arguments. That's all.

5 JUDGE O'HALLORAN: Do you contest the
6 validity of those exhibits? As I understand, they're
7 documents from -- allegedly documents from district
8 court. Do you contest that or do you concede that
9 those are the documents that were filed in district
10 court?

11 MR. BELL: Your Honor, we don't contest the
12 accuracy of those documents, the problem is it now
13 raises a whole bunch of factual contentions that we
14 don't have the opportunity to respond to.

15 For example, it doesn't bring up the venue
16 motions and a whole bunch of other things in district
17 court that we would have cited as we were fighting
18 about the actual cases that were happening there.

19 So my issue was not just -- it's not that
20 the evidence is inauthentic, but that we haven't had
21 the ability to respond to it and it also paints an
22 incomplete picture of the status of district court

1 litigations.

2 JUDGE O'HALLORAN: So would a fair remedy
3 be to allow you additional briefing on the limited
4 topic of these five, I believe it is, exhibits?

5 MR. BELL: I think that is a potential
6 remedy. My main issue with that it sets a -- in my
7 view, it sets a bad precedent to invite parties to
8 self-help. I think the appropriate remedy is when a
9 party clearly disobeys the Board's order, that the
10 disobeying portions of the order are struck and not
11 entered into the case.

12 JUDGE O'HALLORAN: Okay. Thank you, Mr.
13 Bell.

14 Mr. Saunders, do you have anything to say
15 in reply?

16 MR. SAUNDERS: Yes, Your Honor. First of
17 all, this RPI issue really -- first of all, it was not
18 relevant at all at the time of the preliminary
19 response because the Petitioners themselves had no
20 substantive Fintiv argument. The earlier case that
21 counsel is referring to is a case where in the --
22 there was already a Sotera stipulation off an earlier

1 stage in the litigation.

2 And going to this issue of the RPI, this
3 should not have been a surprise to Petitioner. We
4 submit the Petitioner should have addressed it in
5 their preliminary reply. First of all, this is an
6 issue that's frequently litigated before the Board
7 about the scope of RPIs and in a stipulation, that the
8 exhibits are exhibits from cases that Mobileye and its
9 related entities are all parties to, that the two
10 entities are subsidiaries of Mobileye.

11 And the other thing is the issue of whether
12 Mobileye, which is the correct Mobileye entity for
13 district court purposes, is very actively litigated
14 issues as shown in the exhibits themselves. And
15 together, all of that should have put Petitioner on
16 notice. They should have addressed the scope of the
17 stipulation and those related parties in their
18 preliminary reply.

19 But certainly we would think that if
20 there's any prejudice to Petitioner from that, it
21 would be readily addressed by providing for a short
22 response.

1 JUDGE O'HALLORAN: Okay. Thank you, both.
2 I'm going to ask you to please hold the line for a
3 minute while I confer with my colleagues.

4 (Brief break.)

5 JUDGE O'HALLORAN: This is Judge
6 O'Halloran. I'm going to authorize additional
7 briefing on the limited topic of the five exhibits.

8 Mr. Bell, your comment about a bad
9 precedent, we understand that. I think the
10 acknowledgement we provided earlier on this call is
11 disincentive enough to try, you know, submitting
12 unauthorized evidence again, but in the interest of
13 justice, we're going to allow -- we're going to
14 consider the exhibits and we'll allow the additional
15 briefing that I mentioned.

16 So Mr. Bell, how many pages do you think
17 you would need? Would three pages be enough?

18 MR. BELL: I guess I have two questions.
19 One, we will likely have counter-exhibits as we will
20 want to submit to provide an accurate picture of the
21 district court cases. Because under the APA, you
22 know, we get to respond with both arguments and

1 evidence.

2 And the issue, or the trouble I'm having
3 right now committing to a number of pages is having
4 dealt with RPI accusations back when the Board was
5 considering them. You know, these things are the type
6 of things that were often addressed by declarations
7 and really detailed briefing. That seems complicated
8 to do in a shortened window we have and would be very
9 difficult to do in a short number of pages.

10 I don't think three pages will be adequate.
11 I will do my best with whatever number of pages you
12 are willing to give me, and I will do my best to try
13 to preserve my client's rights because I think it's
14 going to be really hard to put our RPI defense in a
15 short time period.

16 JUDGE O'HALLORAN: How many exhibits do you
17 foresee having to file?

18 MR. BELL: It would likely be less than
19 five.

20 JUDGE O'HALLORAN: Okay. I just want to go
21 offline, confer with my colleagues again.

22 (Brief break taken.)

1 JUDGE O'HALLORAN: This is Judge
2 O'Halloran. I conferred with my colleagues and we're
3 going to authorize each party to file an additional
4 brief, up to six pages, and we're going to
5 preauthorize or authorize the filing of five exhibits.
6 And if you, for some reason need more exhibits, send
7 an email request to us.

8 Now, as far as timeline to do this, we need
9 to get these -- this briefing in relatively quickly.
10 The DI due date is approaching. So I think we're
11 going to give each party a week to make their filings.
12 So it would be February 7th for Petitioner, the 14th
13 for Patent Owner. We'll issue an order after this
14 that will contain all of this information. I just
15 want to provide that to you as well so you can get
16 started with your papers.

17 Do either of you have any questions?

18 Mr. Bell, any questions?

19 MR. BELL: I have no questions, Your
20 Honor.

21 JUDGE O'HALLORAN: Mr. Saunders?

22 MR. SAUNDERS: No questions, Your Honor.

1 JUDGE O'HALLORAN: Okay. Thank you. That
2 concludes the merits of this call. Let's go off the
3 record.

4 (Off the record.)

5 JUDGE O'HALLORAN: Well, again, thank you.
6 Thank you all for your time this morning and I guess
7 we'll issue that order shortly, and the call is
8 adjourned.

9 (Conference call concluded at 11:29
10 a.m.)

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21


22

1 CERTIFICATE OF SHORTHAND REPORTER - NOTARY PUBLIC

2 I, Linda M. Bahur, Registered
3 Professional Reporter, the officer before whom the
4 foregoing proceedings were taken, do hereby
5 certify that the foregoing transcript is a true
6 and correct record of the proceedings; that said
7 proceedings were taken by me stenographically and
8 thereafter reduced to typewriting under my
9 supervision; and that I am neither counsel for,
10 related to, nor employed by any of the parties to
11 this case and have no interest, financial or
12 otherwise, in its outcome.

13 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set
14 my hand and affixed my notarial seal this 10th day
15 of February, 2024.

16
17 My commission expires August 27, 2027.

18
19
20 _____

21 NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR
22 THE STATE OF MARYLAND

Transcript of PTAB Conference Call
Conducted on January 30, 2025

23

A			
ability	after	16:14	12:12, 12:15
15:21	20:13	apa	authorize
able	again	14:7, 18:21	10:11, 11:11,
4:20	8:22, 18:12,	appeal	18:6, 20:3, 20:5
about	19:21, 21:5	1:2	authorized
7:16, 11:9,	agenda	appeared	10:8, 11:17,
13:10, 15:18,	6:9	11:18, 11:21,	11:19, 11:22,
17:7, 18:8	agree	12:6	12:9, 13:15,
accordingly	10:7	approaching	14:4
9:6	ahead	20:10	authorizing
accuracy	13:11	appropriate	12:7
15:12	alike	11:1, 11:6,	available
accurate	6:2	16:8	11:4
18:20	all	argues	aware
accusations	6:16, 8:7,	7:22	14:18, 14:22
19:4	14:15, 15:4,	argument	
acknowledgement	16:17, 16:18,	6:15, 7:1,	B
18:10	17:5, 17:9,	7:11, 8:12,	b-a-h-u-r
actively	17:15, 20:14,	8:13, 9:7, 9:10,	5:18
17:13	21:6	14:14, 16:20	b2
actual	allegations	arguments	1:13
15:18	7:19	7:6, 7:12,	back
actually	alleged	7:16, 7:18, 8:3,	11:13, 19:4
8:10, 9:8,	12:22	8:5, 9:2, 9:9,	bad
9:12, 9:21,	allegedly	9:12, 9:13,	14:4, 16:7,
10:13, 14:10	15:7	9:15, 9:17,	18:8
addition	allow	9:18, 10:2,	bahur
12:14	12:18, 14:5,	12:18, 14:19,	1:22, 2:7,
additional	16:3, 18:13,	14:20, 14:22,	5:17, 5:19, 22:2
16:3, 18:6,	18:14	15:4, 18:22	based
18:14, 20:3	allowed	asked	7:18, 8:7,
address	8:9	12:13	8:14, 8:19, 9:3,
6:10, 9:13,	already	asking	10:15
11:4, 13:19	16:22	10:20	basically
addressed	also	assert	7:5
17:4, 17:16,	4:12, 5:4, 5:8,	7:5	became
17:21, 19:6	15:21	atkinson	6:22
addressing	amount	3:13, 5:5, 5:6	because
13:17	7:1	attorney	6:1, 9:10,
adequate	any	11:18	9:22, 10:2,
19:10	5:21, 6:17,	august	11:5, 11:6,
adjourned	8:2, 12:1, 13:8,	22:17	12:16, 13:15,
21:8	13:22, 17:20,	austin	14:7, 16:19,
advancing	20:17, 20:18,	3:16	18:21, 19:13
9:2	22:10	authority	been
affixed	anyone	12:4	12:12, 14:14,
22:14	6:2	authorization	14:18, 15:1,
	anything	10:1, 10:20,	17:3
	9:21, 13:5,		

Transcript of PTAB Conference Call
Conducted on January 30, 2025

24

before 1:2, 2:7, 4:18, 6:3, 6:13, 11:18, 11:19, 11:21, 14:22, 17:6, 22:3 beforehand 12:1 behalf 3:2, 3:10 being 9:17 believe 5:4, 8:5, 10:13, 10:15, 11:22, 16:4 believed 10:10 bell 3:5, 4:10, 4:14, 4:20, 7:4, 7:9, 7:11, 7:14, 8:4, 9:14, 13:4, 13:22, 14:2, 15:11, 16:5, 16:13, 18:8, 18:16, 18:18, 19:18, 20:18, 20:19 best 19:11, 19:12 better 12:12 between 9:1, 10:22 biggest 14:10 board 1:2, 4:19, 6:14, 6:18, 7:2, 8:22, 9:5, 11:9, 11:11, 14:17, 17:6, 19:4 board's 16:9 boston 3:8 both 4:6, 18:1,	18:22 brand 11:18 break 18:4, 19:22 brian 5:5 brief 18:4, 19:22, 20:4 briefing 16:3, 18:7, 18:15, 19:7, 20:9 bring 15:15 brought 8:22 bryan 3:13 bunch 14:8, 15:13, 15:16 C call 1:15, 2:1, 4:5, 5:20, 6:10, 6:22, 7:4, 18:10, 21:2, 21:7, 21:9 can't 6:2 captioned 4:6 case 16:11, 16:20, 16:21, 22:11 cases 14:19, 15:18, 17:8, 18:21 cause 4:15, 14:13 certain 13:1 certainly 17:19 certificate 22:1	certify 22:5 cited 14:17, 15:17 claim 7:13, 14:12 clear 6:6, 7:15, 13:7 clearly 7:17, 16:9 client's 19:13 colleague 5:5 colleagues 18:3, 19:21, 20:2 comment 18:8 comments 13:22 commission 22:17 committing 19:3 complicated 19:7 concede 15:8 concern 7:11 concerns 6:11, 7:5 concluded 21:9 concludes 21:2 conducted 1:16, 2:1 confer 18:3, 19:21 conference 1:15, 2:1, 4:5, 6:21, 6:22, 7:4, 10:10, 21:9 conferred 20:2 consider 11:15, 12:19,	14:1, 18:14 considering 19:5 construction 7:13 contain 6:15, 20:14 contentions 15:13 contest 15:5, 15:8, 15:11 corp 5:4 corporation 1:7 correct 7:12, 7:13, 13:2, 13:3, 17:12, 22:6 corresponding 8:15 cory 3:5, 4:10 could 9:12, 11:8, 14:14, 15:2 counsel 4:17, 6:7, 14:17, 14:21, 16:21, 22:9 counter-exhibits 18:19 court 4:12, 5:8, 5:14, 8:15, 13:1, 15:8, 15:10, 15:17, 15:22, 17:13, 18:21 creates 14:6 D date 20:10 day 22:14
---	---	--	---

Transcript of PTAB Conference Call
Conducted on January 30, 2025

25

deal 11:14	15:9, 15:12	9:3, 10:11, 12:9, 12:16, 14:8, 15:1, 15:20, 18:12, 19:1	farabow 3:3
dealt 19:4	done 13:1	example 15:15	february 20:12, 22:15
declarations 19:6	due 20:10	excessive 7:1	fighting 15:17
defending 9:1	dunner 3:3	exercise 9:5	file 4:15, 4:18, 10:11, 11:17, 11:20, 12:1, 12:16, 19:17, 20:3
defense 19:14	E	exhibit 4:15	filed 10:6, 10:21, 15:9
denied 10:2, 13:17	each 4:15, 20:3, 20:11	exhibits 10:6, 10:8, 10:17, 10:20, 11:1, 11:10, 11:12, 11:20, 12:1, 12:16, 12:19, 13:11, 14:1, 14:15, 15:6, 16:4, 17:8, 17:14, 18:7, 18:14, 19:16, 20:5, 20:6	filing 11:12, 20:5
detailed 19:7	earlier 12:13, 12:21, 16:20, 16:22, 18:10	existed 14:15	filings 20:11
details 6:20	either 20:17	expires 22:17	financial 22:11
di 20:10	else 13:5, 13:10	extent 9:22	find 10:13, 11:21
dickinson 3:11, 5:3	email 6:21, 6:22, 10:9, 11:16, 12:3, 12:6, 20:7	F	finnegan 3:3
difference 9:1	emails 6:14, 6:18, 7:2, 14:16	facet 1:7, 4:7, 5:4	fintiv 7:12, 7:17, 7:18, 16:20
different 8:19, 9:3, 9:4	employed 22:10	facially 9:16	first 16:16, 16:17, 17:5
difficult 19:9	end 5:20	fact 9:7, 11:3, 11:5, 14:16	fits 8:21
directly 13:17	enough 18:11, 18:17	factual 15:13	five 16:4, 18:7, 19:19, 20:5
disbelief 14:3	entered 16:11	fair 7:8, 16:2	foregoing 22:4, 22:5
disclosures 11:8	entirely 8:18, 9:3, 9:4	faith 10:15	foresee 19:17
discretion 9:5	entities 8:19, 17:9, 17:10	far 20:8	frequently 17:6
disincentive 18:11	entity 17:12		fully 13:19
disobeying 16:10	esquire 3:5, 3:6, 3:12, 3:13		further 13:8
disobeys 16:9	everybody 4:3		future 6:18, 7:2
distinction 8:21	evidence 7:8, 8:8, 8:9,		
district 8:15, 13:1, 15:7, 15:9, 15:16, 15:22, 17:13, 18:21			
documents 13:18, 15:7,			

Transcript of PTAB Conference Call
Conducted on January 30, 2025

26

G garrett 3:3 getting 11:13 give 5:20, 19:12, 20:11 given 10:21 global 1:4, 4:7 go 13:11, 19:20, 21:2 going 4:13, 9:15, 10:5, 10:12, 17:2, 18:2, 18:6, 18:13, 19:14, 20:3, 20:4, 20:11 good 4:2, 10:15, 14:13 granted 12:4 guess 6:3, 12:21, 18:18, 21:6 guide 8:20	help 6:4 henderson 3:3 here 5:6, 8:10, 14:6 hereby 22:4 hereunto 22:13 high 3:6, 4:11 hold 18:2 honor 4:10, 4:21, 5:2, 5:10, 7:9, 7:14, 8:4, 10:14, 12:5, 12:11, 13:3, 13:13, 14:2, 15:11, 16:16, 20:20, 20:22 honors 10:19	incorporation 4:8 indicated 10:10 information 20:14 insert 7:21 institution 14:8 instruction 6:16 interest 18:12, 22:11 interrupt 9:15 introduce 14:6 invariably 14:8 invite 16:7 ipr 1:10, 1:11, 4:6 irrelevant 9:8 issue 6:1, 7:11, 7:15, 8:7, 9:8, 9:11, 10:6, 11:6, 11:8, 13:13, 13:19, 15:19, 16:6, 16:17, 17:2, 17:6, 17:11, 19:2, 20:13, 21:7 issues 17:14	5:11, 5:15, 5:19, 6:5, 6:6, 6:9, 7:10, 7:20, 9:14, 11:13, 12:14, 13:4, 13:9, 13:20, 15:5, 16:2, 16:12, 18:1, 18:5, 19:16, 19:20, 20:1, 20:21, 21:1, 21:5 justice 18:13
H hand 22:14 happen 5:8 happened 8:10, 8:17 happening 15:18 hard 10:13, 11:22, 19:14 hear 5:13, 9:21	I identify 6:3 ids 6:1 improper 7:6, 7:16, 8:3 improperly 7:7 inaccuracy 4:18 inadequate 8:1, 8:2, 9:17 inauthentic 15:20 inc 1:4 included 7:6 incomplete 15:22 incorporated 4:7	J january 1:17 job 1:20 judge 4:2, 4:3, 4:4, 4:13, 4:22, 5:7,	K keep 6:17, 7:1 kind 8:6, 9:8, 14:12 know 6:20, 12:17, 13:16, 18:11, 18:22, 19:5 L lane 3:7 language 11:16, 12:3 later 14:6 less 19:18 let's 12:17, 21:2 likely 18:19, 19:18 limited 16:3, 18:7 linda 1:22, 2:7, 5:17, 22:2 line 4:4, 4:9, 4:11, 5:1, 5:5, 5:9, 18:2 litigated 17:6, 17:13

Transcript of PTAB Conference Call
Conducted on January 30, 2025

27

litigation 8:16, 17:1 litigations 8:20, 16:1 llp 3:4 M ma 3:8 ma'am 5:16 made 8:13, 9:9, 9:10, 9:12, 9:13, 10:4, 14:14, 14:19 main 16:6 make 12:18, 14:11, 14:18, 14:22, 15:3, 20:11 making 8:16 manner 15:2 many 18:16, 19:16 maryland 2:9, 22:22 mentioned 18:15 merits 21:2 michael 3:12, 5:3 might 14:18 mind 6:17, 7:2 minute 18:3 mobileye 1:4, 4:7, 17:8, 17:10, 17:12 moment 11:15	mooted 8:16 more 20:6 morning 4:2, 21:6 motion 10:1, 13:14, 13:16 motions 15:16 move 10:5, 12:17 moving 7:3 N name 5:16, 5:17 named 13:1 necessary 11:1, 13:19 need 5:12, 9:21, 18:17, 20:6, 20:8 needed 14:12 neither 8:9, 22:9 never 11:18 new 7:7, 7:10, 8:3, 8:7, 8:9, 8:18, 9:2, 9:3, 11:3, 11:5, 11:9, 11:18, 12:16 next 4:14, 10:5 notarial 22:14 notary 2:8, 22:1, 22:21 noted 12:5	notice 2:7, 17:16 number 14:7, 19:3, 19:9, 19:11 O o'halloran 4:2, 4:3, 4:13, 4:22, 5:7, 5:11, 5:15, 5:19, 6:5, 6:6, 6:9, 7:10, 7:20, 9:14, 11:13, 12:14, 13:4, 13:9, 13:20, 15:5, 16:2, 16:12, 18:1, 18:5, 18:6, 19:16, 19:20, 20:1, 20:2, 20:21, 21:1, 21:5 office 1:1 officer 22:3 offline 19:21 often 19:6 okay 4:2, 5:15, 6:9, 9:14, 11:13, 13:20, 16:12, 18:1, 19:20, 21:1 one 5:12, 10:15, 14:19, 18:19 only 5:12, 5:22, 6:1, 7:14, 9:11, 11:8, 13:12 opportunity 4:17, 14:9, 15:3, 15:14 order 8:8, 10:16, 16:9, 16:10, 20:13, 21:7 orders 6:17 original 8:12, 8:13, 9:1, 11:14 other 13:12, 14:11, 15:16, 17:11 otherwise 22:12 outcome 22:12 owner 1:8, 3:10, 4:17, 5:1, 5:3, 7:6, 7:7, 7:22, 9:9, 9:21, 10:6, 20:13 owner's 6:11, 14:17 P pages 1:21, 18:16, 18:17, 19:3, 19:9, 19:10, 19:11, 20:4 paints 15:21 papers 20:16 part 7:18, 14:13 particularly 14:6 parties 6:7, 6:14, 9:4, 16:7, 17:9, 17:17, 22:10 parties-in-inter- est 12:22 party 8:9, 14:5, 16:9, 20:3, 20:11
---	---	---

Transcript of PTAB Conference Call
Conducted on January 30, 2025

28

patent 1:1, 1:8, 1:13, 3:10, 4:17, 5:1, 5:3, 6:11, 7:5, 7:7, 7:22, 9:9, 9:21, 10:6, 14:17, 20:13 perhaps 11:17 period 10:21, 19:15 permitted 9:11 petitioner 1:5, 3:2, 4:9, 4:11, 8:15, 8:18, 17:3, 17:4, 17:15, 17:20, 20:12 petitioner's 6:11, 11:2 petitioners 16:19 picture 15:22, 18:20 please 4:14, 4:16, 5:1, 6:17, 18:2 pllc 3:11 point 10:19, 12:11, 13:12, 14:11 pointing 11:9 portions 16:10 position 9:1, 9:20, 10:12, 10:13, 12:21 positions 10:15 potential 16:5 potentially 6:20 practice 8:20	preauthorize 20:5 precedent 14:4, 16:7, 18:9 prejudice 17:20 preliminary 6:12, 7:6, 7:20, 7:22, 8:14, 10:3, 10:4, 10:7, 10:11, 10:17, 10:18, 11:4, 12:8, 12:10, 14:16, 14:20, 16:18, 17:5, 17:18 present 7:21 presented 15:1 preserve 19:13 prior 14:19 problem 15:12 problems 14:7 proceedings 4:5, 4:16, 22:4, 22:6, 22:7 professional 2:8, 22:3 provide 4:17, 18:20, 20:15 provided 18:10 providing 17:21 public 2:8, 13:18, 22:1, 22:21 purpose 6:10 purposes 17:13	pursuant 2:7 put 17:15, 19:14 Q question 4:14, 5:22, 11:14 questions 13:8, 13:9, 18:18, 20:17, 20:18, 20:19, 20:22 quickly 20:9 R raise 11:6, 13:19 raised 14:13 raises 15:13 read 8:8, 8:20, 9:15 readily 17:21 real 12:22 really 6:4, 14:4, 16:17, 19:7, 19:14 reason 13:14, 13:16, 14:10, 14:14, 14:17, 20:6 record 21:3, 21:4, 22:6 reduced 22:8 referring 10:17, 16:21 regarding 6:11, 7:10, 7:12, 7:13,	10:6, 12:9, 13:13 regardless 9:10 registered 2:8, 22:2 related 17:9, 17:17, 22:10 relatively 20:9 relevant 13:15, 16:18 remedy 16:2, 16:6, 16:8 remind 6:14 reply 7:21, 10:4, 10:17, 10:22, 12:8, 12:10, 16:15, 17:5, 17:18 reported 1:22 reporter 2:8, 4:12, 5:9, 5:13, 5:14, 5:17, 5:22, 6:8, 22:1, 22:3 request 5:21, 9:22, 10:1, 11:11, 12:15, 20:7 requesting 6:22, 10:9, 12:1 respond 6:21, 11:2, 14:9, 15:3, 15:14, 15:21, 18:22 response 8:11, 8:14, 9:12, 9:18, 10:3, 11:4, 12:7, 14:16,
---	---	---	--

Transcript of PTAB Conference Call
Conducted on January 30, 2025

29

14:20, 16:19, 17:22 responsive 7:17, 8:6, 8:10, 9:7 restriction 10:16 retrospect 12:11 review 4:18 right 14:13, 19:3 rights 19:13 robert 3:6, 4:11 rpi 7:18, 16:17, 17:2, 19:4, 19:14 rpis 11:7, 17:7 rpr 1:22 rulemaking 7:16 S said 6:5, 12:9, 12:20, 22:6 same 13:13, 13:16 saunders 3:12, 5:2, 5:3, 5:7, 5:10, 10:9, 10:14, 11:20, 12:5, 13:3, 13:5, 13:7, 13:12, 13:21, 16:14, 16:16, 20:21, 20:22 say 10:12, 11:19, 13:6, 13:10, 16:14 saying 9:16	scheduling 6:16 scope 11:2, 11:9, 13:17, 17:7, 17:16 seal 22:14 seaport 3:7 second 8:6, 10:19 see 6:2, 8:2, 9:17, 12:11 seems 19:7 self-help 14:5, 16:8 send 20:6 set 8:18, 22:13 sets 14:4, 16:6, 16:7 shifted 8:18 short 10:21, 17:21, 19:9, 19:15 shortened 19:8 shorthand 22:1 shortly 21:7 should 9:5, 11:15, 12:15, 12:18, 13:15, 14:1, 14:18, 15:1, 17:3, 17:4, 17:15, 17:16 show 11:8 shown 17:14	shows 14:21 signature-5tmlq 22:19 signed 5:11 silverman 4:4 similar 14:3, 14:20 six 20:4 some 6:19, 20:6 something 13:10 sometimes 6:2 sooner 14:14 sorry 5:13, 8:2, 13:5 sotera 7:21, 8:11, 8:16, 9:8, 9:19, 10:3, 16:22 sound 6:2 speak 6:4 speaker 6:1 speaking 6:7 spellings 5:21 squarely 8:21 stage 17:1 started 6:13, 20:16 starts 8:6 state 2:9, 22:22 states 1:1	status 15:22 stenographically 22:7 stipulation 7:21, 8:1, 8:11, 8:17, 9:16, 9:19, 10:4, 11:2, 11:5, 13:18, 16:22, 17:7, 17:17 street 3:14 strike 10:1, 13:14, 13:16 struck 16:10 structure 12:6, 12:8 structured 10:16 submit 8:9, 9:11, 17:4, 18:20 submitted 7:7 submitting 18:11 subsidiaries 17:10 substantive 6:15, 16:20 suite 3:15 summary 7:8 super 7:15 supervision 22:9 support 12:21 sure 6:5, 6:8, 8:4 surprise 17:3
--	---	---	---

Transcript of PTAB Conference Call
Conducted on January 30, 2025

30

surreply 6:12, 7:7, 7:8, 7:22, 8:17, 10:3, 10:7, 10:12, 10:18, 10:22, 11:20 T take 7:15 taken 19:22, 22:4, 22:7 technology 1:7, 4:7, 5:4 telephonically 1:16, 2:1 th 20:12, 22:14 thank 4:22, 5:2, 5:7, 5:19, 13:20, 16:12, 18:1, 21:1, 21:5, 21:6 themselves 16:19, 17:14 theories 8:19 theory 9:3, 9:4 thereafter 22:8 thing 17:11 things 13:1, 15:16, 19:5, 19:6 think 6:6, 9:9, 9:18, 9:20, 10:2, 11:1, 11:16, 12:3, 13:13, 14:2, 14:4, 14:9, 16:5, 16:8, 17:19, 18:9, 18:16, 19:10, 19:13, 20:10	thought 11:19, 11:22, 14:3 three 18:17, 19:10 through 8:5 thursday 1:17 time 5:20, 8:22, 10:21, 14:15, 16:18, 19:15, 21:6 timeline 20:8 timely 15:2 times 11:21 today 6:10 today's 7:3 together 17:15 topic 10:5, 16:4, 18:7 topics 7:3 trademark 1:1, 1:2 transcript 4:15, 4:18, 22:5 trial 1:2, 8:20 trouble 19:2 true 22:5 try 18:11, 19:12 turner 4:4 two 4:5, 7:5,	10:14, 17:9, 18:18 tx 3:16 type 19:5 typewriting 22:8 U unauthorized 18:12 under 18:21, 22:8 understand 6:19, 7:4, 7:11, 9:20, 11:17, 12:20, 15:6, 18:9 undisclosed 11:7 united 1:1 V validity 15:6 venue 15:15 verifying 12:15 versus 4:7 via 6:21 view 9:6, 16:7 virtually 6:16 voices 6:2 W walk 8:4 want 6:19, 13:10, 18:20, 19:20,	20:15 wanted 6:14, 15:3 way 11:8 we'll 11:14, 12:17, 18:14, 20:13, 21:7 we're 10:19, 18:13, 20:2, 20:4, 20:10 week 20:11 whatever 9:10, 19:11 whereof 22:13 whether 11:14, 14:1, 17:11 whole 9:7, 14:7, 15:13, 15:16 willing 19:12 window 10:22, 19:8 without 6:21, 12:1 witness 22:13 wright 3:11, 5:3 Y yeah 5:6 yourself 6:3 0 00 1:18 01110 1:10, 4:6 01111 1:11, 4:6
---	---	---	---

Transcript of PTAB Conference Call
Conducted on January 30, 2025

31

02210 3:8	9	
1	9,335,255 1:13	
10 22:14		
11 1:18, 21:9		
14 20:12		
2		
20 22:17		
2024 1:10, 1:11, 4:6, 22:15		
2025 1:17		
2027 22:17		
22 1:21		
2500 3:15		
27 22:17		
29 21:9		
3		
30 1:17, 11:21		
3rd 3:14		
5		
570398 1:20		
6		
607 3:14		
7		
78701 3:16		
7th 20:12		

PLANET DEPOS

888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM