IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION

ASUS TECHNOLOGY LICENSING INC. AND CELERITY IP, LLC, Plaintiffs, v. **AT&T CORP.**, **AT&T MOBILITY LLC,** **AT&T MOBILITY II LLC, AND** **AT&T SERVICES, INC.,** Defendants. **ERICSSON INC.,** **NOKIA CORPORATION OF AMERICA,** Intervenors.	Civil Action No. 2:23-cv-486 LEAD CASE **JURY TRIAL**
ASUS TECHNOLOGY LICENSING INC. AND CELERITY IP, LLC, Plaintiffs, v. **T-MOBILE USA, INC.,** Defendant. **ERICSSON INC.,** **NOKIA CORPORATION OF AMERICA,** Intervenors.	Civil Action No. 2:23-cv-487 **JURY TRIAL**

ASUS Technology Exhibit 2020
AT&T et al. v. ASUS Technology
IPR2024-01142, Page 1 of 6

ASUS TECHNOLOGY LICENSING INC. AND CELERITY IP, LLC, Plaintiffs, v. **CELLCO PARTNERSHIP D/B/A VERIZON WIRELESS,** Defendant. **ERICSSON INC., NOKIA CORPORATION OF AMERICA,** Intervenors.	Civil Action No. 2:23-cv-488 **JURY TRIAL**
INNOVATIVE SONIC LIMITED AND CELERITY IP, LLC, Plaintiffs, v. **AT&T CORP., AT&T MOBILITY LLC, AT&T MOBILITY II LLC, AND AT&T SERVICES, INC.,** Defendants. **ERICSSON INC., NOKIA CORPORATION OF AMERICA,** Intervenors.	Civil Action No. 2:23-cv-489 **JURY TRIAL**

ASUS Technology Exhibit 2020
AT&T et al. v. ASUS Technology
IPR2024-01142, Page 2 of 6

INNOVATIVE SONIC LIMITED AND CELERITY IP, LLC, Plaintiffs, v. **T-MOBILE USA, INC.,** Defendant. **ERICSSON INC.,** **NOKIA CORPORATION OF AMERICA,** Intervenors.	Civil Action No. 2:23-cv-490 **JURY TRIAL**
INNOVATIVE SONIC LIMITED AND CELERITY IP, LLC, Plaintiffs, v. **CELLCO PARTNERSHIP D/B/A VERIZON WIRELESS,** Defendant. **ERICSSON INC.,** **NOKIA CORPORATION OF AMERICA,** Intervenors.	Civil Action No. 2:23-cv-491 **JURY TRIAL**

[PROPOSED] AMENDED DOCKET CONTROL ORDER

ASUS Technology Exhibit 2020
AT&T et al. v. ASUS Technology
IPR2024-01142, Page 3 of 6

It is hereby ORDERED that the following amendment to the Docket Control Order, Dkt. 52, shall be in effect until further order of this Court:

Original Date	New Date	Event
July 21, 2025	July 21, 2025	*Jury Selection – 9:00 a.m. in **Marshall, Texas**
7 days before Jury Selection	7 days before Jury Selection	*Defendant to disclose final invalidity theories, final prior art references/combinations, and final equitable defenses.
10 days before Jury Selection	10 days before Jury Selection	*Plaintiff to disclose final election of Asserted Claims and final equitable defenses to Defendants' Counterclaims.
June 23, 2025	June 23, 2025	If a juror questionnaire is to be used, an editable (in Microsoft Word format) questionnaire shall be jointly submitted to the Deputy Clerk in Charge by this date.[1]
June 16 - 17, 2025	June 16 - 17, 2025	*Pretrial Conference – 9:00 a.m. in **Marshall, Texas** before Judge Roy Payne[2]
June 9, 2025	June 9, 2025	*Notify Court of Agreements Reached During Meet and Confer The parties are ordered to meet and confer on any outstanding objections or motions *in limine*. The parties shall advise the Court of any agreements reached no later than 1:00 p.m. three (3) business days before the pretrial conference.
June 9, 2025	June 9, 2025	*File Joint Pretrial Order, Joint Proposed Jury Instructions, Joint Proposed Verdict Form, Responses to Motions *in Limine*, Updated Exhibit Lists, Updated Witness Lists, and Updated Deposition Designations
June 2, 2025	June 2, 2025	*File Notice of Request for Daily Transcript or Real Time Reporting. If a daily transcript or real time reporting of court proceedings is requested for trial, the party or parties making said request shall file a notice with the Court and e-mail the Court Reporter,

[1] The Parties are referred to the Court's Standing Order Regarding Use of Juror Questionnaires in Advance of *Voir Dire.*

[2] Pretrial conference dates set by the Court include June 17, 2025 for cases 2:23-cv-486, 2:23-cv- 487, and 2:23-cv-488 (hereinafter "*ATL Cases*") and June 16, 2025 for cases 2:23-cv-489, 2:23- cv-490, and 2:23-cv-491 (hereinafter "*ISL Cases*") but the Court will allow pretrial issues concerning any of the six cases to be addressed across both days.

ASUS Technology Exhibit 2020
AT&T et al. v. ASUS Technology
IPR2024-01142, Page 4 of 6

		Shawn McRoberts, at shawn_mcroberts@txed.uscourts.gov.
May 28, 2025	April 28, 2025	File Motions *in Limine* The parties shall limit their motions *in limine* to issues that if improperly introduced at trial would be so prejudicial that the Court could not alleviate the prejudice by giving appropriate instructions to the jury.
May 28, 2025	June 2, 2025	Serve Objections to Rebuttal Pretrial Disclosures
May 19, 2025	May 26, 2025	Serve Objections to Pretrial Disclosures; and Serve Rebuttal Pretrial Disclosures
May 5, 2025	May 19, 2025	Serve Pretrial Disclosures (Witness List, Deposition Designations, and Exhibit List) by the Party with the Burden of Proof
April 28, 2025	May 12, 2025	*Response to Dispositive Motions (including *Daubert* Motions). Responses to dispositive motions that were filed prior to the dispositive motion deadline, including *Daubert* Motions, shall be due in accordance with Local Rule CV-7(e), not to exceed the deadline as set forth in this Docket Control Order.[3] Motions for Summary Judgment shall comply with Local Rule CV-56.
April 14, 2025	April 28, 2025	*File Motions to Strike Expert Testimony (including *Daubert* Motions) No motion to strike expert testimony (including a *Daubert* motion) may be filed after this date without leave of the Court.
April 14, 2025	April 28, 2025	*File Dispositive Motions No dispositive motion may be filed after this date without leave of the Court. Motions shall comply with Local Rule CV-56 and Local Rule CV-7. <u>Motions to extend page limits will only be granted in exceptional circumstances. Exceptional circumstances require more than agreement among the parties</u>.

[3] The parties are directed to Local Rule CV-7(d), which provides in part that "[a] party's failure to oppose a motion in the manner prescribed herein creates a presumption that the party does not controvert the facts set out by movant and has no evidence to offer in opposition to the motion." If the deadline under Local Rule CV 7(e) exceeds the deadline for Response to Dispositive Motions, the deadline for Response to Dispositive Motions controls.

ASUS Technology Exhibit 2020
AT&T et al. v. ASUS Technology
IPR2024-01142, Page 5 of 6

April 7, 2025	April 21, 2025	Deadline to Complete Expert Discovery
March 31, 2025	April 14, 2025	Serve Disclosures for Rebuttal Expert Witnesses
March 3, 2025	March 24, 2025	Deadline to Complete Fact Discovery and File Motions to Compel Discovery
March 3, 2025	March 24, 2025	Serve Disclosures for Expert Witnesses by the Party with the Burden of Proof
February 19, 2025	February 19, 2025	Comply with P.R. 3-7 (Opinion of Counsel Defenses)
February 5, 2025	TBD	*ATL Cases* – *Claim Construction Hearing – 9:00 a.m. in **Marshall, Texas** before Judge Roy Payne
January 22, 2025	TBD	*ISL Cases* – *Claim Construction Hearing – 9:00 a.m. in **Marshall, Texas** before Judge Roy Payne
January 22, 2025	February 12, 2025	*ATL Cases* – *Comply with P.R. 4-5(d) (Joint Claim Construction Chart)
January 16, 2025	February 3, 2025	Deadline to Substantially Complete Document Production and Exchange Privilege Logs Counsel are expected to make good faith efforts to produce all required documents as soon as they are available and not wait until the substantial completion deadline.
January 15, 2025	February 7, 2025	*ATL Cases* – *Comply with P.R. 4-5(c) (Reply Claim Construction Brief)
January 8, 2025	February 12, 2025	*ISL Cases* – *Comply with P.R. 4-5(d) (Joint Claim Construction Chart)
January 7, 2025	January 31, 2025	*ATL Cases* – Comply with P.R. 4-5(b) (Responsive Claim Construction Brief)
January 2, 2025	February 7, 2025	*ISL Cases* – *Comply with P.R. 4-5(c) (Reply Claim Construction Briefs)
December 23, 2024	January 31, 2025	*ISL Cases* – Comply with P.R. 4-5(b) (Responsive Claim Construction Brief)
December 11, 2024	January 31, 2025	*ATL Cases* – Submit Technical Tutorials (if any) Good cause must be shown to submit technical tutorials after the deadline to comply with P.R. 4-5(a).

(*) indicates a deadline that cannot be changed without an acceptable showing of good cause. Good cause is not shown merely by indicating that the parties agree that the deadline should be changed.

ASUS Technology Exhibit 2020
AT&T et al. v. ASUS Technology
IPR2024-01142, Page 6 of 6