

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ARISTA NETWORKS, INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

ORCKIT CORPORATION,

Patent Owner.

IPR2024-01239

Patent No. 10,652,111 B2

**PETITIONER'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT
UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.70**

Pursuant to the Board's March 12, 2025, Scheduling Order (Paper 8) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(a), Petitioner Arista Networks, Inc. ("Petitioner") respectfully requests oral argument. Oral argument is currently scheduled for December 11, 2025, in this proceeding. See Paper 8 at 11.

Petitioner respectfully requests 60 minutes per side (120 minutes total) to address the issues in this proceeding. Petitioner requests that oral argument be conducted in-person at the USPTO Headquarters in Alexandria, Virginia, with the capability to display documents, including demonstratives and exhibits, to the Board. Petitioner also requests that the Board make available a telephonic dial-in or videoconference link for the argument so that client representatives may listen remotely.

Petitioner requests oral hearing on all instituted grounds of unpatentability, disputed claim constructions, any motions to exclude, any procedural or evidentiary issues raised by the parties, and any additional issues or questions raised by the Board or the parties before or during the oral argument. In particular, Petitioner specifies the following issues (without waiving consideration of any issue not listed below) to be argued.

1. Ground 1: Obviousness of claims 1-6, 9-15, 18-19, 21-36, 41-42, and 44-54 of U.S. Patent No. 10,652,111 (the "'111 Patent") under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over

U.S. Patent No. 10,097,452 (“Lefebvre”) in view of U.S. Patent No. 9,264,301 (“Chua”).

2. Ground 2: Obviousness of claims 7-8 and 37-38 of the ’111 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Lefebvre and Chua in view of U.S. Patent No. 9,813,447 (“Rash”).

3. Ground 3: Obviousness of claims 16-20 and 39-43 of the ’111 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Lefebvre and Chua in view of RFC 793.

4. Any other issues addressed in the Petition, Patent Owner’s Response, Petitioner’s Reply, and Patent Owner’s Sur-Reply.

3. Any issues specified by Patent Owner in a request for oral argument.

4. Any additional issues on which the Board seeks clarification.

This filing is timely, being submitted no later than DUE DATE 4 (October 29, 2025), according to the Scheduling Order (Paper 8).

CONCLUSION

In light of the remarks herein, Petitioner respectfully requests the opportunity to have an oral argument before the Board. If the Board has any questions, comments, or suggestions, the undersigned attorney requests a telephone conference to discuss.

Case IPR2024-01239
Patent No. 10,652,111 B2

Date: October 29, 2025

Respectfully Submitted,

/Eliot D. Williams/
Eliot D. Williams (Reg. No. 50,822)
BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.
700 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20001
202.639.1334
eliot.williams@bakerbotts.com

*Lead Counsel for Petitioner, Arista
Networks, Inc.*

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.6(e), the undersigned certifies that on October 29, 2025, a complete and entire copy of the **PETITIONER’S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.70** was served on Patent Owner via email at the correspondence addresses below:

James T. Carmichael (Reg. No. 45,306)
jim@carmichaelip.com

Stephen McBride (Reg. No. 78,396)
stevemcbride@carmichaelip.com

Minghui Yang (Reg. No. 71,989)
mitch@carmichaelip.com

George Stamatopoulos (*pro hac vice*)
george.stamatopoulos@kobrekim.com

Zachary Ritz (*pro hac vice*)
zachary.ritz@kobrekim.com

Jessica Fender (Reg. No. 59,364)
jessica.fender@kobrekim.com

Orckit-IPRs@Carmichaelip.com

Date: October 29, 2025

/Eliot D. Williams/
Eliot D. Williams (Reg. No. 50,822)
BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.
700 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20001
202.639.1334
eliot.williams@bakerbotts.com
Attorneys for Petitioner