

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GENIUS SPORTS LTD.,

Petitioner

v.

SPORTSCASTR INC.,

(D/B/A PANDA INTERACTIVE),

Patent Owner.

IPR2024-01309

U.S. Patent No. 10,425,697

**PETITIONER'S UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR *PRO HAC VICE*
ADMISSION OF SOCRATES L. BOUTSIKARIS**

TABLE OF EXHIBITS¹

Exhibit No.	Description
Exhibit 1001	Declaration of Dr. Stephen Wicker, Ph.D.
Exhibit 1002	<i>Curriculum Vitae</i> of Dr. Stephen Wicker, Ph.D.
Exhibit 1003	U.S. Patent No. 10,805,687
Exhibit 1004	Claim Listing of U.S. Patent No. 10,805,687
Exhibit 1005	Reserved
Exhibit 1006	U.S. Patent No. 10,425,697
Exhibit 1007	Claim Listing of U.S. Patent No. 10,425,697
Exhibit 1008	Certified File History of U.S. Patent No. 10,425,697
Exhibit 1009	U.S. Patent No. 11,039,218
Exhibit 1010	Claim Listing of U.S. Patent No. 11,039,218
Exhibit 1011	Reserved
Exhibit 1012	U.S. Patent No. 11,871,088
Exhibit 1013	Vidal Memorandum re: <i>Sotera</i> Stipulations
Exhibit 1014	SportsCastr's Complaint for Patent Infringement against Genius Sports
Exhibit 1015	U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2015/0163379 ("Herzog")

¹ Unless otherwise specified, citations are to the original page, column, and line numbers in exhibits. Brackets ([]) are used to refer to the sequential page numbers added to exhibits pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §42.63(d)(2)(i).

Exhibit 1016	U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2014/0229992 (“Ellis”)
Exhibit 1017	U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2016/0036910 (“Spivey”)
Exhibit 1018	U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2015/0163379 (“Mitic”)
Exhibit 1019	SportsCastr’s Preliminary Infringement Contentions
Exhibit 1020	SportsCastr’s Response to Genius Sports’ First Set of Interrogatories
Exhibit 1021	Genius Sports’ proposed <i>Sotera</i> Stipulation to SportsCastr
Exhibit 1022	James F. Kurose & Keith W. Ross, <i>Application Layer</i> , in Computer Networking a Top-Down Approach (Michael Hirsch et al. eds., 4th ed. 2012) (“Kurose”)
Exhibit 1023	John Dilley et al., <i>Globally Distributed Content Delivery</i> , IEEE Internet Computing (Sept.–Oct. 2002).
Exhibit 1024	Mário Marques da Silva, <i>Multimedia Communications and Networking</i> (2012) (“Silva”)
Exhibit 1025	<i>A Brief History of the Internet</i> , ONLINE LIB. LEARNING CENTER. (Feb. 9, 2024), https://www.usg.edu/galileo/skills/unit07/internet07_02.phtml (“Online Library”)
Exhibit 1026	Hisayuuki Ohmata et al., <i>Hybridcast: A new media experience by integration of broadcasting and broadband</i> , 2013 ITU Kaleidoscope: Building Sustainable Communities, April 2013 (“Ohmata”)
Exhibit 1027	Henning Schulzrinne et al., <i>RTP: A Transport Protocol for Real-Time-Applications</i> , DataTracker (January 1996), https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/rfc1889 (“Schulzrinne”)
Exhibit 1028	Brijesh Kumar, <i>Making Sense of Video Streaming Protocols</i> , LinkedIn. (Aug. 11, 2016), https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/making-sense-video-streaming-protocols-dr-brijesh-kumar/ (“Kumar”)
Exhibit 1029	Ian Fette & Alexey Melnikov, <i>The WebSocket Protocol</i> , RFC Editor. (Dec. 2016), https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc6455.html .

Exhibit 1030	Marcin Warczygłowa, <i>Real-time Web Application with Websockets and Vert.X</i> (Nov. 10, 2015), https://blog.allegro.tech/2015/11/real-time-web-application-with-websockets-and-vert-x.html .
Exhibit 1031	Randall Stewart & Chris Metz, <i>SCTP: new transport protocol for TCP/IP</i> , 5 IEEE 64, (2001) (“Stewart”)
Exhibit 1032	Lydon Ong, John Yoakum &, <i>An Introduction to the Stream Control Transmission Protocol (SCTP)</i> , Datatracker. (May. 2002), https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/rfc3286/ (“Ong”)
Exhibit 1033	Jan Newmarch, <i>Introduction to Stream Control Transmission Protocol</i> , LINUX J. (Sep. 1, 2007), https://www.linuxjournal.com/article/9748 (“Newmarch”)
Exhibit 1034	Kyung-Hoe Kim et al, <i>A transmission control SCTP for real-time multimedia streaming</i> , 54 Computer Networks 1418, (2010) (“Kim”)
Exhibit 1035	High Scalability, <i>How Facebook Live Streams to 800,000 Simultaneous Viewers</i> , ByteByteGo (Jun. 27, 2016), https://blog.bytebytego.com/?utm_source=hs&utm_medium=ann&utm_campaign=top (“ByteGo”)
Exhibit 1036	Hardeep Singh Parmar & Michael C. Thornburgh, <i>Adobe’s Real Time Messaging Protocol</i> , Veriskope. (Dec. 21, 2002) (“Parmer”)
Exhibit 1037	Ilya Grigorik, <i>WebRTC</i> , in <i>High Performance Browser Networking</i> , (2013) (“Grigorik”)
Exhibit 1038	Sam Dutton, <i>Get started with WebRTC</i> , web.dev. (Jul. 23, 2012), https://web.dev/articles/webrtc-basics (“Dutton”)
Exhibit 1039	Andy Salo, <i>MPEG DASH: A Technical Deep Dive and Look at What’s Next</i> , RGB Networks, (2012) (“Salo”)
Exhibit 1040	Jan Ozer, <i>Upstream, Justin.tv, Livestream, and Bambuser: Streaming Unplugged</i> , Streaming Media Magazine., Jun.–Jul. 2012 (“Ozer”)

Exhibit 1041	Christopher Mueller, <i>MEPG-DASH vs. Apple HLS vs. Microsoft Smooth Streaming vs. Adobe HDS</i> , BITMOVIN. (Mar. 29, 2015), https://bitmovin.com/mpeg-dash-vs-apple-hls-vs-microsoft-smooth-streaming-vs-adobe-hds/ (“Mueller”)
Exhibit 1042	Stefan Saroiu et al., <i>An analysis of Internet Content Delivery Systems</i> , ACM SIGOPS Operating System Review, Vol. 36 (Dec. 31, 2002), https://doi.org/10.1145/844128.84415 (“Saroiu”)
Exhibit 1043	Charles Marion & Julien Jomier, <i>Real-time Collaborative Scientific WebGL Visualization with Websocket</i> , Ass’n Computing Machinery, August 4-5, 2012 (“Marion”)
Exhibit 1044	Mark Sweney, <i>First ads appear on YouTube Clips</i> , Guardian, Aug. 22, 2007 (“Sweney”)
Exhibit 1045	Richard Sandomir, <i>The Innovation That Grew and Grew</i> , N.Y. Times, June 12, 2014 (“Sandomir”)
Exhibit 1046	Victoria Pimentel & Bradford G. Nickerson, <i>Communicating and Displaying Real-Time Data with WebSocket</i> , 16 IEEE (2012) (“Pimentel”)
Exhibit 1047	Lucian Popa et al., <i>HTTP as the narrow waist of the future internet</i> , 2010 ACM SIGCOMM Workshop, October 2013 (“Popa”)
Exhibit 1048	Reserved
Exhibit 1049	Reserved
Exhibit 1050	Reserved
Exhibit 1051	Reserved
Exhibit 1052	Reserved
Exhibit 1053	Reserved
Exhibit 1054	Reserved
Exhibit 1055	Reserved

Petitioner’s Unopposed Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission
IPR2024-01309

Exhibit 1056	Reserved
Exhibit 1057	Reserved
Exhibit 1058	Reserved
Exhibit 1059	Reserved
Exhibit 1060	Reserved
Exhibit 1061	Reserved
Exhibit 1062	Declaration of Stephen B. Wicker in Response to Patent Owner’s Objections to Petitioner’s Exhibits <i>[served, not filed]</i>
Exhibit 1063	Deposition of Aviel Rubin, Case Nos. IPR2024-01305, IPR2024-01307, IPR2024-01308, IPR2024-01309, IPR2024-01310, IPR2024-01311 (Aug. 14, 2025)
Exhibit 1064	Supplemental Declaration of Stephen B. Wicker
Exhibit 1065	RabbitMQ – RabbitMQ Tutorial – “Hello World!”
Exhibit 1066	RabbitMQ – RabbitMQ Tutorial – “Hello World!” (including the Wayback Machine Internet Archive Toolbar)
Exhibit 1067	Declaration of Jeanne M. Heffernan in Support of Admission <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>
Exhibit 1068	Declaration of Socrates L. Boutsikaris in Support of Admission <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>

Petitioner Genius Sports Ltd. (“Genius”) hereby moves under 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c) for the admission *pro hac vice* of Socrates L. Boutsikaris in the present *inter partes* review so that he may be appointed back up counsel for Genius.

I. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

Authorization for this motion was provided by PTAB in the Notice of Filing Date Accorded to Petition (Paper 6). Counsel for Genius consulted with Counsel for Patent Owner SportsCastr Inc. (d/b/a PANDA Interactive) (“PANDA”), and PANDA agreed it does not oppose the present motion for *pro hac vice* admission for Mr. Boutsikaris. Therefore, the present motion is proper at this time. As explained further below, Mr. Boutsikaris is an experienced litigating attorney with an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in this *inter partes* review.

“[W]here the lead counsel is a registered practitioner, a motion to appear *pro hac vice* by counsel who is not a registered practitioner may be granted upon showing that counsel is an experienced litigating attorney and has an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in the proceeding.” 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c).

A motion for *pro hac vice* admission must:

- a. Contain a statement of facts showing that there is good cause for the Board to recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during the proceeding.
- b. Be accompanied by an affidavit or declaration of the individual seeking to appear attesting to the following:

- i. Membership in good standing of the Bar of at least one State or the District of Columbia;
- ii. No suspensions or disbarments from practice before any court or administrative body;
- iii. No application for admission to practice before any court or administrative body ever denied;
- iv. No sanctions or contempt citations imposed by any court or administrative body;
- v. The individual seeking to appear has read and will comply with the Office
- vi. The individual will be subject to the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 et. seq. and disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. §11.19(a);
- vii. All other proceedings before the Office for which the individual has applied to appear *pro hac vice* in the last three (3) years; and
- viii. Familiarity with the subject matter at issue in the proceeding.

Unified Patents, Inc. v. Parallel Iron, LLC, Case No. IPR2013-00639, 2013 WL 8700556 (P.T.A.B. Oct. 15, 2013).

II. STATEMENT OF FACTS SHOWING GOOD CAUSE FOR THE PRESENT MOTION

Based on the following facts, supported by their respective declaration, *see* Ex. 1068, Genius requests that Mr. Boutsikaris be admitted *pro hac vice* in this proceeding. Genius' lead counsel is a registered practitioner. (Paper No. 2 at 2).

A. Mr. Boutsikaris Meets the Requirements for Admission *Pro Hac Vice*

Mr. Boutsikaris has more than 3 years of experience as a litigation attorney specializing in patent litigations, representing clients in patent litigation matters in various United States District Courts.

Mr. Boutsikaris is very familiar with U.S. Patent No. 10,425,697 and with the legal subject matter, technical subject matter, and prior art discussed in Genius' Request for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 10,425,697, which form the basis for this proceeding. He is counsel to Genius in the co-pending litigation relating to the same patent, *SportsCastr Inc. (d/b/a PANDA Interactive) v. Genius Sports Ltd.*, 23-cv-00471, filed on October 5, 2023 in the Eastern District of Texas.

Mr. Boutsikaris is a member in good standing of the Bar of the District of Columbia.

Mr. Boutsikaris has never been suspended or disbarred from practice before any court or administrative body.

Mr. Boutsikaris has never had a court or administrative body deny his application for admission to practice.

Mr. Boutsikaris has never been sanctioned or cited for contempt by any court or administrative body.

Mr. Boutsikaris has read and will comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials set forth in part 42 of the 37 C.F.R

Mr. Boutsikaris agrees to be subject to the United States Patent and Trademark Office Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R §§ 11.101, et seq. and disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R § 11.199(a).

In the last three years, Mr. Boutsikaris applied to appear *pro hac vice* in:

- *Magnolia Medical Technologies, Inc. v. Kurin, Inc.*, 1-24-cv-01124 (D. Del.)
- *Sight Sciences, Inc. v. Ivantis, Inc.*, 1-21-cv-01317 (D. Del.)
- *SportsCastr Inc. v. Sportradar Group*, AG, 2-23-cv-00472 (E.D. Tex.)
- *Delta Air Lines, Inc. v. Marriott International, Inc.*, 1:20-cv-01125-ELR (N.D. Ga.)

III. GOOD CAUSE EXISTS FOR THE *PRO HAC VICE* ADMISSION OF MR. BOUTSIKARIS IN THIS PROCEEDING

The Board may recognize *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner and any other conditions the Board may impose. 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c). Genius' lead counsel, W. Todd Baker (Reg. No. 45,265), is a registered practitioner before the

Board. Based on the facts contained herein, good cause exists to admit Mr. Boutsikaris *pro hac vice*.

Mr. Boutsikaris has over 3 years of patent litigation experience and was a patent examiner for more than 6 years before becoming an attorney. Mr. Boutsikaris has represented clients in matters related to medical devices, oil and gas, 5G, and video streaming, among others, and has significant experience in patent litigation matters. Mr. Boutsikaris was, and is, actively involved with the strategy and fact development in the co-pending litigation on the same patent between the same parties. In view of Mr. Boutsikaris' extensive knowledge of the subject matter of this proceeding, and in view of the interrelatedness of this proceeding and the co-pending district court litigation, Genius has a substantial need for Mr. Boutsikaris' *pro hac vice* admission and his involvement in the continued prosecution of this proceeding.

IV. CONCLUSION

For all the foregoing reasons, there is good cause to admit Mr. Boutsikaris on a *pro hac vice* basis to serve as back up counsel for Genius. Therefore, Genius respectfully requests that this Unopposed Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission for Mr. Boutsikaris be granted.

Petitioner's Unopposed Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission
IPR2024-01309

Date: December 9, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Todd Baker

W. Todd Baker (Reg. No. 45,265)
todd.baker@kirkland.com
Socrates L. Boutsikaris (*pro hac vice*
admission to be requested)
socrates.boutsikaris@kirkland.com
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
1301 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004
Telephone: (202) 389-3135
Facsimile: (202) 389-5200

Jeanne M. Heffernan
jheffernan@kirkland.com
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
401 West Fourth Street
Austin, TX 78701
Telephone: (512) 678-9123
Facsimile: (512) 678-9101

*Attorneys For Petitioner Genius Sports
Ltd.*

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

In compliance with 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.6(e), the undersigned hereby certifies that a copy of the foregoing “PETITIONER’S UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR *PRO HAC VICE* ADMISSION OF SOCRATES L. BOUTSIKARIS” was sent electronically on December 9, 2025, directed to counsel of the Patent Owner at acochran@cahill.com and ctracyjames@cahill.com.

/s/ Todd Baker

W. Todd Baker