

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

BOE TECHNOLOGY GROUP CO., LTD.

Petitioner

v.

OPTRONIC SCIENCES LLC

Patent Owner

Inter Partes Review No.: IPR2024-01133

U.S. Patent No. 9,263,509

PETITIONER'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. 42.70(a), Petitioner, BOE Technology Group Co. LTD., requests oral argument on the issues set forth below at a place and time set by the Board. Oral argument is presently scheduled for October 27, 2025 (Paper No. 17, “Scheduling Order”).

Issues to be Presented by Petitioners at Oral Argument:

1. Proper construction of the relevant claims of the '509 patent;
2. The '509 patent is eligible for IPR;
3. The level of ordinary skill in the art for the '509 patent
4. Arguments and evidence that claims 1-5, 9, 12-13 are anticipated by Weaver (Ground 1);
5. Arguments and evidence that claims 6-8 are rendered obvious by Weaver and Lee053 (Ground 2);
6. Arguments and evidence that claims 6-8 are rendered obvious by Weaver and Song (Ground 3);
7. Arguments and evidence that claims 10-11 are rendered obvious by Weaver and Lee149 (Ground 4);
8. Arguments and evidence that claims 10-11 are rendered obvious by Weaver and Bae (Ground 5);
9. Arguments and evidence that claims 1-5, 9, 12-13 are rendered obvious by Weaver in view of Gupta or Han (Ground 6);

10. Arguments and evidence that claims 6-8 are rendered obvious by Weaver and Lee053, in view of Gupta or Han (Ground 7);
11. Arguments and evidence that claims 6-8 are rendered obvious by Weaver and Song, in view of Gupta or Han (Ground 8);
12. Arguments and evidence that claims 10-11 are rendered obvious by Weaver and Lee149, in view of Gupta or Han (Ground 9);
13. Arguments and evidence that claims 10-11 are rendered obvious by Weaver and Bae, in view of Gupta or Han (Ground 10);
14. Rebuttal to Patent Owner's arguments and evidence on all matters including the issues listed above;
15. The timeliness of the arguments raised in the proceedings; and
16. Any other issues that the Board deems necessary for issuing a Final Written Decision, including any questions, rebuttals, or arguments that may arise during the oral argument.

Petitioner requests that the oral hearing take place at USPTO headquarters in Alexandria, VA. Petitioner requests the ability to present demonstratives and exhibits to the Board and the ability to have additional counsel, including in-house counsel, attend the video conference from separate locations.

Date: September 17, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Brian Erickson
Brian Erickson

Reg. No. 48,895
DLA Piper LLP (US)
303 Colorado Street, Suite 3000
Austin, TX 78701
Phone: 512-457-7059
Fax: 512-721-2263
Brian.Erickson@us.dlapiper.com

Attorney for Petitioner

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that on September 17, 2025, a true and correct copy of the Petitioner's Request for Oral Argument was served by emailing a copy of same (by agreement) to the following attorneys for the Patent Owner:

[Benjamin T. Wang \(bwang@raklaw.com\)](mailto:bwang@raklaw.com)

[Christian Conkle \(cconkle@raklaw.com\)](mailto:cconkle@raklaw.com)

[Alexandra Loew \(aloew@raklaw.com\)](mailto:aloew@raklaw.com)

[Qi Peter Tong \(ptong@raklaw.com\)](mailto:ptong@raklaw.com)

Date: September 17, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Brian Erickson

Brian Erickson

Reg. No. 48,895

DLA Piper LLP (US)

303 Colorado Street, Suite 3000

Austin, TX 78701

Phone: 512-457-7059

Fax: 512-721-2263

Brian.Erickson@us.dlapiper.com

Attorney for Petitioner