

1 Ali S. Razai (SBN 246,922)
ali.razai@knobbe.com
2 Cheryl T. Burgess (CA SBN 250,101)
cheryl.burgess@knobbe.com
3 Jacob R. Rosenbaum (SBN 313,190)
jacob.rosenbaum@knobbe.com
4 KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP
2040 Main Street, Fourteenth Floor
Irvine, CA 92614
5 Phone: (949) 760-0404
Facsimile: (949) 760-9502
6

Inzer C. Ni (Pro Hac Vice)
7 inzer.ni@knobbe.com
KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP
8 1155 Avenue of the Americas 24th Floor
New York, NY 10036
9 Phone: (212) 849-3000
Facsimile: (212) 849-3001
10 Shawn P. Hickey (Pro Hac Vice)
shawn.hickey@knobbe.com
KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP
11 925 4th Ave #2500
Seattle, WA 98104
12 Phone: (206) 405-2000
Facsimile: (206) 405-2001
13

Attorneys for Plaintiff
14 **Trove Brands, LLC**

15 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
16 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
17

18 TROVE BRANDS, LLC, a Utah limited
liability company,

19 Plaintiff,

20 v.

21 CAMELBAK PRODUCTS, LLC AND
22 VISTA OUTDOOR INC.

23 Defendants.
24
25
26
27
28

AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS

No. 5:23-CV-04267-PCP

**TROVE BRANDS' AMENDED
INVALIDITY CONTENTIONS**

Hon. P. Casey Pitts

5:23-CV-04267-PCP

TROVE BRANDS'
INVALIDITY CONTENTIONS

1 Pursuant to the Court's Case Management Order (Docket No. (hereinafter "D.I.") 25),
2 Order Granting Stipulation And Joint Motion To Amend The Case Schedule (D.I. 90), and Patent
3 Local Rules ("PLR") 3-3 and 3-4, Trove Brands, LLC, ("Trove Brands" or "Plaintiff") hereby
4 provides to and serves upon Defendants CamelBak Products, LLC ("CamelBak") and Vista
5 Outdoor, Inc. ("VOI" or "Vista") (collectively, "Defendants") the following Amended Invalidity
6 Contentions. Appendices A1-A7 are attached hereto. Trove Brands previously served Appendices
7 B1-B4, C1-C4, D1-D4, E1-E4, F1-F4, and G1-G4 referenced herein on February 16, 2024 and
8 H1-H4 referenced herein on April 12, 2024. Trove Brands previously served its Accompanying
9 Document Production referenced herein on January 22, 2024, and February 16, 2024. In addition
10 to these Contentions and its accompanying exhibits and productions, pending judgment or trial,
11 Trove Brands will rely on any invalidity analyses set forth in any forthcoming invalidity expert
12 report(s) served by Trove Brands in this matter served during Expert Discovery.

13 I. GENERAL STATEMENTS

14 These Contentions are based on information reasonably available to Trove Brands at this
15 time, and may require subsequent amendment, alteration, and/or supplementation pursuant to PLR
16 3-6 or other order of the Court. Further, CamelBak has not provided its Disclosure of Asserted
17 Claims and Infringement Contentions ("Infringement Contentions") in a sufficiently clear and
18 specific manner to enable Trove Brands to fully evaluate the invalidity of each asserted claim from
19 the perspective of CamelBak's purported application of the asserted claims. Accordingly, Trove
20 Brands reserves the right to amend, alter and/or supplement these contentions based on, for
21 example, further investigation, fact or expert discovery, evaluation of the scope and content of the
22 prior art, any claim construction from the Court, or as a result of CamelBak's contentions. *See*
23 PLR 3-6. These contentions may be in the alternative and do not constitute any concession by
24 Defendant for the purposes of claim construction or noninfringement. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(d).

25 Furthermore, these contentions are provided without prejudice to Trove Brands' right to
26 introduce at trial any subsequently discovered evidence or expert opinions relating to currently
27 known facts and to produce and introduce at trial all evidence, whenever discovered, relating to
28 the proof of subsequently discovered facts. Trove Brands reserves the right to refer to, conduct

discovery with reference to, or offer into evidence at the time of trial, any and all facts, expert opinion testimony, documents and things notwithstanding the written statements herein.

Except as provided by law, these Contentions do not purport to affect the scope or effect of any Petitions for Inter Partes Review or other collateral action subsequently filed by Trove Brands seeking invalidation or cancellation of the patents or claims at issue.

The information set forth below is provided without waiving: (1) the right to object to the use of any statement for any purpose, in this action or any other action, on the grounds of privilege, relevance, materiality or any other appropriate grounds; (2) the right to object to any request involving or relating to the subject matter of the statements herein; or (3) the right to revise, correct, supplement or clarify any of the statements provided below at any time.

A. Asserted Claims

Per CamelBak's December 21, 2023, Infringement Contentions and May 8, 2024 First Amended Infringement Contentions, CamelBak asserts U.S. Patent Nos. 8,905,252 ("the '252 Patent"), 9,463,911 ("the '911 Patent"), 10,676,255 ("the '255 Patent"), 11,242,178 (the "'178 Patent"), 9,782,028 ("the '028 Patent"), 10,165,879 ("the '879 Patent"), 11,684,187 ("the '187 Patent"), and 11,851,250 ("the '250 patent") (individually, each an "Asserted Patent" or "Patent-in-Suit;" collectively, the "Asserted Patents" or the "Patents-in-Suit"). CamelBak has disclosed it is asserting:

- claims 5, 6, 7, 16-19 of the '252 Patent;
- claims 14, 15, 16, 19, 37, 38 of the '911 Patent;
- claims 1, 3, 4, 5, 9, 11, 12, 13, 19 of the '255 Patent;
- claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 10, 11, 12, 14, 15, 16, 17, 20, 21, 22 of the '178 Patent;
- claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 8, 9, 15, 16, 21 of the '028 Patent;
- claims 1, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19 of the '879 Patent;
- claims 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 19 of the '187 Patent; and
- claims 1, 2, 4, 5, and 6 of the '250 Patent

(individually, each an "Asserted Claim;" collectively, the "Asserted Claims").

Infringement Contentions at §II.A. These Invalidity Contentions address each of the above-

5:23-CV-04267-PCP -2- Trove Brands' Invalidity Contentions

1 identified Asserted Claims identified in the Infringement Contentions.

2 In the Infringement Contentions, CamelBak provided alleged Priority Dates for the
3 Asserted Claims. Infringement Contentions at §II.A. Trove Brands does not waive objections or
4 the ability to dispute the Priority Dates alleged by CamelBak by virtue of not addressing them
5 here. Trove Brands reserves the right to provide invalidity contentions for any other claims
6 Defendants may be permitted by the Court to assert in this litigation.

7 **B. Claim Construction**

8 The Court has not yet construed the Asserted Claims. Trove Brands' position on the
9 invalidity of one or more Asserted Claims may depend on how these claims are construed by the
10 Court. Trove Brands therefore reserves the right to identify additional prior art and/or to
11 supplement, modify, amend, and/or clarify its disclosures and/or contentions in light of the Court's
12 construction of the Asserted Claims, but Trove Brands herein creates no burden or obligation on
13 itself to do so. *See* PLR 3-6(a). These Invalidity Contentions are based, at least in part, on Trove
14 Brands' present understanding of the Asserted Claims and the understanding seemingly advocated
15 by CamelBak in its Infringement Contentions.

16 To the extent that these Invalidity Contentions reflect constructions of claim terms that may
17 be consistent with or implicit in CamelBak's Infringement Contentions, no inference is intended,
18 nor should any inference be drawn, that Trove Brands agrees with such claim constructions. Trove
19 Brands takes no position on any matter of claim construction in these Invalidity Contentions other
20 than to allege that certain claims are invalid, as set forth below. Any statement herein describing
21 or tending to describe any claim element is provided solely for the purpose of understanding the
22 relevant prior art or other basis for invalidity. Trove Brands expressly reserves the right to propose
23 any claim construction it considers appropriate and to contest any claim construction it considers
24 inappropriate.

25 In part because claim construction has not been resolved, these Invalidity Contentions may
26 be made in the alternative and are not necessarily intended to be consistent with each other, and
27 should be viewed accordingly. Furthermore, Trove Brands' inclusion of prior art that would render
28 a claim invalid based on a particular scope or construction of the claim, including that apparently

1 applied by CamelBak in its Infringement Contentions, is not, and should in no way be seen as, an
2 adoption or admission as to the accuracy of such scope or construction. Trove Brands reserves all
3 rights to further supplement and/or modify the positions and information in these Invalidity
4 Contentions, including without limitation, the prior art and grounds of invalidity, after the Court
5 has construed the Asserted Claims.

6 **C. Ongoing Discovery and Disclosures**

7 Discovery in this case is in its early stages and Trove Brands' investigation, including
8 Trove Brands' search for prior art, is ongoing. Trove Brands therefore reserves the right to further
9 supplement and/or alter the positions taken and information disclosed in these Invalidity
10 Contentions including, without limitation, the prior art and grounds of invalidity set forth herein,
11 to take into account information or defenses that may come to light as a result of these continuing
12 efforts. *See, e.g.*, PLR 3-6(b).

13 Trove Brands reserves all rights to further supplement and/or amend these Invalidity
14 Contentions if and when further information becomes available.

15 **II. IDENTIFICATION OF PRIOR ART (PLR 3-3(a))**

16 Trove Brands identifies the following prior art references that either anticipate or render
17 obvious the Asserted Claims.

18 **A. Printed Publications Prior Art**

19 The following publications are prior art to the Asserted Claims under pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. §
20 102(a), (b) and/or (e) and AIA 35 U.S.C. § 102(a)(1) and (a)(2).

21 Several of the references Trove Brands relies on herein are drafted in languages other than
22 English. Unless otherwise indicated, to assist in its own preparation and Defendants' review of
23 these Invalidity Contentions, Trove Brands, herein and in the accompanying charts under PLR 3-
24 3(c), relies on the Patent Translate machine translations provided by Google and the EPO. Both
25 the publication in its original language and the machine translations have been or are being
26 produced along with these Invalidity Contentions per 3-4(b). These are indicated by a “†” symbol
27 in the below tables. Further, to assist in Defendants' review of these Invalidity Contentions, pin-
28 cites to the written text of these references refers to the paragraph or page within the translated

version of the publication. Trove Brands reserves the right to subsequently retain a professional translation service to translate the non-English references and to consequently amend, modify, or supplement its Invalidity Contentions as necessary based on the new translations.

1. '252 Patent Printed Publications Prior Art

Patent / Publication Number and Other Identifiers	Date of Issue / Publication
US 9,272,822 ("Samartgis")	Pub. February 21, 2013
US 7,753,240 ("Leoncavallo")	Issue July 13, 2010
US 8,550,269 ("Lane")	Pub December 13, 2012
US D586,184 ("Miller")	Issue February 10, 2009
US 3,307,752 ("Anderson")	Issue March 7, 1967
US 2012/0260487 ("Nichols")	Pub October 18, 2012
EP 2177447 ("Gorskey")	Pub April 21, 2010
US 3,153,490 ("Carl")	Pub October 20, 1964
US 2006/0006578 ("Johnson")	Pub January 12, 2006
US 8,408,414 ("Roth")	Pub April 2, 2013
CN 101624107 ("Lin")	Pub January 13, 2010
US 8,141,731 ("Mazurkiewicz")	Issue March 27, 2012

2. '911, '255, '178, and '250 Patents Printed Publications Prior Art

Patent / Publication Number and Other Identifiers	Date of Issue / Publication
US 5,203,468 ("Hsu")	Issued Apr. 20, 1993
JP 2002-211613 A ("Nakajima") †	Pub. July 21, 2002
KR 200282506 Y1 ("Park") †	Pub. July 22, 2002
(PCT Pub.) WO 2004/063042 A2 ("Ribarits") †	Pub. July 29, 2004
(PCT Pub.) WO 2000/003946 ("McCormack")	Pub. Jan. 27, 2000
US Pub. 2002/0166990 ("Yang")	Pub. Nov. 14, 2002
US Pub. 2003/0222238 ("Getzewich")	Pub. Dec. 4, 2003

Patent / Publication Number and Other Identifiers	Date of Issue / Publication
US 4,836,404 (“Coy”)	Issued June 6, 1989
US 5,060,833 (“Edison”)	Issued Oct. 29, 1991
US 5,085,349 (“US5085349” / “Fawcett”)	Issued Feb. 4, 1992
US 5,601,207 (“US5601207” / “Paczonay”)	Issued Feb. 11, 1997
US Pub.2004/0079775 A1 (“Choi”)	Pub. Apr. 29, 2004
US 5,101,991 (“Morifuji”)	Issued Apr. 7, 1992
US 4,485,963 (“Panicci”)	Issued Dec. 4, 1984
US Pub. 2003/0102318 (“Lee”)	Pub. June 5, 2003
US Pub. 2002/0040909 (“Goto”)	Pub. Apr. 11, 2002
US 6,390,341 (“Ohmi”)	Issued May 21, 2002
US 5,873,478 (“Sullivan”)	Issued Feb. 23, 1999
US 2,936,934 (“Kubiliunas”)	Issued May 17, 1960
US Pub. 2004/0217139 (“Roth”)	Pub. Nov. 4, 2004
JP 2004154494 (“Morimoto”) †	Pub. June 3, 2004

3. '028, '879, and '187 Patents Printed Publications Prior Art

Patent / Publication Number and Other Identifiers	Date of Issue / Publication
US 5,203,468 (“Hsu”)	Issued Apr. 20, 1993
JP 2002-211613 A (“Nakajima”) †	Pub. July 21, 2002
KR 200282506 Y1 (“Park”) †	Pub. July 22, 2002
(PCT Pub.) WO 2004/063042 A2 (“Ribarits”) †	Pub. July 29, 2004
US Pub. 2007/0039959 A1 (“Choi”)	Pub. Feb. 22, 2007
JP 2006176184 A (“Uehara”) †	Pub. July 6, 2006
US 5,582,320 (“Lin”)	Issued Dec. 10, 1996
GB 1091180A (“Morton”)	Pub. Nov. 15, 1967

Patent / Publication Number and Other Identifiers	Date of Issue / Publication
US Pub. 2005/0258382A1 (“Yang”)	Pub. Nov. 24, 2005
US Pub. 2004/0217139 (“Roth”)	Pub. Nov. 4, 2004
US Pub. 2005/0061814 A1 (“Jackel”)	Pub. Mar. 24, 2005
DE 8335960 U1 (“Menshen”) †	Pub. Jan. 26, 1984
DE 2658590 A1 (“Stahl”) †	Pub. June 29, 1978

B. Prior Art Knowledge, Use, and Sale

The subject matter of the Asserted Claims was well known in the field of art, as evidenced by the following items, which were in commerce in the United States prior to the priority dates of one or more Asserted Claims. To the extent products or items are depicted or referenced, the images and specific products or items are exemplary: Trove Brands hereby identifies that its Invalidity Contentions related to these products or items are inclusive of similar products and items. Products or items that include the same or substantially the same lid structure, regardless of any differences in coloring scheme or changes to marketing name or model number, that were also known, used, or sold prior to the priority dates of the corresponding Asserted Claims, should be considered as the same product or item. Trove Brands’ investigation is ongoing, and Trove Brands may need to seek further discovery from Defendants and/or third parties to obtain physical samples of and/or further information about the products and items below.

1. Contigo Jackson

Contigo Jackson beverage container was on sale, offered for sale, or publicly used or known at least as of February 25, 2013 by Ignite USA, LLC and/or affiliated entities. See <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bXmT0lfrsbE> with a date stamp of February 25, 2013; <https://res.cloudinary.com/mediocre/image/upload/v1436986263/wdcxfrqxn7m317mwvlp3.png>; <https://meh.com/forum/topics/contigo-jackson-24oz-hydration-bottle-3-pack-1>; <https://silkletter.com/custom-24-oz-contigo-jackson-bottle.html>; and USD700,802.

1. Copco Clip-and-Go

Copco Clip-and-Go beverage container was on sale and offered for sale at least as early as July 6, 2009 by Amazon.com. *See* https://www.amazon.com/Copco-2510-0104-Sports-Bottle-24-Ounce/product-reviews/B002G9SXH0?reviewerType=all_reviews (including date of first sale of July 6, 2009).

Product information

Brand	Copco
Material	Plastic
Color	Green
Capacity	1.5 Pounds
Special Feature	Flip Top
Age Range (Description)	Any Age Group
Product Care Instructions	Hand Wash Only
Model Name	Copco
Recommended Uses For Product	Travel, Sports
Number of Items	1
Theme	Sport
Reusability	Reusable
Finish Type	Green
Unit Count	1.0 Count
Product Dimensions	3.5 x 2.75 x 10.5 inches
Item Weight	6 ounces
Manufacturer	Lifetime Brands Inc.
ASIN	B002G9SXGG
Item model number	2510-0103
Customer Reviews	4.1  39 ratings 4.1 out of 5 stars
Best Sellers Rank	#126,850 in Kitchen & Dining (See Top 100 in Kitchen & Dining) #1,409 in Refrigerator Magnets
Date First Available	July 6, 2009

Copco Clip-and-Go beverage container was publicly used or known at least to Amazon customers Leslie M., Kindle Customer. *See id.* (including consumer reviews dating back to August 30,2012).

2. Thermos Funtainer (and Foogo)

The **Thermos Funtainer** was publicly used and known, sold, and offered for sale in the

5:23-CV-04267-PCP -8- Trove Brands' Invalidity Contentions

United States no later than 2004 by Thermos. *History of Thermos*, Thermos.com (last accessed Jan. 22, 2024), <https://thermos.com/pages/history>; TROVE0011013-0011014.

The **Thermos Foogoo** was publicly used and known, sold, and offered for sale in the United States at least as early as 2007 by Thermos. TROVE0010962-0010973 (*Adrienne, Thinking Thermos: A Funtainer and Foogo Comparison*, Baby Toolkit (May 10, 2007), <https://babytoolkit.blogspot.com/2007/05/thinking-thermos-funtainer-and-foogo.html>). In the PLR 3-3(c) charts, Trove Brands refers to the Thermos Foogoo as "Thermos Funtainer" for ease of reference.

3. Igloo Playmate

The **Igloo Playmate** was on sale, offered for sale, or publicly used or known in the United States by Igloo no later than 1986. *See* TROVE0011027-0011042 (*Vintage Igloo WIX Playmate Cooler Half Gallon Thermos Water Jug 1986 90's Red*, eBay.com (last accessed Jan. 18, 2024)).

4. Igloo Beverage Cooler

The **Igloo Beverage Cooler** was on sale, offered for sale, or publicly used or known in the United States by Igloo no later than 1999. *See* TROVE0011015-0011026 (*Igloo Beverage Cooler Water Jug 1 Gallon Straw Purple Jade Jumbo Vintage 90s*, eBay.com (last accessed Jan. 18, 2024)).

5. Coleman Water Jug

The **Coleman Water Jug** was on sale, offered for sale, or publicly used or known in the United States by Coleman no later than 1993. *See* TROVE0010929-0010961 (*Vtg 90s Coleman Water Jug Turquoise Flip Spout Yellow Handle 1993 Graphics*, eBay.com (last accessed Jan. 18, 2024); *Vintage Coleman Water Jug Cooler PolyLite 1/2 Gallon 5590 Jug Green*, eBay.com (last accessed Jan. 18, 2024); *Vintage COLEMAN POLYLITE Red 1/2 Gallon Thermos 5590 Water Jug Cooler*, eBay.com (last accessed Jan. 18, 2024)); Images of the Coleman Water Jug. *Id.* (TROVE0010947-0010952).

III. THE PRIOR ART ANTICIPATES OR RENDERS OBVIOUS THE ASSERTED CLAIMS (PLR 3-3(b)).

A. '252 Patent Anticipation

1 Samartgis anticipates Claims 5-7, 16, and 19 as shown in the claim charts attached as
2 Appendix A1.

3 Leoncavallo anticipates Claims 5-7 and 16-19 as shown in the claim charts attached as
4 Appendix A2.

5 Lane anticipates Claims 5-7 and 16-19 as shown in the claim charts attached as Appendix
6 A3.

7 Contigo Jackson anticipates Claims 5-7, 16, and 19 as shown in the claim charts attached
8 as Appendix A4.

9 Miller anticipates Claims 5-7, 16, and 19 as shown in the claim charts attached as Appendix
10 A5.

11 Copco Clip-and-Go anticipates Claims 5-7, 16, and 19 as shown in the claim charts
12 attached as Appendix A6.

13 **B. '252 Patent Obviousness**

14 **Samartgis**

15 To the extent it does not anticipate one or more of the asserted claims, Samartgis in view
16 of the knowledge and skill of a POSITA renders obvious each asserted claim.¹

17 Samartgis in view of Leoncavallo, Mazurkiewicz, Anderson, Nichols, Gorskey, Carl,
18 and/or Johnson renders obvious Claim 16 as shown in the claim charts attached as Appendix A1,
19 setting forth exemplary combinations and motivation to combine the references.

20 Samartgis in view of Leoncavallo, Mazurkiewicz, Lane, Gorskey, Carl, and/or Johnson
21 renders obvious Claims 17 and 18 as shown in the claim charts attached as Appendix A1, setting
22 forth exemplary combinations and motivation to combine the references.

23 **Leoncavallo**

24 To the extent it does not anticipate one or more of the asserted claims, Leoncavallo in view
25 of the knowledge and skill of a POSITA renders obvious each asserted claim.

26 Leoncavallo in view of Samartgis, Mazurkiewicz, Anderson, Nichols, Gorskey, Carl

27 ¹ Whether or not explicitly stated, each obviousness ground in these Invalidity
28 Contentions should be understood to include the knowledge and skill of a POSITA.

1 and/or Johnson renders obvious Claim 16 as shown in the claim charts attached as Appendix A2,
2 setting forth exemplary combinations and motivation to combine the references.

3 Leoncavallo in view of Samartgis, Mazurkiewicz, Lane, Gorskey, Carl, and/or Johnson
4 renders obvious Claims 17 and 18 as shown in the claim charts attached as Appendix A2, setting
5 forth exemplary combinations and motivation to combine the references.

6 **Lane**

7 To the extent it does not anticipate one or more of the asserted claims, Lane in view of the
8 knowledge and skill of a POSITA renders obvious each asserted claim.

9 Lane in view of Samartgis, Contigo Jackson, Mazurkiewicz, Miller, Roth, Anderson,
10 and/or Lin renders obvious Claims 5-7 and 19 as shown in the claim charts attached as Appendix
11 A3, setting forth exemplary combinations and motivation to combine the references.

12 Lane in view of Samartgis, Leoncavallo, Mazurkiewicz, Anderson, Nichols, Gorskey, Carl,
13 and/or Johnson renders obvious Claim 16, including combinations of art for Claim 5 as shown in
14 the claim charts attached as Appendix A3, setting forth exemplary combinations and motivation
15 to combine the references.

16 Lane in view of Samartgis, Leoncavallo, Mazurkiewicz, Gorskey, Carl, and/or Johnson
17 renders obvious Claims 17 and 18, including combinations of art for Claim 5 as shown in the claim
18 charts attached as Appendix A3, setting forth exemplary combinations and motivation to combine
19 the references.

20 **Contigo Jackson**

21 To the extent it does not anticipate one or more of the asserted claims, Contigo Jackson in
22 view of the knowledge and skill of a POSITA renders obvious each asserted claim.

23 Contigo Jackson in view of Samartgis, Leoncavallo, Lane, Miller, and/or Lin renders
24 obvious Claims 5-7 and 19 as shown in the claim charts attached as Appendix A4, setting forth
25 exemplary combinations and motivation to combine the references.

26 Contigo Jackson in view of Samartgis, Leoncavallo, Mazurkiewicz, Anderson, Nichols,
27 Gorskey, Carl, and/or Johnson renders obvious Claim 16, including combinations of art for Claim
28 5 as shown in the claim charts attached as Appendix A4, setting forth exemplary combinations and

1 motivation to combine the references.

2 Contigo Jackson in view of Samartgis, Leoncavallo, Lane, Mazurkiewicz, Carl, Gorskey,
3 and/or Johnson renders obvious Claims 17 and 18, including combinations of art for Claim 5 as
4 shown in the claim charts attached as Appendix A4, setting forth exemplary combinations and
5 motivation to combine the references.

6 **Miller**

7 To the extent it does not anticipate one or more of the asserted claims, Miller in view of
8 the knowledge and skill of a POSITA renders obvious each asserted claim.

9 Miller in view of Contigo Jackson, Samartgis, Leoncavallo, Mazurkiewicz, Lane, and/or
10 Lin renders obvious Claims 5-7 and 19 as shown in the claim charts attached as Appendix A5,
11 setting forth exemplary combinations and motivation to combine the references.

12 Miller in view of Samartgis, Leoncavallo, Mazurkiewicz, Anderson, Nichols, Gorskey,
13 Carl, and/or Johnson renders obvious Claim 16, including combinations of art for Claim 5 as
14 shown in the claim charts attached as Appendix A5, setting forth exemplary combinations and
15 motivation to combine the references.

16 Miller in view of Samartgis, Leoncavallo, Mazurkiewicz, Lane, Carl, Gorskey, and/or
17 Johnson renders obvious Claims 17 and 18, including combinations of art for Claim 5 as shown in
18 the claim charts attached as Appendix A5, setting forth exemplary combinations and motivation
19 to combine the references.

20 **Copco Clip-and-Go**

21 To the extent it does not anticipate one or more of the asserted claims, Copco Clip-and-Go
22 in view of the knowledge and skill of a POSITA renders obvious each asserted claim.

23 Copco Clip-and-Go in view of Samartgis, Leoncavallo, Lane, Miller, Mazurkiewicz,
24 and/or Lin renders obvious Claims 5-7 and 19 as shown in the claim charts attached as Appendix
25 A6, setting forth exemplary combinations and motivation to combine the references.

26 Copco Clip-and-Go in view of Samartgis, Leoncavallo, Mazurkiewicz, Anderson, Nichols,
27 Gorskey, Carl, and/or Johnson renders obvious Claim 16, including combinations of art for Claim
28 5 as shown in the claim charts attached as Appendix A6, setting forth exemplary combinations and

1 motivation to combine the references.

2 Copco Clip-and-Go in view of Samartgis, Leoncavallo, Mazurkiewicz, Lane, Carl,
3 Gorskey, and/or Johnson renders obvious Claims 17 and 18, including combinations of art for
4 Claim 5 as shown in the claim charts attached as Appendix A6, setting forth exemplary
5 combinations and motivation to combine the references.

6 **Mazurkiewicz**

7 To the extent it does not anticipate one or more of the asserted claims, Mazurkiewicz in
8 view of the knowledge and skill of a POSITA renders obvious each asserted claim.

9 Mazurkiewicz in view of Samartgis, Leoncavallo, Contigo Jackson, Miller, Roth,
10 Anderson, and/or Lin renders obvious Claims 5-7, and 16-19 as shown in the claim charts attached
11 as Appendix A7, setting forth exemplary combinations and motivation to combine the references.

12 **C. '911 Patent Anticipation**

13 Ribarits anticipates Claims 14, 15, 16, 19, 37, and 38 as shown in the claim charts attached
14 as Appendix B1.

15 Hsu anticipates Claims 14-16, 19, 37, and 38 as shown in the claim charts attached as
16 Appendix B2.

17 Nakajima anticipates Claims 14-16, 37, and 38 as shown in the claim charts attached as
18 Appendix B3.

19 Park anticipates Claims 14-16, 37, and 38 as shown in the claim charts attached as
20 Appendix B4.

21 **D. '911 Patent Obviousness**

22 **Ribarits**

23 To the extent it does not anticipate one or more of the asserted claims, Ribarits in view of
24 the knowledge and skill of a POSITA renders obvious each asserted claim as shown in the claim
25 charts attached as Appendix B1.

26 Ribarits in view of Hsu, Nakajima, Park, McCormack, Yang, Getzewich, Coy, Edison,
27 US5085349, US5601207, Choi, Morifuji, Panicci, Lee, Goto, Ohmi, Sullivan, and/or Kubiliunas
28 renders obvious the asserted claims as shown in the claim charts attached as Appendix B1, setting

1 forth exemplary combinations and motivation to combine the references.

2 **Hsu**

3 To the extent it does not anticipate one or more of the asserted claims, Hsu in view of the
4 knowledge and skill of a POSITA renders obvious each asserted claim as shown in the claim charts
5 attached as Appendix B2.

6 Hsu in view of one or more of Ribarits, Nakajima, Park, McCormack, Yang, Getzewich,
7 Coy, Edison, US5085349, US5601207, Choi, and/or Morifuji renders obvious each asserted claim
8 as shown in the claim charts attached as Appendix B2, setting forth exemplary combinations and
9 motivation to combine references.

10 **Nakajima**

11 To the extent it does not anticipate one or more of the asserted claims, Nakajima in view
12 of the knowledge and skill of a POSITA renders obvious each asserted claim as shown in the claim
13 charts attached as Appendix B3.

14 Nakajima in view of Ribarits, Choi, Hsu, Park, Sullivan, Kubiliunas, McCormack, Yang,
15 Getzewich, Coy, Edison, US5085349, US5601207, Choi, Morifuji, and/or Morimoto renders
16 obvious renders obvious each asserted claim as shown in the claim charts attached as Appendix
17 B3, setting forth exemplary combinations and motivation to combine.

18 **Park**

19 To the extent it does not anticipate one or more of the asserted claims, Park in view of the
20 knowledge and skill of a POSITA renders obvious each asserted claim as shown in the claim charts
21 attached as Appendix 43..

22 Park in view of Ribarits, Choi, Nakajima, Hsu, Sullivan, Kubiliunas, McCormack, Yang,
23 Getzewich, Coy, Edison, US5085349, US5601207, Choi, Morifuji, and/or Morimoto renders
24 obvious renders obvious each asserted claim as shown in the claim charts attached as Appendix
25 B4, setting forth exemplary combinations and motivation to combine.

26 **E. '178 Patent Anticipation**

27 Ribarits anticipates Claims 1, 2, 10-12, and 20-22 as shown in the claim charts attached as
28 Appendix C1.

1 Hsu anticipates Claims 1-2, 4-6, 10-12, 14-17, and 20-22 as shown in the claim charts
2 attached as Appendix C2.

3 ///

4 Nakajima anticipates Claims 1-2, 4-6, 10-12, 14-17, and 20-22 as shown in the claim charts
5 attached as Appendix C3.

6 Park anticipates Claims 1-2, 4-6, 11-12, 14-17, and 20-22 as shown in the claim charts
7 attached as Appendix C4.

8 **F. '178 Patent Obviousness**

9 **Ribarits**

10 To the extent it does not anticipate one or more of the asserted claims, Ribarits in view of
11 the knowledge and skill of a POSITA renders obvious each asserted claim as shown in the claim
12 charts attached as Appendix C1.

13 Ribarits in view of Hsu, Nakajima, Park, McCormack, Yang, Getzewich, Coy, Edison,
14 US5085349, US5601207, Choi, Morifuji, Panicci, Sullivan, Kubiliunas, Goto, Ohmi and/or Roth
15 renders obvious each asserted claim as shown in the claim charts attached as Appendix C1, setting
16 forth exemplary combinations and motivation to combine the references.

17 **Hsu**

18 To the extent it does not anticipate one or more of the asserted claims, Hsu in view of the
19 knowledge and skill of a POSITA renders obvious each asserted claim as shown in the claim charts
20 attached as Appendix C2.

21 Hsu in view of one or more of Ribarits, Nakajima, Park, McCormack, Yang, Getzewich,
22 Coy, Edison, US5085349, US5601207, Choi, Morifuji, and/or Roth renders obvious each asserted
23 claims as shown in the claim charts attached as Appendix C2, setting forth exemplary
24 combinations and motivation to combine references.

25 **Nakajima**

26 To the extent it does not anticipate one or more of the asserted claims, Nakajima in view
27 of the knowledge and skill of a POSITA renders obvious each asserted claim.

28 Nakajima in view of Ribarits, Choi, Hsu, Park, Sullivan, Kubiliunas, McCormack, Yang,
5:23-CV-04267-PCP -15- Trove Brands' Invalidity Contentions

1 Getzewich, Coy, Edison, US5085349, US5601207, Choi, Morifuji, and/or Morimoto renders
2 obvious renders obvious each asserted claim as shown in the claim charts attached as Appendix
3 C3, setting forth exemplary combinations and motivation to combine.

4 **Park**

5 To the extent it does not anticipate one or more of the asserted claims, Park in view of the
6 knowledge and skill of a POSITA renders obvious each asserted claim.

7 Park in view of Ribarits, Choi, Nakajima, Hsu, Sullivan, Kubiliunas, McCormack, Yang,
8 Getzewich, Coy, Edison, US5085349, US5601207, Choi, Morifuji, and/or Morimoto renders
9 obvious renders obvious each asserted claim as shown in the claim charts attached as Appendix
10 C4, setting forth exemplary combinations and motivation to combine.

11 **G. '255 Patent Anticipation**

12 Ribarits anticipates Claims 1, 9, 11 -13, and 19 as shown in the claim charts attached as
13 Appendix D1.

14 Hsu anticipates Claims 1, 3-5, 9, 11-13, and 19 as shown in the claim charts attached as
15 Appendix D2.

16 Nakajima anticipates Claims 1, 3-5, 9, 11-13, and 19 as shown in the claim charts attached
17 as Appendix D3.

18 Park anticipates Claims 1, 3-5, 9, 11-13, and 19 as shown in the claim charts attached as
19 Appendix D4.

20 **H. '255 Patent Obviousness**

21 **Ribarits**

22 To the extent it does not anticipate one or more of the asserted claims, Ribarits in view of
23 the knowledge and skill of a POSITA renders obvious each asserted claim as shown in the claim
24 charts attached as Appendix D1.

25 Ribarits in view of Hsu, Nakajima, Park, Sullivan, Kubiliunas, McCormack, Yang,
26 Getzewich, Coy, Edison, US5085349, US5601207, Choi, Morifuji, Panicci, Lee, Goto, and/or
27 Ohmi renders obvious each asserted claim as shown in the claim charts attached as Appendix D1,
28 setting forth exemplary combinations and motivation to combine the references.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Hsu

To the extent it does not anticipate one or more of the asserted claims, Hsu in view of the knowledge and skill of a POSITA renders obvious each asserted claim as shown in the claim charts attached as Appendix D2.

Hsu in view of Ribarits, Nakajima, Park, Sullivan, Kubiliunas, McCormack, Yang, Getzewich, Coy, Edison, US5085349, US5601207, Choi, and/or Morifuji renders obvious each asserted claim as shown in the claim charts attached as Appendix D2, setting forth exemplary combinations and motivation to combine the references.

Nakajima

To the extent it does not anticipate one or more of the asserted claims, Nakajima in view of the knowledge and skill of a POSITA renders obvious each asserted claim as shown in the claim charts attached as Appendix D3.

Nakajima in view of Ribarits, Choi, Hsu, Park, Sullivan, Kubiliunas, McCormack, Yang, Getzewich, Coy, Edison, US5085349, US5601207, Choi, Morifuji, and/or Morimoto renders obvious renders obvious each asserted claim as shown in the claim charts attached as Appendix D3, setting forth exemplary combinations and motivation to combine.

Park

To the extent it does not anticipate one or more of the asserted claims, Park in view of the knowledge and skill of a POSITA renders obvious each asserted claim as shown in the claim charts attached as Appendix D4.

Park in view of Ribarits, Choi, Nakajima, Hsu, Sullivan, Kubiliunas, McCormack, Yang, Getzewich, Coy, Edison, US5085349, US5601207, Choi, Morifuji, and/or Morimoto renders obvious renders obvious each asserted claim as shown in the claim charts attached as Appendix D4, setting forth exemplary combinations and motivation to combine.

I. '028 Patent Anticipation

Ribarits anticipates Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 8, 9, 15, 16, and 21 as shown in the claim charts attached as Appendix E1.

Hsu anticipates Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 8, 9, 16, and 21 as shown in the claim charts attached as

1 Appendix E2.

2 Choi anticipates Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 8, 9, 15, 16, and 21 as shown in the claim charts attached
3 as Appendix E3.

4 Nakajima anticipates Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 8, 9, 15, 16, and 21 as shown in the claim charts
5 attached as Appendix E4.

6 **J. '028 Patent Obviousness**

7 **Ribarits**

8 To the extent it does not anticipate one or more of the asserted claims, Ribarits in view of
9 the knowledge and skill of a POSITA renders obvious each asserted claim as shown in the claim
10 charts attached as Appendix E1.

11 Ribarits in view one or more of Hsu, Choi, the Igloo Playmate, Roth, Park, Nakajima,
12 Uehara, Lin, the Thermos Funtainer, Morton, Jackel, and/or Yang renders obvious each asserted
13 claim as shown in the claim charts attached as Appendix E1, setting forth exemplary combinations
14 and motivation to combine the references.

15 **Hsu**

16 To the extent it does not anticipate one or more of the asserted claims, Hsu in view of the
17 knowledge and skill of a POSITA renders obvious each asserted claim as shown in the claim charts
18 attached as Appendix E2.

19 Hsu in view of Uehara, Ribarits, Lin, Choi, the Thermos Funtainer, Jackel, Yang, Morton,
20 Karl, the Igloo Playmate, and/or Roth renders obvious each asserted claim as shown in the claim
21 charts attached as Appendix E2, setting forth exemplary combinations and motivation to combine
22 the references.

23 **Choi**

24 To the extent it does not anticipate one or more of the asserted claims, Choi in view of the
25 knowledge and skill of a POSITA renders obvious each asserted claim as shown in the claim charts
26 attached as Appendix E3.

27 Choi in view of Hsu, Park, Nakajima, Uehara, Ribartis, Lin, the Thermos Funtainer, Jackel,
28 Morton, and/or Yang renders obvious renders obvious each asserted claim as shown in the claim

1 charts attached as Appendix E3, setting forth exemplary combinations and motivation to combine.

2 **Nakajima**

3 To the extent it does not anticipate one or more of the asserted claims, Nakajima in view
4 of the knowledge and skill of a POSITA renders obvious each asserted claim as shown in the claim
5 charts attached as Appendix E4.

6 Nakajima in view of Uehara, Ribarits, Lin, Choi, the Thermos Funtainer, Jackel, Yang,
7 Morton, Karl, the Igloo Playmate, and/or Roth renders obvious each asserted claim as shown in
8 the claim charts attached as Appendix E4, setting forth exemplary combinations and motivation to
9 combine the references.

10 **K. '879 Patent Anticipation**

11 Ribarits anticipates Claims 1, 5-8, 10-18 as shown in the claim charts attached as Appendix
12 F1.

13 Hsu anticipates Claims 1, 3, 5-19 as shown in the claim charts attached as Appendix F2.

14 Choi anticipates Claims 1, 3, 5-8, and 10-19 as shown in the claim charts attached as
15 Appendix F3.

16 Nakajima anticipates Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 8, 9, 15, 16, and 21 as shown in the claim charts
17 attached as Appendix F4.

18 **L. '879 Patent Obviousness**

19 **Ribarits**

20 To the extent it does not anticipate one or more of the asserted claims, Ribarits in view of
21 the knowledge and skill of a POSITA renders obvious each asserted claim as shown in the claim
22 charts attached as Appendix F1.

23 Ribarits in view of Hsu, Park, Nakajima, Uehara, Lin, the Thermos Funtainer, Morton,
24 Yang, Jackel, Menshen, Stahl, the Igloo Beverage Cooler, the Coleman Water Jug, and/or Choi
25 renders obvious each asserted claim as shown in the claim charts attached as Appendix F1, setting
26 forth exemplary combinations and motivation to combine the references..

27 **Hsu**

28 To the extent it does not anticipate one or more of the asserted claims, Hsu in view of the
5:23-CV-04267-PCP -19- Trove Brands' Invalidity Contentions

1 knowledge and skill of a POSITA renders obvious each asserted claim as shown in the claim charts
2 attached as Appendix F2.

3 ///

4 Hsu in view of Nakajima, Uehara, Ribarits, Lin, Choi, the Thermos Funtainer, Jackel,
5 Morton, Karl, Yang, and/or Park renders obvious each asserted claim as shown in the claim charts
6 attached as Appendix F2, setting forth exemplary combinations and motivation to combine the
7 references.

8 **Choi**

9 To the extent it does not anticipate one or more of the asserted claims, Choi in view of the
10 knowledge and skill of a POSITA renders obvious each asserted claim.

11 Choi in view of Hsu, Park, Nakajima, Uehara, Ribarits, Lin, the Thermos Funtainer, Jackel,
12 Morton, and/or Yang renders obvious each asserted claim as shown in the claim
13 charts attached as Appendix F3, setting forth exemplary combinations and motivation to combine.

14 **Nakajima**

15 To the extent it does not anticipate one or more of the asserted claims, Nakajima in view
16 of the knowledge and skill of a POSITA renders obvious each asserted claim as shown in the claim
17 charts attached as Appendix F4.

18 Nakajima in view of Hsu, Uehara, Ribarits, Lin, Choi, the Thermos Funtainer, Jackel,
19 Morton, Karl, Yang, Park, the Igloo Playmate, and/or Roth renders obvious each asserted claim as
20 shown in the claim charts attached as Appendix F4, setting forth exemplary combinations and
21 motivation to combine the references.

22 **M. '187 Patent Anticipation**

23 Ribarits anticipates Claims 1, 3-9, 11-17, and 19 as shown in the claim charts attached as
24 Appendix G1.

25 Hsu anticipates Claims 1, 3-9, 11-15, 17, 19 as shown in the claim charts attached as
26 Appendix G2.

27 Choi anticipates Claims 1, 3-9, 11-17, and 19 as shown in the claim charts attached as
28 Appendix G3.

1 Nakajima anticipates Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 8, 9, 15, 16, and 21 as shown in the claim charts
2 attached as Appendix G4.

3 **N. '187 Patent Obviousness**

4 **Ribarits**

5 To the extent it does not anticipate one or more of the asserted claims, Ribarits in view of
6 the knowledge and skill of a POSITA renders obvious each asserted claim as shown in the claim
7 charts attached as Appendix G1.

8 Ribarits in view one or more of Hsu, Choi, Yang, Park, Nakajima, Uehara, Lin, the
9 Thermos Funtainer, Morton, Jackel, Igloo Playmate, and/or Roth renders obvious each asserted
10 claim as shown in the claim charts attached as Appendix G1, setting forth exemplary combinations
11 and motivation to combine the references.

12 **Hsu**

13 To the extent it does not anticipate one or more of the asserted claims, Hsu in view of the
14 knowledge and skill of a POSITA renders obvious each asserted claim as shown in the claim charts
15 attached as Appendix G2.

16 Hsu in view of Nakajima, Uehara, Ribarits, Lin, Choi, the Thermos Funtainer, Jackel,
17 Yang, Morton, Karl, the Igloo Playmate, and/or Roth renders obvious each asserted claim as shown
18 in the claim charts attached as Appendix G2, setting forth exemplary combinations and motivation
19 to combine the references.

20 **Choi**

21 To the extent it does not anticipate one or more of the asserted claims, Choi in view of the
22 knowledge and skill of a POSITA renders obvious each asserted claim.

23 Choi in view of Hsu, Park, Nakajima, Uehara, Ribartis, Lin, the Thermos Funtainer, Jackel,
24 Morton, and/or Yang renders obvious renders obvious each asserted claim as shown in the claim
25 charts attached as Appendix G3, setting forth exemplary combinations and motivation to combine.

26 **Nakajima**

27 To the extent it does not anticipate one or more of the asserted claims, Nakajima in view
28 of the knowledge and skill of a POSITA renders obvious each asserted claim as shown in the claim

1 charts attached as Appendix G4.

2 ///

3 Nakajima in view of Hsu, Uehara, Ribarits, Lin, Choi, the Thermos Funtainer, Jackel,
4 Yang, Morton, Karl, the Igloo Playmate, and/or Roth renders obvious each asserted claim as shown
5 in the claim charts attached as Appendix G4, setting forth exemplary combinations and motivation
6 to combine the references.

7 **O. '250 Patent Anticipation**

8 Hsu anticipates Claims 1-2 and 4-6 as shown in the claim charts attached as Appendix H2.

9 Nakajima anticipates Claims 1-2 and 4-6 as shown in the claim charts attached as Appendix
10 H3.

11 Park anticipates Claims 1-2 and 4-6 as shown in the claim charts attached as Appendix H4.

12 **P. '250 Patent Obviousness**

13 **Ribarits**

14 To the extent it does not anticipate one or more of the asserted claims, Ribarits in view of
15 the knowledge and skill of a POSITA renders obvious each asserted claim as shown in the claim
16 charts attached as Appendix H1.

17 Ribarits in view of Panicci, Lee, Goto, Hsu, Nakajima, Park, Sullivan, Kubiliunas, and/or
18 Ohmi renders obvious each asserted claim as shown in the claim charts attached as Appendix H1,
19 setting forth exemplary combinations and motivation to combine the references.

20 **Hsu**

21 To the extent it does not anticipate one or more of the asserted claims, Hsu in view of the
22 knowledge and skill of a POSITA renders obvious each asserted claim as shown in the claim charts
23 attached as Appendix H2.

24 Hsu in view of one or more of Choi, Ribarits, and/or Morimoto renders obvious each
25 asserted claim as shown in the claim charts attached as Appendix H2, setting forth exemplary
26 combinations and motivation to combine references.

27 **Nakajima**

28 To the extent it does not anticipate one or more of the asserted claims, Nakajima in view

1 of the knowledge and skill of a POSITA renders obvious each asserted claim as shown in the claim
2 charts attached as Appendix H3.

3 Nakajima in view of one or more of Choi, Ribarits, and/or Morimoto renders obvious each
4 asserted claim as shown in the claim charts attached as Appendix H3, setting forth exemplary
5 combinations and motivation to combine references.

6 **Park**

7 To the extent it does not anticipate one or more of the asserted claims, Park in view of the
8 knowledge and skill of a POSITA renders obvious each asserted claim as shown in the claim charts
9 attached as Appendix H4.

10 Park in view of one or more of Choi, Ribarits, and/or Morimoto renders obvious each
11 asserted claim as shown in the claim charts attached as Appendix H4, setting forth exemplary
12 combinations and motivation to combine references.

13 **IV. Secondary Considerations**

14 Trove Brands is not aware of any secondary considerations of non-obviousness. Given
15 that the subject matter claimed in the Asserted Patents is not new, Trove Brands is not aware of
16 any commercial success attributable to the claimed subject matter, long-felt need in the industry,
17 unexpected results, skepticism of the invention, or teaching away from the invention. Trove
18 Brands is also not aware of any praise for the alleged inventions or copying of the alleged
19 inventions by other companies. CamelBak has not identified any secondary considerations of non-
20 obviousness in its Infringement Contentions. If Defendants identify any alleged evidence of
21 secondary considerations in the future, Trove Brands reserves the right to respond to that
22 information.

23 **V. CHARTS IDENTIFYING SPECIFICALLY WHERE IN EACH ITEM OF PRIOR**
24 **ART EACH ASSERTED CLAIM IS FOUND (PLR 3-3(c)).**

25 These charts are attached hereto as Appendices A1-G3. The table below summarizes the
26 Appendices:
27
28

Appx.	Asserted Patent	Reference(s)
A1	'252 Patent	Samartgis alone or in view of Leoncavallo, Lane, Mazurkiewicz, Anderson, Nichols, Gorskey, Carl, and Johnson
A2	'252 Patent	Leoncavallo alone or in view of Samartgis, Lane, Mazurkiewicz, Anderson, Nichols, Gorskey, Carl and/or Johnson
A3	'252 Patent	Lane alone or in view of Samartgis, Leoncavallo, Contigo Jackson, Miller, Mazurkiewicz, Roth, Anderson, Nichols, Gorskey, Carl, Johnson, and/or Lin
A4	'252 Patent	Contigo Jackson alone or in view of Samartgis, Leoncavallo, Lane, Mazurkiewicz, Anderson, Miller, Nichols, Gorskey, Carl, Johnson, and/or Lin
A5	'252 Patent	Miller alone or in view of Contigo Jackson, Samartgis, Leoncavallo, Lane, Mazurkiewicz, Anderson, Nichols, Gorskey, Carl, Johnson, and/or Lin
A6	'252 Patent	Copco Clip-and-Go alone or in view of Samartgis, Leoncavallo, Lane, Mazurkiewicz, Anderson, Miller, Nichols, Gorskey, Carl, Johnson, and/or Lin
A7	'252 Patent	Mazurkiewicz alone or in view of Samartgis, Leoncavallo, Contigo Jackson, Miller, Roth, Anderson, and/or Lin.
B1	'911 Patent	Ribarits alone or in view of Hsu, Nakajima, Park, McCormack, Yang, Getzewich, Coy, Edison, US5085349, US5601207, Choi, Morifuji, Panicci, Lee, Goto, Ohmi, Sullivan, and/or Kubiliunas
B2	'911 Patent	Hsu alone or in view of one or more of Ribarits, Nakajima, Park, McCormack, Yang, Getzewich, Coy, Edison, US5085349, US5601207, Choi, and/or Morifuji
B3	'911 Patent	Nakajima alone or in view of Ribarits, Choi, Hsu, Park, Sullivan, Kubiliunas, McCormack, Yang, Getzewich, Coy, Edison, US5085349, US5601207, Choi, Morifuji, and/or Morimoto
B4	'911 Patent	Park alone or in view of Ribarits, Choi, Nakajima, Hsu, Sullivan, Kubiliunas, McCormack, Yang, Getzewich, Coy, Edison, US5085349, US5601207, Choi, Morifuji, and/or Morimoto
C1	'178 Patent	Ribarits alone or in view of Hsu, Nakajima, Park, McCormack, Yang, Getzewich, Coy, Edison, US5085349, US5601207, Choi, Morifuji, Panicci, Sullivan, Kubiliunas, Goto, Ohmi and/or Roth
C2	'178 Patent	Hsu alone or in view of one or more of Ribarits, Nakajima, Park, McCormack, Yang, Getzewich, Coy, Edison, US5085349,

Appx.	Asserted Patent	Reference(s)
		US5601207, Choi, Morifuji, and/or Roth
C3	'178 Patent	Nakajima alone or in view of Ribarits, Choi, Hsu, Park, Sullivan, Kubiliunas, McCormack, Yang, Getzewich, Coy, Edison, US5085349, US5601207, Choi, Morifuji, and/or Morimoto
C4	'178 Patent	Park alone or in view of Ribarits, Choi, Nakajima, Hsu, Sullivan, Kubiliunas, McCormack, Yang, Getzewich, Coy, Edison, US5085349, US5601207, Choi, Morifuji, and/or Morimoto
D1	'255 Patent	Ribarits alone or in view of Hsu, Nakajima, Park, Sullivan, Kubiliunas, McCormack, Yang, Getzewich, Coy, Edison, US5085349, US5601207, Choi, Morifuji, Panicci, Lee, Goto, and/or Ohmi
D2	'255 Patent	Hsu alone or in view of Ribarits, Nakajima, Park, Sullivan, Kubiliunas, McCormack, Yang, Getzewich, Coy, Edison, US5085349, US5601207, Choi, and/or Morifuji
D3	'255 Patent	Nakajima alone or in view of Ribarits, Choi, Hsu, Park, Sullivan, Kubiliunas, McCormack, Yang, Getzewich, Coy, Edison, US5085349, US5601207, Choi, Morifuji, and/or Morimoto
D4	'255 Patent	Park alone or in view of Ribarits, Choi, Nakajima, Hsu, Sullivan, Kubiliunas, McCormack, Yang, Getzewich, Coy, Edison, US5085349, US5601207, Choi, Morifuji, and/or Morimoto
E1	'028 Patent	Ribarits alone or in view one or more of Hsu, Choi, the Igloo Playmate, Roth, Park, Nakajima, Uehara, Lin, the Thermos Funtainer, Morton, Jackel, and/or Yang
E2	'028 Patent	Hsu alone or in view of Uehara, Ribarits, Lin, Choi, the Thermos Funtainer, Jackel, Yang, Morton, Karl, the Igloo Playmate, and/or Roth
E3	'028 Patent	Choi alone or in view of Hsu, Park, Nakajima, Uehara, Ribartis, Lin, the Thermos Funtainer, Jackel, Morton, and/or Yang
E4	'028 Patent	Nakajima alone or in view of Uehara, Ribarits, Lin, Choi, the Thermos Funtainer, Jackel, Yang, Morton, Karl, the Igloo Playmate, and/or Roth
F1	'879 Patent	Ribarits alone or in view of Hsu, Park, Nakajima, Uehara, Lin, the Thermos Funtainer, Morton, Yang, Jackel, Menshen, Stahl, the Igloo Beverage Cooler, the Coleman Water Jug, and/or Choi
F2	'879 Patent	Hsu alone or in view of Nakajima, Uehara, Ribarits, Lin, Choi, the Thermos Funtainer, Jackel, Morton, Karl, Yang, Park, the

Appx.	Asserted Patent	Reference(s)
		Igloo Playmate, and/or Roth
F3	'879 Patent	Choi alone or in view of Hsu, Park, Nakajima, Uehara, Ribartis, Lin, the Thermos Funtainer, Jackel, Morton, and/or Yang
F4	'879 Patent	Nakajima alone or in view of Hsu, Uehara, Ribarits, Lin, Choi, the Thermos Funtainer, Jackel, Morton, Karl, Yang, Park, the Igloo Playmate, and/or Roth
G1	'187 Patent	Ribarits alone or in view one or more of Hsu, Choi, Yang, Park, Nakajima, Uehara, Lin, the Thermos Funtainer, Morton, Jackel, Igloo Playmate, and/or Roth
G2	'187 Patent	Hsu alone or in view of Nakajima, Uehara, Ribarits, Lin, Choi, the Thermos Funtainer, Jackel, Yang, Morton, Karl, the Igloo Playmate, and/or Roth
G3	'187 Patent	Choi alone or in view of Hsu, Park, Nakajima, Uehara, Ribartis, Lin, the Thermos Funtainer, Jackel, Morton, and/or Yang
G4	'187 Patent	Nakajima alone or in view of Hsu, Uehara, Ribarits, Lin, Choi, the Thermos Funtainer, Jackel, Yang, Morton, Karl, the Igloo Playmate, and/or Roth
H1	'250 Patent	Ribarits in view of Panicci, Lee, Goto, Hsu, Nakajima, Park, Sullivan, Kubiliunas, and/or Ohmi.
H2	'250 Patent	Hsu alone or in view of Choi, Ribarits, and/or Morimoto.
H3	'250 Patent	Nakajima alone or in view of Choi, Ribarits, and/or Morimoto.
H4	'250 Patent	Park alone or in view of Choi, Ribarits, and/or Morimoto.

**VI. GROUNDS OF INVALIDITY BASED ON 35 U.S.C. § 101, INDEFINITENESS
UNDER § 112(b) OR PRE-AIA §112, ¶2, OR ENABLEMENT OR WRITTEN
DESCRIPTION UNDER §112(a) OR PRE-AIA §112, ¶1 (PLR 3-3(d)).**

Claim 1 of the '028 Patent, claim 1 of the '879 Patent, and claim 1 of the '187 Patent are invalid because the claim limitation “a user release mechanism adapted to automatically disengage the first and second catch structures upon actuation of the user release mechanism and thereby release the mouthpiece assembly to move via its bias from the stowed configuration to the dispensing configuration” is indefinite under pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. §112, ¶2. Claim 21 of the '028

1 Patent is invalid because the claim limitation “a user release mechanism adapted to automatically
2 release the mouthpiece assembly from the stowed configuration to the dispensing configuration
3 via the bias of the mouthpiece assembly” is indefinite under §112, ¶2. Each remaining claim in
4 the '028, '879, and '187 Patents depends from the above independent claims, and is therefore
5 invalid due to indefiniteness.

6 Specifically, the above claim limitations are governed by pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. §112, ¶6,
7 because the preceding limitations are expressed as a means or step for performing a specified
8 function without the recital of sufficient structure to perform the claimed functions. Therefore, the
9 elements are properly construed to cover the corresponding structure, material, or acts described
10 in the specification and equivalents thereof, for accomplishing the claimed functions. However,
11 the specification does not recite structure for accomplishing these functions. The claims are
12 therefore indefinite under §112, ¶2.

13 **VII. DOCUMENT PRODUCTION ACCOMPANYING INVALIDITY CONTENTIONS**

14 **(PLR 3-4)**

15 Trove Brands has produced, or with these Invalidity Contentions is producing, the
16 documents required by PLR 3-4, and below separately identifies by production number which
17 documents correspond to each category.

18 Documents to be produced with our invalidity contentions include documents designated
19 “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS’ EYES ONLY.” As provided in the Protective
20 Order of the Northern District of California, the documents may be disclosed only to Outside
21 Counsel of Record, and may not be disclosed to in-house counsel or any other person within
22 CamelBak.

23 **A. Source code, specifications, schematics, flow charts, artwork, formulas, or** 24 **other documentation sufficient to show the operation of any aspects or elements of an** 25 **Accused Instrumentality identified by the patent claimant in its Patent L.R. 3-1(c)** 26 **chart (PLR 3-4(a))**

27 Trove Brands has produced or is producing documents responsive to PLR 3-4(a) at
28 TROVE0010214-0010435.

B. A copy or sample of the prior art identified pursuant to Patent L.R. 3-3(a) which does not appear in the file history of the patent(s) at issue

To the extent any such item is not in English, an English translation of the portion(s) relied upon shall be produced (PLR 3-4(b)).

Trove Brands has produced or is producing documents responsive to PLR 3-4(b) at TROVE0010926-0013105 and TROVE0013107-0013322.

C. All agreements that may be related to the accused instrumentality or may be comparable to a license that would result from a hypothetical reasonable royalty negotiation (PLR 3-4(c))

Trove Brands has produced or is producing documents responsive to PLR 3-4(c) at TROVE0010451-0010580 and TROVE0013323-0013356.

D. Documents sufficient to show the sales, revenue, cost, and profits for accused instrumentalities identified pursuant to Patent L.R. 3-1(b) for any period of alleged infringement (PLR 3-4(d))

Trove Brands has produced or is producing documents responsive to PLR 3-4(d) at TROVE0013106.

E. All agreements that may be used to support the party denying infringement's damages case (PLR 3-4(e))

N/A.

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

Dated: July 18, 2024

By: /s/ Cheryl T. Burgess

Ali S. Razai

Cheryl T. Burgess

Jacob R. Rosenbaum

Robert W. Servilio

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Trove Brands, LLC

1 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

2 I, the undersigned, certify and declare that I am a citizen of the United States. I am over
3 the age of 18 and not a party to the above-entitled case. I am employed in Irvine, California, in the
4 office of a member of the bar of this Court at whose direction this service was made. My business
5 address is 2040 Main Street, Fourteenth Floor, Irvine, California. I am readily familiar with the
6 firm's practices for the collection and processing of mail, and that mail so processed is deposited
7 the same day during the ordinary course of business.

8 On July 18, 2024, I caused to be served a true copy of the foregoing document,
9 **TROVE BRANDS' INVALIDITY CONTENTIONS** on the parties or their counsel shown
10 below, via e-mail:

11 **Attorney for Defendants**

12 Ryan J. Schletzbaum
13 Email: rschletzbaum@shb.com
14 SHOOK, HARDY & BACON LLP
15 2555 Grand Blvd.
16 Kansas City, MO 64108
17 Telephone: (816) 559-2693
18 Facsimile: (816) 421-5547

19 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the
20 above is true and correct.

21 Executed on July 18, 2024, at Irvine, California.

22 /s/ Estefania Munoz
23 Estefania Munoz
24
25
26
27
28