

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

ASUS TECHNOLOGY LICENSING INC.
AND CELERITY IP, LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

AT&T INC., AT&T CORP., AT&T
MOBILITY LLC, AT&T MOBILITY II
LLC, and AT&T SERVICES, INC.,

Defendants.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:23-CV-486 -JRG-RSP
(LEAD CASE)

ORDER

On June 20, 2024, the Court heard arguments on Dkt. Nos. 54, 55,¹ 103, 108, 121, 122, and 124.

The Court **GRANTED** Nokia's and Ericsson's Motions to Intervene (Dkt. Nos. 54, 55) under Rule 24(b) with the understanding the intervenors have no intent to add additional claims for the reasons orally assigned.

The Court further **GRANTED** Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Source Code (Dkt. Nos. 103, 108) for the reasons orally assigned and **ORDERED** Ericson to either unzip all files or reduce their size to less than 100 MegaBytes within 2 weeks.

The Court **DENIED** Counterclaim Defendant's Motion for Protective order (Dkt. No. 122) for the reasons orally assigned.

The Court **GRANTED-IN-PART** Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Source Code Parameters and Configurations files for the reasons orally assigned and **ORDERED** a two-hour deposition of an engineer competent in the sought configuration files. The Court initially carried the issue of

¹ The hearing was also noticed for Dkt. No. 102 but the Court takes this motion under submission on the briefing.

parameters and now **GRANTS** Plaintiff's Motion as to this request.

Last, the Court **GRANTED-IN-PART** Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Interrogatory Responses (Dkt. No. 121) as to Interrogatory 6 and **DENIED-IN-PART** as to Interrogatory 7 as orally assigned. Plaintiff withdrew its Motion as to Interrogatory 10 and 12.

SIGNED this 30th day of June, 2024.


ROY S. PAYNE
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE