

Filed October 15, 2025

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

REED SEMICONDUCTOR CORP.,
Petitioner,

v.

MONOLITHIC POWER SYSTEMS, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2024-01158

Patent No. 9,041,377

Before JEAN R. HOMERE, KARL D. EASTHOM, and KEN B. BARRETT,
Administrative Patent Judges.

**UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR ANNALIESE PARKER
TO APPEAR PRO HAC VICE ON BEHALF OF
PETITIONER REED SEMICONDUCTOR CORP.**

I. STATEMENT OF THE PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED

Pursuant to the Board’s July 29, 2024 Notice of Filing Date Accorded (Paper 3) and 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.10(c) and 42.22, Petitioner Reed Semiconductor Corp. (“Reed”) hereby moves for an Order allowing Annaliese Parker of Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP to appear *pro hac vice* on behalf of Reed in the above-captioned case. Reed has conferred with counsel for Patent Owner Monolithic Power Systems, Inc. (“MPS”), and MPS’s counsel indicated that MPS does not oppose Reed’s motion to allow Ms. Parker to appear *pro hac vice* in this matter.

II. LIST OF EXHIBITS RELIED UPON FOR THIS MOTION

Reed Exhibit 1021 - Declaration of Annaliese Parker in Support of Motion to Appear *Pro Hac Vice* on Behalf of Petitioner Reed Semiconductor Corp.

III. REASONS THE REQUESTED RELIEF SHOULD BE GRANTED

As set forth below in the Statement of Material Facts, Reed has made all of the showings required under 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c) for recognizing Ms. Parker *pro hac vice*. In particular, Ms. Parker is an experienced litigation attorney who has represented clients in patent litigation cases in United States District Court, including technically and legally complex matters such as will be present in this proceeding. Accordingly, allowing Ms. Parker to appear *pro hac vice* on behalf of Reed is appropriate in this proceeding.

IV. STATEMENT OF MATERIAL FACTS

1. 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c) provides that “[t]he Board may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner and to any other conditions as the Board may impose. For example, where the lead counsel is a registered practitioner, a motion to appear *pro hac vice* by counsel who is not a registered practitioner may be granted upon showing that counsel is an experienced litigating attorney and has an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in the proceeding.”

2. Lead counsel for Reed in this *inter partes* review proceeding is Andrew J. Gray, IV. Mr. Gray is registered to practice before the United States Patent and Trademark Office and holds Registration No. 41,796.

3. As set forth in Reed Exhibit 1021 (the “Parker Declaration”), Ms. Parker is an experienced litigation attorney and has an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in this proceeding. Parker Decl. ¶¶ 2-3. In particular, Ms. Parker has a year of experience as a patent litigator and has represented clients in patent litigation in various proceedings in United States District Court. *Id.* ¶ 2.

4. Further, Ms. Parker is counsel for Reed in the co-pending litigation involving the same patent at issue in this proceeding—U.S. Patent No. 9,041,377 (“the ’377 patent”)—and has gained experience with the subject matter of that

patent. *Id.* ¶ 3.

5. With regard to the '377 patent, Ms. Parker is familiar with the '377 patent, and with the legal subject matter, technical subject matter, and cited art discussed in the petition for *inter partes* review of the '377 patent. *Id.* ¶ 3. In view of her legal experience, technical background, and familiarity with the issues in the present matter, Petitioner Reed has requested her services in the present matter.

6. Ms. Parker has read and will comply with the Patent Trial and Appeal Board Consolidated Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials set forth in Part 42 of Title 37 of the Code of Federal Regulations. *Id.* ¶ 8. Ms. Parker also agrees to be subject to the United States Patent and Trademark Office Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.*, and disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a). *Id.* ¶ 9.

7. Finally, Ms. Parker has attested to the remaining elements of Paragraph 2(b) of the representative "Order – Authorizing Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission" in IPR2013-00639, Paper 7. *Id.* ¶¶ 3-10; *see* Notice of Filing Date Accorded to Petition and Time for Filing Patent Owner Preliminary Response (Paper 3) at 2.

V. CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing and having satisfied the requirements of 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), Reed hereby moves for an Order allowing Annaliese Parker of Morgan,

Lewis & Bockius LLP, to appear *pro hac vice* on behalf of Reed in the above-captioned case.

Dated: October 15, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

/Andrew J. Gray IV/

Andrew J. Gray IV, Reg. No. 41,796

Lead Counsel for Petitioner

Reed Semiconductor Corp.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies service on the Petitioner, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.6(e) and by agreement of counsel, by electronic (e-mail) delivery of a true copy of the foregoing **UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR ANNALIESE PARKER TO APPEAR *PRO HAC VICE* ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER REED SEMICONDUCTOR CORP.** was served on October 15, 2025 to the following Counsel for Patent Owner via email:

John P. Schnurer
john.schnurer@foley.com
Miguel Bombach
miguel.bombach@foley.com
John Esterhay
esterhay-ptab@perkinscoie.com

Dated: October 15, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

/Andrew J. Gray IV/

Andrew J. Gray IV, Reg. No. 41,796
Lead Counsel for Petitioner
Reed Semiconductor Corp.