

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

CROGA INNOVATIONS LTD.,	§	
	§	
<i>Plaintiff,</i>	§	
	§	
v.	§	CASE NO. 2:24-CV-00065-JRG
	§	(LEAD CASE)
CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.,	§	
	§	
<i>Defendant.</i>	§	

CROGA INNOVATIONS LTD.,	§	
	§	
<i>Plaintiff,</i>	§	
	§	
v.	§	CASE NO. 2:24-CV-00206-JRG
	§	(MEMBER CASE)
FORTINET, INC.,	§	
	§	
<i>Defendant.</i>	§	

CROGA INNOVATIONS LTD.,	§	
	§	
<i>Plaintiff,</i>	§	
	§	
v.	§	CASE NO. 2:24-CV-00208-JRG
	§	(MEMBER CASE)
PALO ALTO NETWORKS, INC.,	§	
	§	
<i>Defendant.</i>	§	

ORDER

Before the Court is the Joint Motion Regarding Stipulation of Dismissal of Certain Asserted Patent (the “Motion”) filed by Plaintiff Croga Innovations Ltd. (“Croga”) and Cisco Systems, Inc. (“Cisco”) (collectively, the “Parties”). (Dkt. No. 66). In the Motion, the Parties stipulate to dismissal of Croga’s claims for infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,738,368 (“the ’368 Patent”) against Cisco with prejudice pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(2).

Having considered the Motion, and noting its joint nature, the Court finds that it should be and hereby is **GRANTED**. Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that Croga's claims for infringement of the '368 Patent are **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**. The Court also **ACKNOWLEDGES AND ACCEPTS** the Joint Stipulation of Asserted Patent filed by Croga and Cisco. (Dkt. No. 66-1.)

The Clerk of Court is directed to **MAINTAIN AS OPEN** the above-captioned cases as parties and claims remain.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 14th day of April, 2025.



RODNEY GILSTRAP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE