



LegalMetric Individual Judge Report
Judge Leo Sorokin
Patent Cases
June 2014 to April 2023

This report contains confidential and proprietary information of LegalMetric, Inc.

The information contained in this report is obtained from the official docket records of the federal courts. No attempt has been made to correct that data. For example, cases may be misclassified in the official docket records. In addition, cases are classified only by the primary cause of action. Cases having multiple causes of action are analyzed only under the primary cause of action identified on the official court docket.

LegalMetric, Inc. is not a law firm, does not provide legal advice, and is not engaged in the practice of law. No attorney-client relationship exists between LegalMetric, Inc. and any user of its products. LegalMetric provides statistical and analytical information to anyone who desires to purchase that information. Any purchaser of LegalMetric products who wants legal advice should hire an attorney.

Overview:


Total Cases and Judgments

Judge Sorokin was appointed to the bench on June 10, 2014. This judge has been assigned 41 patent cases. Of these, 40 cases have been terminated. There have been judgments in favor of a party (includes consent and default judgments) in 5 case(s). The patent owner prevailed in 20.0% of these cases (while the accused infringer prevailed in 80.0% of these cases). (Note: In those instances where the judge previously served as a magistrate, earlier rulings issued while the judge was a magistrate are included in this report.)


	Nation	Sorokin		Total
Overall Win Rate	54.7	20.0	Overall Win Rate	20.0
Contested Win Rate	21.5	20.0	Contested Win Rate	20.0
Trial Win Rate	62.2	50.0	Trial Win Rate	50.0
Pendency (All Cases)	12.3	12.3	Pendency (All Cases)	12.3
Judgment Pendency	21.3	22.9	Judgment Pendency	22.9
Contested Pendency	27.2	22.9	Contested Pendency	22.9
Trial Pendency	37.3	46.2	Trial Pendency	46.2

Color Scheme: Red in the table above indicates a win rate more than 10% more favorable to the ACCUSED INFRINGER than the national average, or a pendency time at least 6 months SLOWER than the national average. Yellow indicates a win rate from 0% to 10% more favorable to the ACCUSED INFRINGER than the national average, or a pendency time from 0 to 6 months SLOWER than the national average. Bright (lime) green indicates a win rate from 0% to 10% more favorable to the PATENTEE than the national average, or a pendency time from 0 to 6 months FASTER than the national average. And dark green indicates a win rate more than 10% more favorable to the PATENTEE than the national average, or a pendency time over 6 months FASTER than the national average.

The number of patent cases assigned to Judge Sorokin by year is shown in the chart to the right. Note that this includes cases filed in previous years and reassigned to this judge, where applicable.




Number of Cases Decided, by Year



The number of patent cases decided by Judge Sorokin by year is shown in the chart to the left. This can include cases decided earlier which are subsequently assigned to this judge for post-decision rulings.


One- and Five-Year Percentage of Cases for This Judge



Fraction of Cases Assigned to This Judge, By Court: The fraction of cases assigned to this judge by court (and division if applicable) is shown in the chart to the left and in the table below. The figures are further broken out by percentage of cases filed in the previous five years and by percentage of cases filed in the previous year.

	Total	
	Percentage of Cases Filed in Last Five Years	Percentage of Cases Filed in Last Year
Total	7.8	7.4
Boston	8.6	8.7
Springfield	0.0	0.0
Worcester	0.0	0.0


Patent Case Outcomes for Judge Sorokin: The chart below shows the breakdown, by case outcome, for closed cases of this judge.



	Total
Total	40
Bench Trial	1
Consolidated	2
Involuntary Dismissal	3
Jury Verdict	1
Other Settlement	1
Other Termination	1
Transfer	3
Voluntary Dismissal	27
Want of Prosecution	1

Overview:
Contested Judgments


There have been 5 contested judgments in these cases (does not include consent and default judgments). The patent owner prevailed in 20.0% of these cases, while the accused infringer prevailed in 80.0% of these cases. These figures are compared to the corresponding nationwide numbers below. In addition, the patentee contested win rate for the judge by year is shown in the second chart below.



Overview:


Trials

There have been 2 terminations by trial in these cases (includes both bench and jury trials). The patent owner prevailed in 50.0% of these case, while the accused infringer prevailed in 50.0% of these cases. Trials on which judgment has not been entered are not included in these figures. These figures are compared to the corresponding nationwide numbers below.



Patentee Win Rates: By Nominating President: The number of patent cases in this district assigned to active judges and the win rates for those cases are shown below, broken out by the nominating president.

Number of Cases - by Nominating President




Number of Cases: The number of cases assigned to active judges in this district is shown in the chart to the left, broken out by nominating president. This includes all cases of the type covered by this report.

Patentee Win Rates:

The win rates for these cases, broken out by nominating president, are shown in the chart to the right. This includes overall win rates (includes consent and default judgments), contested win rates (does NOT include consent and default judgments), and trial win rates (cases decided by bench trial or jury verdict).

Win Rates by Nominating President




	Total					
	Overall Win Rate	# of Judgments	Contested Win Rate	# of Contested Judgments	Trial Win Rate	# of Trial Judgements
Barack Obama	28.2	39	16.7	30	66.7	6
George Bush	52.1	24	44.1	17	81.3	8
Jimmy Carter	66.7	33	44.4	18	77.8	9
Joseph R. Biden		0		0		0
Ronald Reagan	53.1	97	24.6	57	53.3	15
William J. Clinton	47.2	89	28.3	60	52.0	25

Overview:

Markman Rulings


There were 4 Markman/claim construction rulings in these cases, not counting rulings made in connection with summary judgment motions. The average time from case filing to Markman rulings was 18.2 months. The variation from year to year is shown below. For more information, see the Markman/Claim Construction section below.



Overview:

Summary Judgment

There were 5 summary judgment rulings on contested motions in these cases. The win rate on these contested motions was 40.0%. In this report, a decision granting a motion in part and denying it in part is treated as 1/2 a decision for the movant. The variation from year to year is shown below. For more information, see the Summary Judgment Motion section below.




Overview:

Stay Pending Reexamination and Stay Pending Inter Partes Review

The win rate on motions to stay pending reexamination and on motions to stay pending Inter Partes Review for this judge are shown in the chart and table below. The table also includes the number of such motion decisions. In this report, a decision granting a motion in part and denying it in part is treated as 1/2 a decision for the movant. The variation from year to year is shown below. For more information, see the Stay Motion section below.

Contested Stay Pending Reexam Win Rates, by Year




Total				
	Stay Pending Reexam Win Rate	# of Stay Pending Reexam Decisions	Stay Pending IPR Win Rate	# of Stay Pending IPR Decisions
Total		0	33.3	6
2015		0	0.0	1
2016		0	0.0	1
2019		0	50.0	2
2022		0	50.0	2

Overview:

Time to Disposition-All Cases


The average time from case filing to case disposition by Judge Sorokin is 12.3 months. The distribution of case terminations by month of litigation is shown below for the first 48 months of litigation. Cases still open at the end of 48 months, if any, are all lumped into month "49". Months with no closed cases are omitted from the chart.



Overview:

Time to Disposition-Cases with Judgments

The average time from case filing to case disposition by judgment in favor of a party (includes consent and default judgments) in these cases is 22.9 months. The distribution of judgments by month of litigation is shown below for the first 48 months of litigation. Cases still open at the end of 48 months, if any, are all lumped into month "49". Months with no closed cases are omitted from the chart.




Overview:

Time to Disposition-Contested Judgments

The average time from case filing to case disposition by contested judgment (does NOT include consent and default judgments) in these cases is 22.9 months. The distribution of judgments by month of litigation is shown below for the first 48 months of litigation. Cases still open at the end of 48 months, if any, are all lumped into month "49". Months with no closed cases are omitted from the chart.

Distribution of Cases Closed by Contested Judgment




Overview:

Time to Disposition-Trials

The average time from case filing to case disposition by trial (includes bench and jury trials) in these cases is 46.2 months. The distribution of judgments by month of litigation is shown below for the first 48 months of litigation. Cases still open at the end of 48 months, if any, are all lumped into month "49". Months with no closed cases are omitted from the chart.


Distribution of Cases Closed by Trial



Case Outcomes with Judgments:


The win rates for patent owners and accused infringers by various outcomes are shown below. Details for each outcome are set forth in the following sections of the report.

	Patentee Win Rate	Accused Infringer
Total	20.0	80.0
Bench Trial	0.0	100.0
Involuntary Dismissal	0.0	100.0
Jury Verdict	100.0	0.0



Bench Trial

There was/were 1 case(s) terminated by Bench Trial. The patentee win rate was 0.0% and the accused infringer win rate was 100.0%. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 42.0. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Prevailing Party	Pendency
Ethicon Endo-Surgery, Inc. et al v. Covidien LP et al	1:16cv12556	Bench Trial	Accused Infringer	42.0

Involuntary Dismissal

There was/were 3 case(s) terminated by Involuntary Dismissal. The patentee win rate was 0.0% and the accused infringer win rate was 100.0%. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 7.4. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Prevailing Party	Pendency
Schawbel Technologies LLC v. The Heat Factory USA, Inc.	1:18cv10227	Involuntary Dismissal	Accused Infringer	7.3
KCG Technologies, LLC v. CarMax Auto Superstores, Inc. et al	1:19cv11101	Involuntary Dismissal	Accused Infringer	6.5
Riggs Technology Holdings, LLC v. Cengage Learning, Inc.	1:21cv10778	Involuntary Dismissal	Accused Infringer	8.3

Jury Verdict

There was/were 1 case(s) terminated by Jury Verdict. The patentee win rate was 100.0% and the accused infringer win rate was 0.0%. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 50.5. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".



The supporting data is shown below.


Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Prevailing Party	Pendency
Crane Security Technologies, Inc. et al v. Rolling Optics AB	1:14cv12428	Jury Verdict	Patentee	50.5

Case Outcomes with No Judgments in Favor of a Party:

The cases terminated without a judgment in favor of a party are identified below, including the distribution of those outcomes by month of litigation.

Consolidation

There was/were 2 case(s) terminated by Consolidation. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 2.2. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Bell Semiconductor, LLC v. Advanced Micro Devices, Inc.	1:22cv11383	Consolidation	2.9
Bell Semiconductor, LLC v. Advanced Micro Devices, Inc.	1:22cv11783	Consolidation	1.4

Other Settlement

There was/were 1 case(s) terminated by Other Settlement. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 7.4. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
EcoFactor, Inc. v. Schneider Electric USA Inc. et al	1:19cv12326	Other Settlement	7.4

Other Termination

There was/were 1 case(s) terminated by Other Termination. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 25.9. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
ASM Assembly Systems Switzerland GmbH et al v. QTS Engineering, Inc.	1:16cv10919	Other Termination	25.9

Transfer

There was/were 3 case(s) terminated by Transfer. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 4.3. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Group-A Autosports, Inc. v. J2 Engineering, Inc. et al	1:14cv13001	Transfer	1.2
Philips Lighting North America Corporation et al v. Deco Enterprises, Inc.	1:17cv10624	Transfer	2.8
Avery Dennison RFID Company et al v. EVERYTHING Limited	1:21cv10719	Transfer	8.8

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 27 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 11.9. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
-----------	-------------	---------	----------

Tele-Cons, Inc. et al v. Harmony Lighting, Inc. et al	1:03cv11250	Voluntary Dismissal	68.6
Superfocus Holdings LLC v. Adlens USA Inc. et al	1:14cv14189	Voluntary Dismissal	3.7
Vite Technologies, LLC v. DePuy Mitek, LLC	1:14cv14697	Voluntary Dismissal	10.4
Vite Technologies, LLC v. Depuy Synthes Sales, Inc.	1:14cv14699	Voluntary Dismissal	10.4
Blue Water Investment LLC v. Whitmor, Inc. et al	1:15cv12152	Voluntary Dismissal	2.0
Koninklijke Philips N.V. et al v. Amerlux, LLC et al	1:15cv13086	Voluntary Dismissal	9.4
Nike, Inc v. PUMA North America, Inc.	1:18cv10876	Voluntary Dismissal	20.4
ASM Assembly Systems Weymouth Ltd. et al v. QTS Engineering, Inc.	1:18cv11214	Voluntary Dismissal	4.5
Scanning Technologies Innovations LLC v. Skycore LLC	1:19cv11000	Voluntary Dismissal	4.4
MCom IP, LLC v. Redpoint Global Inc.	1:21cv10657	Voluntary Dismissal	4.4
VPR Brands, LP v. BAE Worldwide LLC	1:21cv10971	Voluntary Dismissal	5.9
ePropelled Inc. v. Exro Technologies Inc.	1:22cv10090	Voluntary Dismissal	9.8
Bell Semiconductor, LLC v. MACOM Technology Solutions, Inc.	1:22cv11788	Voluntary Dismissal	3.5
Bell Semiconductor, LLC v. Infineon Technologies America Corporation	1:22cv11926	Voluntary Dismissal	2.7
DataTern, Inc. v. Vision Chain Inc.	1:11cv11976	Voluntary Dismissal	1.1

Voluntary Dismissal

There was/were 27 case(s) terminated by Voluntary Dismissal. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 11.9. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
PerkinElmer, Inc. et al v. Illumina, Inc.	1:14cv12831	Voluntary Dismissal	2.8
Murkland v. Hartman Products	1:15cv12770	Voluntary Dismissal	6.4
Spectro Scientific, Inc. v. Specac, Inc et al	1:16cv10819	Voluntary Dismissal	2.9
iRobot Corporation v. Bissell Homecare, Inc. et al	1:17cv10649	Voluntary Dismissal	9.0
iRobot Corporation v. Hoover Inc. et al	1:17cv10647	Voluntary Dismissal	24.4
iRobot Corporation v. The Black & Decker Corporation et al	1:17cv10648	Voluntary Dismissal	24.4
iRobot Corporation v. Bobsweep, Inc. et al	1:17cv10651	Voluntary Dismissal	24.4
iRobot Corporation v. Shenzhen Zhiyi Technology Co., Ltd.	1:17cv10652	Voluntary Dismissal	17.1
EcoFactor, Inc. v. Alarm.com Incorporated et al	1:20cv11007	Voluntary Dismissal	19.5
Matsutek Enterprises Co., Ltd. v. iRobot Corporation	1:17cv12483	Voluntary Dismissal	1.0
Scanning Technologies Innovations LLC v. Scandit Inc.	1:19cv10999	Voluntary Dismissal	2.2
EcoFactor, Inc. v. Alarm.com Inc., et. al.	1:19cv12323	Voluntary Dismissal	26.0

Want of Prosecution

There was/were 1 case(s) terminated by Want of Prosecution. The average time to case termination in months from case filing was 4.5. The distribution of these outcomes by month of litigation is shown below. Months with no outcomes are omitted from the chart. Cases still pending after four years (if any) are lumped into month "49".



The supporting data is shown below.

Case Name	Case Number	Outcome	Pendency
Blauer Manufacturing Company, Inc. v. The Fechheimer Brothers Company	1:13cv12823	Want of Prosecution	4.5


Awards and Settlement Amounts:

The awards or settlement amounts found in these cases (if any) are shown below.

<u>Case Name</u>	<u>Case Number</u>	<u>Case Outcome</u>	<u>Date of Decision</u>	<u>Amount</u>
Crane Security Technologies, Inc. et al v. Rolling Optics AB	1:14cv12428	Jury Verdict	8/23/2018	\$326,244

Appeal Outcomes:

There have been 1 appealed cases. The results of those appeals (if any) are shown below. Note that the appeal may be an interlocutory appeal or an appeal of a final judgment. The complete affirmance rate (affirmance with no other action), affirmed at least in part rate, and the reversed at least in part rate are shown below.



<u>Case Name</u>	<u>Case Number</u>	<u>Case Outcome</u>	<u>Appeal Outcome</u>
Riggs Technology Holdings, LLC v. Cengage Learning, Inc.	1:21cv10778	Involuntary Dismissal	Affirmed

Motion Outcomes:


The number of contested motion decisions, win rate, and time in months from motion filing to decision by Judge Sorokin in these cases are shown below, broken out by motion type and movant. The movant for Markman decisions is listed as "Unknown" in LegalMetric's system because there is not requirement for an actual motion to initiate the claim construction process, and the win rate is arbitrarily set to "0". The stay motions listed below include all stay motions, not just stay pending IPR or stay pending reexamination motions. See following sections for details. For all motion types, the average win rate on plaintiff's motions was 45.5%, the average win rate on defendant's motions was 29.0% and the difference was 16.4%. The nationwide difference on plaintiff v. defendant motion win rates in patent cases is 6%.

		Number	Win Rate	Pendency
Discovery	Total	14	53.6	1.0
	Defendant	5	20.0	0.5
	Plaintiff	9	72.2	1.3
Dismissal	Total	9	33.3	2.8
	Defendant	8	37.5	3.1
	Plaintiff	1	0.0	0.9
Exceptional Case	Total	1	0.0	2.6
	Plaintiff	1	0.0	2.6
Expert/Daubert	Total	3	0.0	0.2
	Defendant	2	0.0	0.2
	Plaintiff	1	0.0	0.2
Increased Damages	Total	1	100.0	2.6
	Plaintiff	1	100.0	2.6
Markman Decision	Total	4	0.0	18.2
	Unknown	4	0.0	18.2
Permanent Injunction	Total	1	50.0	2.6
	Plaintiff	1	50.0	2.6
Preliminary Injunction	Total	3	0.0	3.5
	Defendant	1	0.0	5.2
	Plaintiff	2	0.0	2.7
Sanctions/Attorneys Fees	Total	5	0.0	4.0
	Defendant	3	0.0	4.1
	Plaintiff	2	0.0	3.8
Stay	Total	10	40.0	0.9
	Defendant	8	37.5	1.1
	Plaintiff	2	50.0	0.0

		Number	Win Rate	Pendency
Summary Judgment	Total	4	50.0	5.7
	Defendant	3	33.3	4.5
	Plaintiff	1	100.0	9.6
Temporary Restraining Order Motion	Total	1	0.0	0.3
	Plaintiff	1	0.0	0.3
Transfer Motion	Total	1	100.0	6.1
	Defendant	1	100.0	6.1

Discovery

There were 14 Discovery contested decisions by Judge Sorokin. The overall contested win rate on these motions was 53.6%. The average time (in months) from motion filing to decision was 1.0. The distribution of time to decision for the first 12 months of motion pendency is shown below, with any motions remaining pendency after 12 months lumped into month "13". Months with no decisions are not included in the chart. Note that the first month includes all decisions occurring less than 1 month from motion filing.




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Number	Decision	Movant	Pendency	Date of Decision
1:16cv12556	Granted	Defendant	0.1	8/3/18
1:08cv10447	Denied	Plaintiff	1.3	12/8/08
1:10cv11041	Denied	Plaintiff	0.9	4/30/13
1:15cv10240	Granted	Plaintiff	0.7	10/5/15
1:15cv10240	Granted	Plaintiff	0.3	9/2/15
1:10cv11041	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Plaintiff	0.6	3/4/13
1:10cv11041	Denied	Defendant	0.6	4/8/13
1:10cv11041	Denied	Defendant	0.2	5/17/13
1:11cv12278	Granted	Plaintiff	0.9	4/16/14
1:15cv10240	Granted	Plaintiff	5.4	4/1/16
1:15cv10240	Granted	Plaintiff	0.7	10/5/15
1:15cv10240	Denied	Defendant	0.6	9/21/15
1:18cv10876	Denied	Defendant	1.1	2/25/19
1:18cv10876	Granted	Plaintiff	1.1	4/19/19

Dismissal

There were 9 Dismissal contested decisions by Judge Sorokin. The overall contested win rate on these motions was 33.3%. The average time (in months) from motion filing to decision was 2.8. The distribution of time to decision for the first 12 months of motion pendency is shown below, with any motions remaining pendency after 12 months lumped into month "13". Months with no decisions are not included in the chart. Note that the first month includes all decisions occurring less than 1 month from motion filing.




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Number	Decision	Movant	Pendency	Date of Decision	
1:14cv12428	Denied	Defendant	4.3	12/8/14	Lack of Jurisdiction
1:14cv12962	Denied without Prejudice	Defendant	0.6	10/30/14	Failure to State a Claim for infringement
1:15cv10240	Denied without Prejudice	Defendant	1.5	7/10/15	Failure to state a claim for infringement and multiple state torts and lack of personal jurisdiction
1:15cv10240	Denied without Prejudice	Plaintiff	0.9	9/17/15	Failure to State a Claim of Inequitable Conduct
1:18cv10227	Granted	Defendant	1.1	9/17/18	First-Filed Rule
1:18cv10876	Denied	Defendant	2.9	10/10/18	35 USC 101; Failure to State a Claim of Willfulness
1:19cv11101	Granted	Defendant	2.2	11/27/19	35 USC 101
1:20cv11883	Denied	Defendant	6.8	8/20/21	Failure to State a Claim of Infringement
1:21cv10778	Granted	Defendant	5.1	1/21/22	35 USC 101

Exceptional Case

There were 1 Exceptional Case contested decisions by Judge Sorokin. The overall contested win rate on these motions was 0.0%. The average time (in months) from motion filing to decision was 2.6. The distribution of time to decision for the first 12 months of motion pendency is shown below, with any motions remaining pendency after 12 months lumped into month "13". Months with no decisions are not included in the chart. Note that the first month includes all decisions occurring less than 1 month from motion filing.




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Number	Decision	Movant	Pendency	Date of Decision
1:14cv12428	Denied	Plaintiff	2.6	8/23/18

Expert/Daubert

There were 3 Expert/Daubert contested decisions by Judge Sorokin. The overall contested win rate on these motions was 0.0%. The average time (in months) from motion filing to decision (or in the case of Markman rulings, from case filing to decision) was 0.2. The distribution of time to decision for the first 12 months of motion pendency is shown below, with any motions remaining pendency after 12 months lumped into month "13". Months with no decisions are not included in the chart. Note that the first month includes all decisions occurring less than 1 month from motion filing.




The supporting data is shown below. The table below identifies motion subjects ("What") and grounds ("Why") and includes the designation GIP for those subjects and grounds which were granted in part and denied in part.

Case Number	Decision	Movant	Pendency	Date	What	Why
1:14cv12428	Denied	Plaintiff	0.2	4/26/18		
1:14cv12428	Denied	Defendant	0.2	4/26/18		
1:14cv12428	Denied	Defendant	0.2	4/26/18		

Increased Damages

There were 1 Increased Damages contested decisions by Judge Sorokin. The overall contested win rate on these motions was 100.0%. The average time (in months) from motion filing to decision was 2.6. The distribution of time to decision for the first 12 months of motion pendency is shown below, with any motions remaining pendency after 12 months lumped into month "13". Months with no decisions are not included in the chart. Note that the first month includes all decisions occurring less than 1 month from motion filing.




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Number	Decision	Movant	Pendency	Date of Decision
1:14cv12428	Granted	Plaintiff	2.6	8/23/18

Markman Decision

There were 4 Markman Decision contested decisions by Judge Sorokin. The overall contested win rate on these motions was 0.0%. The average time (in months) from motion filing to decision was 18.2. The distribution of time to decision for the first 12 months of motion pendency is shown below, with any motions remaining pendency after 12 months lumped into month "13". Months with no decisions are not included in the chart. Note that the first month includes all decisions occurring less than 1 month from motion filing.




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Number	Decision	Movant	Pendency	Date of Decision
1:14cv12428	Markman Decision	Unknown	20.0	2/9/16
1:16cv10919	Markman Decision	Unknown	17.1	10/26/17
1:16cv12556	Markman Decision	Unknown	18.0	6/21/18
1:18cv10876	Markman Decision	Unknown	17.7	10/24/19

Permanent Injunction

There were 1 Permanent Injunction contested decisions by Judge Sorokin. The overall contested win rate on these motions was 50.0%. The average time (in months) from motion filing to decision was 2.6. The distribution of time to decision for the first 12 months of motion pendency is shown below, with any motions remaining pendency after 12 months lumped into month "13". Months with no decisions are not included in the chart. Note that the first month includes all decisions occurring less than 1 month from motion filing.




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Number	Decision	Movant	Pendency	Date of Decision
1:14cv12428	Granted in part, Denied in Part	Plaintiff	2.6	8/23/18

Preliminary Injunction

There were 3 Preliminary Injunction contested decisions by Judge Sorokin. The overall contested win rate on these motions was 0.0%. The average time (in months) from motion filing to decision was 3.5. The distribution of time to decision for the first 12 months of motion pendency is shown below, with any motions remaining pendency after 12 months lumped into month "13". Months with no decisions are not included in the chart. Note that the first month includes all decisions occurring less than 1 month from motion filing.




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Number	Decision	Movant	Pendency	Date of Decision
1:15cv10240	Denied without Prejudice	Plaintiff	5.1	7/10/15
1:15cv10240	Denied	Plaintiff	0.3	10/29/15
1:16cv12556	Denied	Defendant	5.2	10/2/17

Sanctions/Attorneys Fees

There were 5 Sanctions/Attorneys Fees contested decisions by Judge Sorokin. The overall contested win rate on these motions was 0.0%. The average time (in months) from motion filing to decision (or in the case of Markman rulings, from case filing to decision) was 4.0. The distribution of time to decision for the first 12 months of motion pendency is shown below, with any motions remaining pendency after 12 months lumped into month "13". Months with no decisions are not included in the chart. Note that the first month includes all decisions occurring less than 1 month from motion filing.




The supporting data is shown below. The table below identifies motion subjects ("What") and grounds ("Why") and includes the designation GIP for those subjects and grounds which were granted in part and denied in part.

Case Number	Decision	Movant	Pendency	Date	What	Why
1:14cv12428	Denied	Plaintiff	5.0	4/20/16	Sanctions	Proceedings on Motion
1:14cv12428	Denied	Defendant	0.6	4/17/18	Sanctions	Discovery
1:14cv12428	Denied	Plaintiff	2.6	8/23/18	Attorney Fees	35 USC 285
1:17cv11172	Denied	Defendant	5.3	5/29/20	Attorneys Fees	35 USC 285
1:20cv11883	Denied	Defendant	6.3	8/20/21	Sanctions	Rule 11

Stay

There were 10 Stay contested decisions by Judge Sorokin. The overall contested win rate on these motions was 40.0%. The average time (in months) from motion filing to decision (or in the case of Markman rulings, from case filing to decision) was 0.9. The distribution of time to decision for the first 12 months of motion pendency is shown below, with any motions remaining pendency after 12 months lumped into month "13". Months with no decisions are not included in the chart. Note that the first month includes all decisions occurring less than 1 month from motion filing.




The supporting data is shown below. The table below identifies motion subjects ("What") and grounds ("Why") and includes the designation GIP for those subjects and grounds which were granted in part and denied in part.

Case Number	Decision	Movant	Pendency	Date	What	Why
1:15cv10240	Denied	Defendant	0.2	3/3/15	Proceedings on Motion	
1:15cv10240	Denied without Prejudice	Defendant	0.9	7/10/15	Case	Pending Inter Partes Review
1:15cv10240	Granted	Defendant	0.1	10/27/15	Preliminary Injunction	
1:15cv13086	Denied	Defendant	2.7	3/10/16	Case	Pending Inter Partes Review
1:17cv11172	Granted	Plaintiff	0.0	1/7/19	Case	Pending Inter Partes Review
1:18cv10876	Denied	Defendant	0.7	6/7/19	Case	Pending Inter Partes Review
1:20cv11007	Granted	Defendant	1.4	10/27/20	Case	Pending USITC Proceeding
1:20cv11883	Granted	Defendant	1.3	3/31/22	Case	Pending Inter Partes Review
1:21cv10778	Denied	Plaintiff	0.0	1/19/22	Case	Pending Related Appeal
1:22cv10090	Denied without Prejudice	Defendant	1.0	7/25/22	Case	Pending Inter Partes Review

Summary Judgment

There were 4 Summary Judgment contested decisions by Judge Sorokin. The overall contested win rate on these motions was 50.0%. The average time (in months) from motion filing to decision (or in the case of Markman rulings, from case filing to decision) was 5.7. The distribution of time to decision for the first 12 months of motion pendency is shown below, with any motions remaining pendency after 12 months lumped into month "13". Months with no decisions are not included in the chart. Note that the first month includes all decisions occurring less than 1 month from motion filing.




The supporting data is shown below. The table below identifies motion subjects ("What") and grounds ("Why") and includes the designation GIP for those subjects and grounds which were granted in part and denied in part.

Case Number	Decision	Movant	Pendency	Date	What	Why
1:14cv12428	Granted	Plaintiff	9.6	1/26/18	Infringement	Direct; Literal
1:17cv11172	Granted	Defendant	6.3	11/15/19	Noninfringement	
1:14cv12428	Denied without Prejudice	Defendant	6.0	5/6/16	Limitation on Damages	
1:14cv12428	Denied without Prejudice	Defendant	1.0	11/30/15	Limitation on Damages	

Temporary Restraining Order Motion

There were 1 Temporary Restraining Order Motion contested decisions by Judge Sorokin. The overall contested win rate on these motions was 0.0%. The average time (in months) from motion filing to decision was 0.3. The distribution of time to decision for the first 12 months of motion pendency is shown below, with any motions remaining pendency after 12 months lumped into month "13". Months with no decisions are not included in the chart. Note that the first month includes all decisions occurring less than 1 month from motion filing.




The supporting data is shown below.

Case Number	Decision	Movant	Pendency	Date of Decision
1:15cv10240	Denied	Plaintiff	0.3	10/29/15

Transfer Motion

There were 1 Transfer Motion contested decisions by Judge Sorokin. The overall contested win rate on these motions was 100.0%. The average time (in months) from motion filing to decision was 6.1. The distribution of time to decision for the first 12 months of motion pendency is shown below, with any motions remaining pendency after 12 months lumped into month "13". Months with no decisions are not included in the chart. Note that the first month includes all decisions occurring less than 1 month from motion filing.



The supporting data is shown below.

Case Number	Decision	Movant	Pendency	Date of Decision
1:21cv10719	Granted	Defendant	6.1	1/21/22