

From: [Trials](#)
To: [Matthew Phillips](#); [Trials](#)
Cc: [Chris Kelly](#); ["Dan Higgs"](#); ["Kevin Laurence"](#); ["Derek Meeker"](#); ["Joseph Hawkins"](#); [Warren Thomas](#); [Gregory Carlin](#); [Lee Hamilton](#)
Subject: RE: IPR2024-01209, IPR2024-01210, IPR2024-01211, and IPR2024-01212
Date: Thursday, January 15, 2026 1:12:22 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Counsel,

The Board will expunge the exhibits and papers that are to be replaced. After that occurs, Patent Owner must promptly file the replacement versions of the documents.

Regards,

Andrew Kellogg,
Deputy Chief Clerk, Trials
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
USPTO
andrew.kellogg@uspto.gov
(571) 272-5366



From: Matthew Phillips <mphillips@lpiplaw.com>
Sent: Tuesday, January 6, 2026 9:37 PM
To: Trials <Trials@USPTO.GOV>
Cc: Chris Kelly <ckelly@mcciplaw.com>; 'Dan Higgs' <dhiggs@lpiplaw.com>; 'Kevin Laurence' <klaurence@lpiplaw.com>; 'Derek Meeker' <dmeeker@lpiplaw.com>; 'Joseph Hawkins' <jhawkins@lpiplaw.com>; Warren Thomas <wthomas@mcciplaw.com>; Gregory Carlin <GCarlin@mcciplaw.com>; Lee Hamilton <lhamilton@mcciplaw.com>
Subject: IPR2024-01209, IPR2024-01210, IPR2024-01211, and IPR2024-01212

CAUTION: This email has originated from a source outside of USPTO. **PLEASE CONSIDER THE SOURCE** before responding, clicking on links, or opening attachments.

Dear Board,

In light of the Board's November 6, 2025 rulings on the motions to seal, the patent owner, Stratus, would like to file revised "replacement" versions of its responses (papers 13 and 14 for IPRs -01209 and -01212, papers 15 and 16 for IPRs -01210 and -01211) and exhibits 2015 and 2016 (the Jorgensen declaration). The only revisions are to remove confidentiality designations

and redactions for the content that the Board ruled should not be sealed. That is the material in gold highlighting in exhibit 2039, which is a version of the Jorgensen declaration in which Stratus proposed to remove some confidentiality designations (in gold) while retaining others (in violet). Stratus's proposed revised documents are attached. Stratus has not changed the underlying content of any document — only what is designated confidential/redacted.

Stratus therefore seeks permission to replace the copies of exhibits 2015 and 2016 and its responses currently in the record with the attached revised copies. That is, Stratus respectfully asks the Board to expunge the current versions of those documents and either allow Stratus to file the replacement versions, or, if it would be easier for the Board to put the replacement versions in the record itself, Stratus asks that it please do so.

The petitioner does not object to this request.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Matthew C. Phillips

Laurence & Phillips IP Law

mphillips@lpiplaw.com

503-964-1129