

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

BOE TECHNOLOGY GROUP CO., LTD.,
Petitioner,

v.

OPTRONIC SCIENCES LLC,
Patent Owner.

IPR2024-01130 (Patent 7,168,842 B2)
IPR2024-01131 (Patent 7,226,801 B2)
IPR2024-01132 (Patent 7,586,121 B2)
IPR2024-01133 (Patent 9,263,509 B2)
IPR2024-01134 (Patent 9,406,733 B2)
IPR2024-01315 (Patent 8,158,477 B2)¹

Before THU A. DANG, BENJAMIN D. M. WOOD,
BRIAN J. MCNAMARA, and ROBERT J. WEINSCHENK, *Administrative
Patent Judges*.²

PER CURIAM.

ORDER

Granting Patent Owner's Motions for Admission *Pro Hac Vice* of
Paul A. Kroeger
37 C.F.R. § 42.10

¹ We exercise our discretion to issue one Order that applies to each of the listed cases. The parties are not authorized to use this type of caption.

² This is not an expanded panel of the Board. It is a list of all the Judges on the panels for these cases.

IPR2024-01130 (Patent 7,168,842 B2)
IPR2024-01131 (Patent 7,226,801 B2)
IPR2024-01132 (Patent 7,586,121 B2)
IPR2024-01133 (Patent 9,263,509 B2)
IPR2024-01134 (Patent 9,406,733 B2)
IPR2024-01315 (Patent 8,158,477 B2)

Patent Owner filed Motions requesting admission *pro hac vice* of Paul A. Kroeger in each of these proceedings. Paper 21 (the “Motion” or “Mot.”).³ Patent Owner submitted Declarations from Mr. Kroeger in support of the Motions. Ex. 2009. Patent Owner attests that Petitioner does not oppose the Motions. Motion 2. For the reasons provided below, Patent Owner’s Motions are *granted*.

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), we may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner. The representative Order authorizing motions for *pro hac vice* admission requires a statement of facts showing there is good cause for the Board to recognize counsel *pro hac vice*, and an affidavit or declaration of the individual seeking to appear in the proceeding. *See Unified Patents, Inc. v. Parallel Iron, LLC*, Case IPR2013-00639, Paper 7 (PTAB Oct. 15, 2013) (setting forth the requirements for admission *pro hac vice*).

Based on the facts set forth in the Motions and the accompanying Declarations, we conclude that Mr. Kroeger has sufficient legal and technical qualifications to represent Patent Owner in each of these proceedings, that Mr. Kroeger has demonstrated sufficient litigation experience and familiarity with the subject matter of each of these

³ We cite to Papers and Exhibits in IPR2024-01130. Similar items were filed in IPR2024-01131, IPR2024-01132, IPR2024-01133, IPR2024-01134, and IPR2024-01315.

IPR2024-01130 (Patent 7,168,842 B2)
IPR2024-01131 (Patent 7,226,801 B2)
IPR2024-01132 (Patent 7,586,121 B2)
IPR2024-01133 (Patent 9,263,509 B2)
IPR2024-01134 (Patent 9,406,733 B2)
IPR2024-01315 (Patent 8,158,477 B2)

proceedings, and that Mr. Kroeger meets all other requirements for admission *pro hac vice*. See Motion 1–2, Ex. 2009 ¶¶ 1–10. Accordingly, Patent Owner has established good cause for admission *pro hac vice* of Mr. Kroeger. Mr. Kroeger will be permitted to appear *pro hac vice* as back-up counsel only. See 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c).

We note that Patent Owner filed Supplemental Powers of Attorney including Mr. Kroeger in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(b). Paper 20. Patent Owner has also filed Supplementary Mandatory Notices, identifying Mr. Kroeger as back-up counsel in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3). Paper 19.

In consideration of the foregoing, it is hereby

ORDERED that Patent Owner’s Motions (Paper 21 in IPR2024-01130; Paper 20 in IPR2024-01131; Paper 19 in IPR2024-01132; Paper 21 in IPR2024-01133; Paper 19 in IPR2024-01134; Paper 19 in IPR2024-01315) for admission *pro hac vice* of Paul A. Kroeger in each of the above-identified proceedings are *granted*;

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner is to continue to have a registered practitioner represent it as lead counsel for these proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Kroeger is authorized to represent Patent Owner as back-up counsel only in these proceedings;

IPR2024-01130 (Patent 7,168,842 B2)
IPR2024-01131 (Patent 7,226,801 B2)
IPR2024-01132 (Patent 7,586,121 B2)
IPR2024-01133 (Patent 9,263,509 B2)
IPR2024-01134 (Patent 9,406,733 B2)
IPR2024-01315 (Patent 8,158,477 B2)

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Kroeger shall be familiar with the Consolidated Trial Practice Guide⁴, 84 Fed. Reg. 64,280 (Nov. 21, 2019), and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials as set forth in Part 42 of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Kroeger shall be subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a) and the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.*

⁴ Available at <https://www.uspto.gov/TrialPracticeGuideConsolidated>.

IPR2024-01130 (Patent 7,168,842 B2)
IPR2024-01131 (Patent 7,226,801 B2)
IPR2024-01132 (Patent 7,586,121 B2)
IPR2024-01133 (Patent 9,263,509 B2)
IPR2024-01134 (Patent 9,406,733 B2)
IPR2024-01315 (Patent 8,158,477 B2)

FOR PETITIONER:

Alan A. Limbach
Brian Erickson
Michael Saulnier
DLA PIPER LLP (US)
alan.limbach@us.dlapiper.com
brian.erickson@us.dlapiper.com
michael.saulnier@us.dlapiper.com
dla-boe-optronicsciences-IPR@us.dlapiper.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

For PATENT OWNER:
Qi (Peter) Tong
RUSS AUGUST & KABAT
ptong@raklaw.com
rak_optronic@raklaw.com