

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

THERMALTAKE TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD. and
THERMALTAKE INC.,
Petitioners

v.

CHIEN-HAO CHEN,
Patent Owner

Case IPR2024-01230
Patent 10,690,336

PETITIONERS' REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70 and the Scheduling Order (Paper 13), as well as the Board's September 22, 2025 email (Ex[3006]), Petitioners Thermaltake Technology Co., Ltd. and Thermaltake Inc. ("Petitioners") respectfully request oral argument on issues relating to the patentability of Claims 1-5 of U.S. Patent No. 10,690,336 (the "336 Patent") and Patent Owner's Revised Motion to Amend (Paper 28, "RMTA"). The Board originally scheduled oral argument on November 18, 2025 (Paper 13), but because Patent Owner filed the RMTA, the Board stated that "the Board will issue a revised scheduling order that reflects the parties' requested schedule along with any additional changes required by the Board." Ex[3006].

Accordingly, Petitioners request that oral argument be conducted in-person at the USPTO's headquarters in Alexandria, VA on a date to be ordered by the Board, and that each party be given a total of sixty (60) minutes of time for its respective presentation, inclusive of any time reserved for rebuttal.

Petitioners request permission to use audiovisual equipment to display demonstratives. Petitioners expect two (2) attendees to attend the oral argument and request that two spaces be reserved for counsel at counsel's table.

Petitioners also request that the attorneys at Petitioners' table be allowed to use computers.

Petitioners seek to address the following issues at oral argument, without intending to waive consideration of any other issues:

1. Whether the Petition establishes that Claims 1-5 of the '336 Patent are unpatentable;
2. Whether the RMTA should be granted if either or both of original Claims 2 and 3 of the '336 Patent are found to be unpatentable;
3. Procedural, evidentiary, or other issues raised by the parties or the Board in this proceeding;
4. Rebuttal to all issues and arguments raised by Patent Owner; and
5. Any responses to the issues raised by the Board at the hearing.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: October 8, 2025

/Robert C.F. Pérez/

Robert C.F. Pérez
(Reg. No. 39,328)
PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW
PITTMAN LLP
7900 Tysons One Place, Suite 500
Tysons, VA 22102
Telephone: 703.770.7759
Facsimile: 703.770.7901
Email: robert.perez@pillsburylaw.com

Christopher Kao (*pro hac vice*)
David J. Tsai (*pro hac vice*)
Brock S. Weber (*pro hac vice*)
Surui Qu (*pro hac vice*)
PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW

PITTMAN LLP

Four Embarcadero Center, 22nd Floor

San Francisco, CA 94111

Telephone: 415.983.1000

Email: christopher.kao@pillsburylaw.com

Email: david.tsai@pillsburylaw.com

Email: brock.weber@pillsburylaw.com

Email: surui.qu@pillsburylaw.com

Counsel for Petitioners

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§42.6(e), the undersigned certifies that on October 8, 2025, a complete and entire copy of this Petitioners' Request for Oral Argument was provided by email to the Patent Owner by serving the correspondence email addresses of record as follows:

Heath J. Briggs (Reg. No. 54,919) GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP 1144 15th Street, Suite 3300 Denver, CO 80202 Telephone: 303-685-7418 Facsimile: 720-904-6118 BriggsH@gtlaw.com	James L. Ryerson (Reg. No. 64,617) GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP 500 Campus Drive, Suite 400 Florham, NJ 07932 Telephone: 973-360-7900 Facsimile: 973-301-8410 ryersonj@gtlaw.com
Kyle Chen (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP 1900 University Avenue, 5th Floor East Palo Alto, CA 94303 Telephone: 650-328-8500 Facsimile: 650-328-8508 kchen@gtlaw.com	

/Robert C.F. Pérez/

Robert C.F. Pérez (Reg. No. 39,328)
PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW
PITTMAN LLP
7900 Tysons One Place, Suite 500
Tysons, VA 22102
Telephone: 703.770.7759
Facsimile: 703.770.7901
Email: robert.perez@pillsburylaw.com